

Pepperdine University
Pepperdine Digital Commons

Theses and Dissertations

2015

# Appraisal of caregiving burden, expressed emotion, and psychological distress in families of people with dementia: a systematic review

Susan L. Sprokay

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.pepperdine.edu/etd

#### **Recommended Citation**

Sprokay, Susan L., "Appraisal of caregiving burden, expressed emotion, and psychological distress in families of people with dementia: a systematic review" (2015). *Theses and Dissertations*. 550. https://digitalcommons.pepperdine.edu/etd/550

This Dissertation is brought to you for free and open access by Pepperdine Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Theses and Dissertations by an authorized administrator of Pepperdine Digital Commons. For more information, please contact bailey.berry@pepperdine.edu.

Pepperdine University

Graduate School of Education and Psychology

# APPRAISAL OF CAREGIVING BURDEN, EXPRESSED EMOTION, AND PSYCHOLOGICAL DISTRESS IN FAMILIES OF PEOPLE WITH DEMENTIA: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

A clinical dissertation submitted in partial satisfaction

of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Psychology

by

Susan L. Sprokay

November, 2015

Shelly Harrell, Ph.D. – Dissertation Chairperson

This clinical dissertation, written by

### Susan L. Sprokay

under the guidance of a Faculty Committee and approved by its members, has been submitted to and accepted by the Graduate Faculty in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

### DOCTOR OF PSYCHOLOGY

Doctoral Committee:

Shelly P. Harrell, Ph.D., Chairperson

Robert A. deMayo, Ph.D., ABPP

Karen J. Miller, Ph.D.

© Copyright by Susan Sprokay (2015)

All Rights Reserved

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES	vi
LIST OF FIGURES	vii
VITA	viii
ABSTRACT	xiii
Chapter 1: Introduction	1
Statement of the Problem and General Aims Background and Significance Dementia Caregiver Burden Coping and Expressed Emotion Psychological Distress Study Rationale and Research Objectives	
Chapter 2: Methodology	15
Review and Analysis Procedures Search Applications Measures Caregiver Burden Coping and Expressed Emotion Psychological Distress Organization of the Literature Table Analysis Procedures Construction of the Data Tables Restrictions Applied to Data for Study Inclusion	15 15 15 16 16 16 16 17 17 17 18 19
Chapter 3: Results	
Measurement Instruments Caregiver Burden Zarit Burden Interview Caregiver Burden Inventory Expressed Emotion	20 20 20 21 21
Camberwell Family Interview	
Level of Expressed Emotion Brief Coping Orientations to Problems Experienced	

## Page

Dementia Management Strategies Scale	
Psychological Distress	
Beck Depression Inventory	
Brief Symptom Inventory	
Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale	
Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale	
Geriatric Depression Scale	
Identification of Published Studies	
Ethnicity and Socioeconomic Status	
Caregiver Burden	
Coping and Expressed Emotion	
Coping Strategies Associated with Lower Subjective Burden	
Psychological Distress	
Chapter 4: Discussion	
Caregiver Burden	43
Coping and Expressed Emotion	44
Psychological Distress	45
Strengths and Limitations	47
Conclusion	49
REFERENCES	51
APPENDIX A: Comprehensive Literature Table	66
1	

## LIST OF TABLES

vi

Table 1.	Studies that measured caregiver burden using the Zarit Burden Interview (ZBI) or the	
	Caregiver Burden Inventory (CBI)	8
Table 2.	Studies that measured caregivers' level of expressed emotion with the Camberwell	
	Family Interview (CFI), Five-Minute Speech Sample (FMSS), Brief Coping	
	Orientations to Problems Experienced (Brief COPE), and Dementia Management	
	Strategies Scale (DMSS)	5
Table 3.	Studies that measured caregivers' psychological distress with the Beck Depression	
	Inventory (BDI), Brief Symptom Inventory (BSI), Center for Epidemiological Studies	
	Depression Scale (CES-D), Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS), and the	
	15-item Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS)	9

## LIST OF FIGURES

## Page

Figure 1. Flowchart of study selection process	27
Figure 2. Comparison of caregiver burden results	32
Figure 3. Comparison of coping and expressed emotion results	36
Figure 4. Comparison of depressive symptoms results	42

## VITA

## Susan Sprokay, M.A.

## Education

2015	Doctor of Psychology
	Pepperdine University, Los Angeles, California
	(APA Accredited)
2005	Master of Arts in Psychology
	Pepperdine University, Los Angeles, California
	(APA Accredited)
1995	Honours Bachelor of Arts in Psychology
	University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada
	Specialization in Neuropsychology
	Minor in Fine Arts Studio

## **Clinical Experience**

October 2015	Psychometrist
– Present	UCLA Geriatric Psychiatry and Longevity Center
	Supervisor: Karen Miller, Ph.D.
	Lead group and individual evidence-based memory enhancing strategies, stress-
	loss and/or cognitive impairment and their caregivers. Provide evidence-based
	culturally competent neuropsychological services. Provide supervision for students
	completing externship.
March 2014	Psychology Intern
– September 2015	Wright Institute Los Angeles
	Supervisors: Diane Garcia, Ph.D., Peter Wolson, Ph.D., Michele Gomes, Ph.D.
	outpatient adult caseload drawn from WILA's Hedda Bolgar Psychotherapy Clinic
	individual and group supervision for this caseload, seminars on theory and
	technique, and administrative and clinical case conferences.
August 2012	Advanced Neuropsychology Extern
– November 2013	UCLA Longevity Center
	Semel Institute for Neuroscience & Human Behavior
	Supervisor: Karen Miller, Ph.D. Responsibilities include providing cognitive rehabilitation, group therapy, and
	didactic presentations to patients with memory disorders and their primary
	caregivers. Conducting comprehensive intake interviews, administering
	neuropsychological test batteries, scoring and analysing test results, compiling
	integrated reports for patients and research participants presenting with suspected
	cognitive impairment.
July 2008	Psychotherapist Extern
– August 2011	Pepperdine University Community Counseling Center
	Provided psychological services for clients aged 7 – 55 (including individual

	couple, and family therapy) in an outpatient setting catering to clients with diverse backgrounds. In addition to time- limited treatment plans, I was able to commit to longer-term treatments with several clients. Case conceptualization and evidence- based interventions were implemented for symptom presentations including Posttraumatic Stress Disorder, Mixed Anxiety and Depression, Bereavement, Substance Dependence, Generalized Anxiety Disorder, Social Phobia, Narcissistic Personality Disorder.
March 2011 – August 2011	Neuropsychology Extern Alzheimer's Disease Research Center, UCLA Department of Neurology, David Geffen School of Medicine Supervisor: Ellen Woo, Ph.D. Responsibilities included conducting comprehensive intake interviews and administration of neuropsychological and psychological (MMPI-2) assessment batteries, scoring and analysing test results, writing integrated reports for patients aged 20 - 90 years with neurological syndromes (e.g., Huntington's Disease, Vascular Dementia, Bipolar Disorder) and traumatic brain injuries. Administered 12 full neuropsychological assessment batteries for the population specified. Attended weekly didactic seminars regarding case conceptualization and understanding of various neurological diseases.
August 2010 – August 2011	<i>Peer Supervisor</i> <b>Pepperdine University Community Counseling Center</b> Supervisor: Aaron Aviera, Ph.D. Mentored first- and second-year psychology doctoral students in an outpatient setting catering to clients of all ages from diverse backgrounds. Focussed on providing support and guidance in development of clinical skills, case conceptualization, intake report and case note writing. Participated in supervision and training of supervision competencies.
July 2010 – March 2011	Neuropsychology Extern Aging and Memory Research Clinic Neuropsychology Lab Semel Institute for Neuroscience and Human Behavior, UCLA Supervisor: Karen Miller, Ph.D. Responsibilities included conducting full intake interviews and administration of comprehensive neuropsychological assessment batteries, scoring and analysing test results, writing integrated reports for patients (>55 years) with cognitive deficits, specifically memory impairments. Administered 14 full neuropsychological assessment batteries for the population specified. Attended weekly didactic seminars and supervision regarding case conceptualization and understanding of various disorders.
September 2009 – August 2010	<ul> <li>Psychotherapist Extern</li> <li>Drew Child Development Corporation</li> <li>Supervisor: Kimberly Hagan, Ph.D.</li> <li>Provided psychological services (including therapy, psychoeducational testing, and advocacy with school and medical personnel) to individuals and groups of children aged 6 – 12 in elementary school settings in the Compton-Watts area of Los Angeles County. Clients had histories of, or were at high risk for, child abuse. Presenting syndromes including Major Depressive Disorder, Posttraumatic Stress Disorder, Adjustment Disorder, Conduct Disorder, and learning disabilities.</li> </ul>

## Additional Clinical Experience

June 2012 – October 2013	Psychological Assistant in Private Practice Neuro-cognitive Assessment & Psychological Services Supervisors: Karen Miller, Ph.D., Julie Wong, Ph.D. Responsibilities include conducting comprehensive intake interviews, administration of 4-10 hour test batteries that incorporate neuropsychological, academic, and emotional/mood components, scoring and analysing test results, compiling integrated reports for children and adults with possible learning disabilities and/or attention deficit disorders
June 2012	<b>Board of Psychology Licensure</b> Psychological Assistant, CA License PSB 36826
September 2002 – October 2003	Drug/Alcohol Counselor CLARE Foundation Substance Abuse Prevention Center PATHMall, Hollywood, California Duties included intake interviews, assessments and referrals for treatment and housing services. The drop-in clients were homeless or living in poverty. I initiated and co-facilitated a weekly support group for those individuals who lived in the 6- month transitional residence onsite.
July 2002 – September 2002	<i>Intern</i> CLARE Foundation Detox/Primary Program, Santa Monica, California Duties included intake interviews, assessments, counseling, referrals and exit interviews for clients seeking help with drug and alcohol addiction at a 30-day residential facility. Clients aged 18 – 70 came from diverse backgrounds, including individuals who were homeless or transferred from jail.
May 2002	<b>Certificate in Alcohol &amp; Drug Counseling</b> University of California, Los Angeles
June 1998 – August 2000	<i>Volunteer in Partnership</i> <b>Canadian Mental Health Association, Vancouver, B.C.</b> Responsibilities included spending 3 to 4 hours weekly with a client living with a schizophrenia diagnosis. The goal of the program was to assist the client in developing social skills and in becoming socially active. I planned and participated in many arts and community activities with my client, and much of our time was spent talking and building an interpersonal relationship.
May 1991 – September 1991	<i>Direct Service Volunteer</i> Canadian Mental Health Association, Oakville, ON, Canada Duties included assisting in the supervision of up to 12 adult psychiatric outpatients at a daytime drop-in program in activities such as mealtime preparation, games, and weekly field trips.

## **Research Experience**

March 2011 –	Doctoral Dissertation
present	Appraisal of Caregiving Burden, Expressed Emotion, and Psychological
	Distress in Families of People with Dementia: A Systematic Review
	Dissertation Chairperson: Shelly P. Harrell, Ph.D.
	Committee Members: Karen J. Miller, Ph.D., Robert A. deMayo, Ph.D.
	Unpaid caregivers of people with dementia are faced with a variety of physical,

	emotional and social stressors. The aim of this systematic review is to summarize existing evidence regarding subjective burden, expressed emotion and psychological distress related to this category of caregiving and to build a multinational representation of these individuals that will facilitate in identifying areas of supportive intervention.
April 2004	Project Coordinator
– March 2005	Pepperdine University, Los Angeles, California
	Supervisor: Louis J. Cozolino, Ph.D.
	Managed a team of graduate students involved in research for the book titled The
	Neuroscience of Human Relationships: Attachment and the Developing Social
	Brain.
January 2004 –	Research Assistant
April 2004	Pepperdine University, Los Angeles, California Supervisor: Louis J. Cozolino, Ph.D.
	Assisted with the book titled The Making of a Therapist.
January 2003 –	Independent Study Project
August 2003	Pepperdine University Los Angeles California Supervisor: Susan R Hall JD
149401 2000	Ph.D.
	Title: An Integrated Treatment for Subthreshold Bulimia Nervosa: A Case Study

#### **Publications**

Cozolino, L. J., & Sprokay, S. L. (2006). Neuroscience and adult learning. *New Directions for Adult and Continuing Education*, 110, 11–19.

Cozolino, L. J., & Sprokay, S. L. (2006). The evolutionary necessity of psychotherapy. *Revista de Psicoterapia, 16*, 5–28.

### **Teaching Experience**

September 2012 –	Memory Trainer
present	UCLA Longevity Center
	Teach cognitive strategies and wellness information to middle- aged and older
	adults from the community to improve and maintain cognition.
September 2004	Teaching Assistant
	Professor Louis J. Cozolino, Ph.D.
	Pepperdine University PSY 712 Clinical Neuropsychology Provided tutoring for
	doctoral level students.
January 2004	Teaching Assistant
-	Pepperdine University PSY 656 Physiological Psychology Professor Louis J.
	Cozolino, Ph.D.
	Proctored and graded exams and provided tutoring for master's level students.

### **Other Experience**

August 1995 –	Assistant Manager, Support Services Scotiabank, Vancouver, B.C.
August 2001	Responsibilities included supervision and development of administrative staff for
-	the Office of the Vice President for BC Southeast region.

#### **Proficiency in the following tests**

Achenbach Adult Self-Report Achenbach Adult Behavior Checklist American National Adult Reading Test (AMNART) Auditory Consonant Trigram (ACT) Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI) Beck Depression Inventory - Second Edition (BDI-II) Beery-Buktenica Developmental Test of Visual-Motor Integration, 6<sup>th</sup> Edition (Beery VMI) Bender-Gestalt Test of Visual Motor Integration (BGVMT) Boston Naming Test - Second Edition (BNT-2) Brief Visuospatial Memory Test-Revised (BVMT-R) Brown ADD Scales Buschke Selective Reminding Test California Verbal Learning Test - Second Edition (CVLT-II) Child Behavior Checklist Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR) Color Trail Making Test (CTT-1&2) Conner's Continuous Performance Test - Second Edition (CPT-II) Conners-Wells Adolescent Self-Report Scales - Revised Controlled Oral Word Association Test (COWAT - FAS/CFL & Animals) Draw-a-Person Draw-a-Clock Finger Tapping Test (FTT) Functional Abilities Questionnaire (FAQ) Geriatric Depression Inventory (GDS) Hamilton Anxiety Inventory (HamA) Hamilton Depression Scale (HamD) Hooper Visual Organization Test Hopkins Verbal Learning Test - Revised (HVLT-R) Incomplete Sentence Blank Mini Mental State Exam (MMSE) Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory - 2 (MMPI-2) Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) Nelson-Denny Reading Test New York Story Recall Test Paced Auditory Serial Addition Task (PASAT) Rey-Osterrieth Complex Figure Test (RCFT) Rey 15-item Memorization Test & Rey Word Recognition Task Rorschach Inkblot Test Ruff Test of Nonverbal Fluency Stroop Test (Kaplan & Golden) Trail Making Test Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale - Third Edition (WAIS- III) Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale - Fourth Edition (WAIS- IV) Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children - Fourth Edition (WISC-IV) Wechsler Memory Scale - Third Edition (WMS-III) Wechsler Memory Scale - Fourth Edition (WMS-IV) Wechsler Test of Adult Reading (WTAR) Wisconsin Card Sorting Test (Hand & Computer Administration) Woodcock Johnson - Third Edition Tests of Achievement (WJ III ACH)

#### ABSTRACT

Unpaid family caregivers of people with dementia are faced with a variety of physical, emotional and social stressors. A systematic review of the literature was compiled to summarize existing evidence regarding subjective burden, expressed emotion and psychological distress related to this category of caregiving and to build a multinational representation of these individuals that will facilitate in identifying areas of supportive intervention. A synthesis of 44 data sets that included 5,249 participants from 20 countries indicated that the majority of caregivers are experiencing depression and high levels of distress related to their caregiving role, and that a small number of caregivers are relying on coping strategies that may add to their distress. Results suggest an ongoing need for medical and psychology practitioners to be aware of appropriate supportive and mental health interventions to improve the quality of life for people with dementia and their family caregivers.

#### **Chapter 1: Introduction**

#### **Statement of the Problem and General Aims**

One in three older adults in the U.S. dies with dementia (Alzheimer's Association, 2015). Dementia is a neurocognitive disorder characterized by losses in memory, language, executive function, visual spatial skills, attention and mental speed, sometimes with changes to personality. Worldwide, an estimated 35.6 million people are currently living with dementia (World Health Organization and Alzheimer's Disease International [WHO], 2012). This number is projected to reach 115.4 million people by 2050 (WHO, 2012). Further, out of the top 10 causes of death in the U.S., Alzheimer's disease, which accounts for 60-80% of all dementia cases, is the only cause that is not currently either preventable or curable.

Unpaid family caregivers often take on an essential role in the management of people with dementia because of the high level of dependency associated with the condition. The Alzheimer's Association (2015) calculated that approximately 15.7 million people in the United States provide unpaid caregiving for a family member or friend with Alzheimer's disease, dementia, or mental confusion. Caregivers assist their loved ones with instrumental activities of daily living (IADLs) such as shopping, preparing meals, providing transportation, medication management, financial and legal affair management. In addition, as dementia progresses, family caregivers also provide assistance with personal activities of daily living (ADLs) such as bathing, dressing, grooming, feeding, and toileting, and safety issues such as supervision to avoid wandering and getting lost (Alzheimer's Association, 2015). Having family members who are able to provide care allow people with dementia to live at home for longer, rather than needing to move to residential care facilities.

Despite the benefits to the well-being of the care recipient, informal caregiving has been shown to impact families in terms of financial strain, relationship strain, and the physical and mental health of the caregiver (Aguglia et al., 2004; Alzheimer's Association, 2015; Brashares & Catanzaro, 1994; National Alliance for Caregiving & AARP, 2009; United States Congress Senate Special Committee on Aging, 2012). Caregivers of family members with dementia are more vulnerable to experiencing symptoms of depression and anxiety (Anthony-Bergstone, Zarit, & Gatz, 1988; Caserta, Lund, & Wright, 1996; Joling et al., 2010; Joling et al., 2015). The highest psychological distress is reported by caregivers who are over 70 years old, female, and married to the person for whom they provide care (Rinaldi et al., 2005), which is the most typical demographic profile for caregivers of people with dementia (Alzheimer's Association, 2015; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [CDC], 2009; CDC, 2010). Caregivers of people with dementia report more deterioration in their own physical health related to chronic stress, including increased risk for heart disease, diabetes, kidney disease, and diminished immune system functioning (Alzheimer's Association, 2015; Vitaliano, Zhang, & Scanlan, 2003; Wu et al., 1999). Also, caregiving for family members with dementia often leads to financial burden due to reduction of paid work hours to be at home with the person needing care and additional out-of-pocket health care costs (Aguglia et al., 2004; Alzheimer's Association, 2015).

The ongoing rise in numbers of people with dementia is necessarily paired by an exponential growth in distressed unpaid caregivers. In many cases, higher ratings of distress in caregivers are correlated with lower mental status scores for dementia patients, along with higher reports of agitation, disinhibition, and abusiveness towards the caregiver (Benoit et al., 2005; Hanson & Clarke, 2013; Vitaliano, Young, Russo, Romano, & Magana-Amato, 1993). Further, higher distress in caregivers has been associated with a greater desire to move the dementia

patient to an institutionalized care facility and increased costs of inpatient hospitalization, medical visits, and residential care regardless of the patient's physical health (Benoit et al., 2005; Hinrichsen & Niederehe, 1994; Miller, Rosenheck, & Schneider, 2010). Medical and psychological clinicians may become acquainted with a caregiver as a secondary participant at the dementia patient's appointment. As the research below will indicate, clinicians play an important role in maintaining the health of the caregiver-care recipient pair when they are able to identify and address caregivers' needs for intervention.

Prior research has investigated correlations between demographic data and the concepts of subjective caregiver burden, expressed emotion, and/or psychological distress. In addition, prior research has investigated effects of interventions designed to alleviate subjective burden and/or psychological distress, or to reduce levels of expressed emotion in caregivers coping with a loved one who suffers from dementia. The primary aim of the proposed systematic review of the literature is to enhance understanding of this group of caregivers. A systematic review is the investigative method that will be used in this dissertation to locate, appraise and synthesize the best available evidence from previous bodies of research in order to draw a clinical picture of distress and coping behaviours related to the role of being an unpaid caregiver for a loved one with dementia that can be applied to a larger group of caregivers than a single study alone (Boland, Cherry & Dickson, 2013). A systematic review expands on the traditional literature review by using a systematic search strategy and applying pre-specified eligibility criteria in order to eliminate bias. The results, therefore, will provide evidence-based information to clinicians.

#### **Background and Significance**

Literature will be reviewed to establish a foundational understanding of the global and U.S. national prevalence of dementia and associated caregiving by unpaid family members. In addition, the proposed literature review will attempt to identify stressors associated with caregiving in general, and caregiving for people with dementia in particular, including an overview of previously published systematic reviews and metaanalyses on caregiver burden for dementia. Finally, previous work will be reviewed to establish background knowledge of the development of caregiver burden instruments, instruments used for measuring depression in caregivers, and the development of coping and expressed emotion concepts and measurements.

#### Dementia

Dementia is a major neurocognitive disorder characterized by declines in cognitive function paired with substantial impairment in independence for daily activities and, at times, accompanied by behavioural symptoms such as depression, psychosis, agitation, or wandering (American Psychiatric Association, 2013) that result in the necessity of assistance or supervision from caregivers. Prevalence estimates of dementia in the United States population indicate that 13.93% of adults 71 years or older meet criteria for dementia, with the most significant predictors of dementia being older age, fewer years of education, and the DNA presence of 1 or more APOE  $\varepsilon$ 4 alleles (Plassman et al., 2007). The role of caregiver is important to maintaining the well-being and dignity of loved ones suffering from dementia in our communities. Typically, care is provided by family members such as the care recipient's spouse, children, or children-inlaw who either live with the care recipient or spend significant time each week providing unpaid care.

#### **Caregiver Burden**

The extent to which a caregiver experiences feelings of strain and hardship related to the activities and relationship with the care receiver is referred to as subjective caregiver burden (Novak & Guest, 1989; Zarit, Reever, & Bach-Peterson, 1980).

Family caregivers for people with dementia in particular are more likely to endorse high subjective burden at the earliest stages of cognitive decline, when symptoms first become noticeable and the relationship dynamics begin to alter (Berger et al., 2005; Cheah, Han, Chong, Anthony, & Lim, 2012). As caregivers and their loved ones with a dementia diagnosis adapt to the changes, the level of subjective burden experienced by the caregiver tends to decrease and stabilize until the disease progresses to a high level of severity, at which time the level of subjective caregiver burden is again at its highest (Berger et al., 2005; Cheah et al., 2012). High levels of subjective caregiver burden are also closely associated with the consequence of transferring dementia patients from their home in the community into residential long-term care facilities: a very emotional decision for the caregiver when they often face anger and disappointment from their impaired loved one (Arai, Zarit, Sugiura, & Washio, 2002). While the move to residential care facilities may provide respite for the family caregiver, research findings indicate that, in many cases, levels of subjective burden experienced by caregivers do not tend to decline by a significant amount, as the caregiver continues to be involved emotionally and continues to provide logistical care for their loved one (Papastavrou, Kalokerinou, Papacostas, Tsangari, & Sourtzi, 2007; Gaugler, Mittelman, Hepburn, & Newcomer, 2010).

Measures studying the factors contributing to subjective burden exist to help clinicians understand how they can give support to caregivers. Zarit, Reever, & Bach-Peterson (1980), as well as Novak and Guest (1989), are among the many investigators who have created reliable instruments for measuring subjective caregiver burden. Zarit's Burden Interview, the most widely used clinical instrument, measures the scope of issues frequently mentioned by caregivers in clinical settings, including caregiver's physical health, psychological well-being, level of financial strain, quality of social life, and relationship with the care recipient. These factors are combined into an overall level of subjective burden score. Novak and Guest's Caregiver Burden Inventory (CBI) measures the impact of caregiving across five dimensions of the carer's sense of burden, and produces an overall level of subjective burden score as well as scores indicating level of burden for each dimension:

- Time-dependence burden. Measures increased time and effort spent towards assisting the care recipient with ADLs and increased vigilance in monitoring care recipient's behaviours.
- Developmental burden. Measures extent to which one's stage of life has been disrupted, such that life is not turning out the way one had expected.
- Physical burden. Measures reported chronic fatigue and increases in physical illnesses or risk for physical illnesses.
- Social burden. Measures feelings of being unappreciated or neglected, as well as conflict with other family members over how to manage the care of their impaired loved one.
- Emotional burden. Measures feelings such as shame, embarrassment, resentfulness, or anger related to the care receiver.

The existing literature suggests that a synthesis of the many existing studies that measure caregiver burden in families of people with dementia will provide a broader view of the impact of caregiver burden on family members across nations, cultures and languages. Further, a synthesis will indicate the prevalence of high or severe levels of burden so that clinicians

working with families of dementia patients will be aware of how much importance needs to be placed on educating themselves about appropriate referral resources

#### **Coping and Expressed Emotion**

Following the lead of psychiatric research on subjective caregiver burden, researchers in Alzheimer's disease and other dementias have looked at associations between the subjective experience of caregiver burden and the ways family members cope with and express their emotions related to the care recipient (Cooper, Katona, Orrell, & Livingston, 2008; Fearon, Donaldson, Burns, & Tarrier, 1998; Hinrichsen & Niederehe, 1994; Li & Murray, 2014; Upton & Reed, 2006; Vitaliano et al., 1993). People naturally experience a variety of emotional reactions related to the cognitive decline of a loved one and the associated lifestyle and relationship changes. Some of the ways caregivers cope with their emotions can be conceptualized from a strategic coping response perspective.

In their development of the Ways of Coping questionnaire, Folkman and Lazarus (1988) presented a theory of cognitive and behavioural coping strategies that has been useful for understanding how coping styles mediate the experience and expression of multiple and possibly conflicting emotions that arise in stressful circumstances. Their findings outline 8 forms of coping that can be categorized into predominant categories:

- Emotion-focused strategies. Includes self-control, accepting responsibility, positive reappraisal, and seeking social support.
- Problem-focused strategies. Includes planful problem solving and seeking social support.
- Dysfunctional strategies. Includes ineffective emotion- or problem-focused strategies such as escape-avoidance, distancing, and confrontive coping (i.e., expressing hostility).

Carver, Scheier & Weintraub (1989) built upon the concepts from the Ways of Coping questionnaire to propose a multidimensional view of how people regulate emotions with coping strategies, named the Coping Orientations to Problems Experienced (COPE) inventory. The multiple scales were further categorized into emotion-focussed, problem-focused and dysfunctional strategies as follows (Cooper, Katona, Orrell, & Livingston, 2008). The dysfunctional strategies category includes behavioural disengagement/giving up, denial, self-distraction, self-blame, substance use, and venting negative feelings.

Earlier bodies of work developed the concept of "expressed emotion" to better understand an association that had been observed between higher frequency of symptomatic relapse when patients had gone to live with parents or a spouse upon hospital release versus nonfamily or sibling living arrangements (Brown, Carstairs, & Topping, 1958). The construct of expressed emotion (EE) was developed based on the analysis of interview data. The Camberwell Family Interview (CFI) is a 4 to 5 hour long, private, semi-structured interview for close family members of patients recently hospitalized predominantly with schizophrenia, as well as cases of depression, epilepsy, mania, and other psychiatric illnesses. Eventually, this measure was shortened to a 1 to 2 hour semi-structured interview (Vaughn & Leff, 1976), with questions eliciting the family member's description of the patient's psychological history, irritability and quarrelling, and clinical symptoms in the 3 months prior to hospitalization. The interviews were audio recorded for subsequent rating of the frequency of comments made on 5 qualitative scales, including (Brown, Birley & Wing, 1972):

• Criticism. Frequency of remarks, based on tone of voice and/or content, that reflect resentment, dislike or disapproval of the patient's behaviours.

- Hostility. Frequency of remarks that reflect rejection and/or criticism of the patient's core personality rather than their behaviours, and frequency that criticism comments occur spontaneously on tangential topics.
- Emotional overinvolvement. Frequency of remarks or actions that reflect unusually marked overprotectiveness, devotion, or self-sacrifice related to the patient's illness, including uncontrolled emotion during the interview, such as crying.
- Dissatisfaction. Frequency of remarks expressing dissatisfaction of family life with the patient in the absence of emotion or resentment.

Warmth. Frequency of spontaneous remarks, based on tone of voice and/or content, that
reflect interest, sympathy, and concern for the patient, or enjoyment of mutual activities.
Analysis of the several hundred interviews revealed significant relationships between the
frequency of criticism, hostility, and emotional overinvolvement by the caregiver and
rehospitalization of the patient for severe symptoms of schizophrenia within a year of release
(Brown, Birley, & Wing, 1972; Brown, Carstairs, & Topping, 1958; Brown, Monck, Carstairs, &
Wing, 1962). Dissatisfaction and warmth alone were not significant predictors of relapse and
were henceforth disincluded from the concept of "expressed emotion;" however, minimal relapse
was noted in the rare cases that family members expressed high levels of warmth in the absence
of emotional overinvolvement.

Subsequently, researchers have investigated the role of caregiver expressed emotion in symptom severity of numerous other psychiatric and physical illnesses, such as depression, bipolar disorder, cystic fibrosis, eating disorders, posttraumatic stress disorder, alcohol abuse, personality disorders, agoraphobia, diabetes, asthma, epilepsy, arthritis, heart surgery, rheumatoid arthritis, and obesity (Weardon, Tarrier, Barrowclough, Zastowny, & Rahill, 2000). The ability to predict the course of these diseases from expressed emotion in the family is inconclusive; however, outcome patterns suggest that patients' social adjustment and subjective caregiver burden may be impacted by level of expressed emotion.

Consistent with patterns from the aforementioned studies, a number of studies on the relationship between dementia and expressed emotion indicate that high levels of criticism are related to more behavioural disturbances, psychotic symptoms and depression in patients, but does not appear to have any impact on cognitive decline or ADLs (Tarrier et al., 2002; Vitaliano et al., 1993; Wagner, Logsdson, Pearson, & Teri, 1997). These studies further indicate that caregivers with high levels of expressed emotion are more likely to experience depressive symptoms themselves. Clinical interventions designed to reduce expressed emotion, particularly criticism, in family members have demonstrated success in reducing symptoms of select psychiatric syndromes in patients (e.g. schizophrenia, eating disorders) on par with established patient-directed interventions (Leff, Kuipers, Berkowitz, & Sturgeon, 1985; Montero et al., 2001; Sepulveda et al., 2010). As such, further exploration of interventions designed to reduce expressed emotion in caregivers for dementia patients may be beneficial to clinicians seeking to alleviate the distress of caregivers.

Coping concepts inherent in self-blame and venting negative feelings are closely aligned, respectively, with the expressed emotion scales of emotional overinvolvement and criticism/hostility. Specifically, research in coping strategies reveals parallels between maladaptive attempts to cope with emotional reactions and expressed emotions such as hostility and emotional overinvolvement. Therefore, despite the paucity of published studies relating expressed emotion to dementia caregivers, incorporating published studies relating maladaptive coping strategies to dementia caregivers will be useful in an investigation of the impact of expressed emotion on subjective caregiver burden.

#### **Psychological distress**

Caregivers' distress is typically manifested in symptoms of depression and anxiety that clinicians measure using a wide variety of instruments, including self-report inventories designed to ascertain the severity of the symptoms. Signs that a caregiver may be experiencing depression can include frequent feelings of sadness or hopelessness, diminished interest in previously enjoyed activities, marked change in appetite, marked change in sleep habits, feelings of restlessness or lethargy, loss of energy, feelings of excessive guilt, and difficulty making decisions (APA, 2013). Signs that a caregiver may be experiencing anxiety can include excessive worry, fear of the worst happening, feeling nervous or on edge, unable to relax, easily fatigued, difficulty concentrating, difficulty breathing, heart pounding or racing, feeling hot or flushed, sweating, indigestion, muscle tension, irritability, difficulty sleeping, and feeling lightheaded or shaky (APA, 2013).

Joling et al. (2010) identified 218 spouses of Dutch patients who had received a dementia diagnosis and compared their incidence rates from depression and anxiety to age- and gendermatched comparison spouses. They followed the two groups for a six year period and found that while the two groups did not differ in frequency of anxiety diagnoses, the incidence rates for depression was 1.88% per year in spouses of people with dementia compared with 0.44% per year in spouses of people without dementia. Similarly, in the U.S., Russo, Vitaliano, Brewer, Katon, and Becker (1995) compared 82 spouse caregivers of people with dementia with matched controls and found that 20% of caregivers experienced a major depressive episode after the care recipient was diagnosed with dementia, compared with 7% of noncaregivers. A systematic review by Schoenmakers, Buntinx, and Delepeliere (2010) found that female caregivers are at higher risk for depression than their male counterparts, spouses are more often depressed than nonspouses, and Caucasian-American caregivers are more likely to become depressed than African-American and Latin-American caregivers. In terms of age differences in factors contributing to depression, older caregivers more often cited fear of dying before their care recipient and the impact of their own physical limitations on the care they are able to provide, whereas younger caregivers were more likely to cite the strain of integrating caregiving with their other responsibilities to jobs, family and friends.

The three- to fourfold greater frequency of depression diagnoses found in caregivers whose loved one has a dementia diagnosis indicates a need for specialized intervention by psychology clinicians. Further analysis and synthesis of existing empirical studies will be helpful in understanding the severity of depressive symptoms experienced by a broader group of these caregivers than can be gleaned from single studies. Awareness of severity of depressive symptoms in this group informs the urgency and nature of interventions required.

#### **Study Rationale and Research Objectives**

In recent years, our society has grown in its awareness of caregiver distress in the families of dementia patients. Consequently, there is a growing body of published work about interventions and effects of caregiving on people with loved ones suffering from dementia (Cohen, Colantonio, & Vernich, 2002; Elliott, Burgio, & DeCoster, 2010; Pinquart & Sörensen, 2006; Sanders, Ott, Kelber, & Noonan, 2008; Schultz & Martire, 2004; Selwood, Johnston, Katona, Lyketsos, & Livingston, 2007). For most medical and mental health practitioners seeking a general understanding of this diverse population, there are too many studies to identify and consider. To date, there has been a paucity of systematic reviews that provide a focused

description of caregivers of people with dementia. An overview of currently available studies will assist practitioners in identifying caregiver-care recipient pairs in need of appropriate referrals and support throughout the course of the care recipient's dementia treatment.

The present systematic review is attempting to ascertain a current clinical representation of unpaid caregivers of people with dementia. In order to construct this clinical picture, the present study aggregates, analyzes and summarises the available evidence on the assessment of subjective caregiver burden, caregiver coping and expressed emotion, and psychological distress in the caregiving experience of people who are unpaid caregivers of people with dementia. The following specific questions are addressed.

**Question 1.** Based on studies published in academic journals, what are the current demographic characteristics of age, gender, and relationship to patient for caregivers of people with dementia? To answer this question, the systematic review will (a) identify well-established instruments that quantitatively describe caregiver burden, psychological distress, and coping difficulties in unpaid family caregivers of people with dementia; (b) identify published studies that use aforementioned instruments; and (c) systematically organize data from these published studies to describe the demographic characteristics of a broad sample of caregivers.

Question 2. With respect to caregiver burden, what percentage of caregivers endorse low burden, moderate burden, and high burden? This question will be addressed by describing subjective burden trends for caregivers of people with dementia in order to help identify which caregivers are enduring clinically significant levels of burden. Clinically significant cutoff scores will be used to categorize caregivers and describe burden characteristics.

**Question 3.** What percentage of caregivers endorse minimal depression, moderate depression, and severe depression? This question will be addressed by describing depression

trends for caregivers of people with dementia in order to help identify which caregivers are enduring clinically significant levels of depression. Clinically significant cutoff scores for depression will be used to categorize caregivers and describe caregiver depression.

**Question 4.** What percentage of caregivers demonstrate emotion-related coping strategies that have been associated with exacerbating subjective burden? This question will be addressed by describing expressed emotion trends for caregivers of people with dementia in order to help identify caregivers whose coping includes high expressed emotion.

#### **Chapter 2: Methodology**

#### **Review and Analysis Procedures**

This chapter presents elements of the research methodology. This will include the following procedures: identification and collection of relevant literature on the assessment of caregiver's subjective burden, expressed emotion, and psychological distress in families of people with Alzheimer's disease and other dementias; clinical review of existing literature; organization of a comprehensive literature table; construction of data tables; and narrative synthesis of data.

#### **Search Applications**

Searches to identify relevant literature were conducted utilizing the following electronic databases: Google Scholar, PubMed, PsychINFO, and WorldCat. Additional searching through reference lists and relevant journals were also be performed. Only literature available through the Pepperdine University and University of California, Los Angeles libraries, interlibrary loans, and local public libraries was considered for inclusion. Additional hand searching of reference lists from key articles was also carried out. Journals were searched up to June 2014. Terms will be searched in titles and abstracts of articles with clinical trials. Keywords used for searches were: Alzheimer's disease, dementia, memory disorder, carer, caregiver, caregiving, expressed emotion, burden, psychological distress, stress, anxiety, depression. Only articles published in the English language were reviewed. There may be extant unpublished articles related to this topic that could not be included in the list of reviewed articles.

#### Measures

The literature analyzed includes studies that utilize various measures of subjective caregiver burden, expressed emotion constructs, and psychological distress. The initial review of

the literature yielded studies using multiple measures that were considered for eligibility. Studies with commonly utilized measures of the identified constructs were systematically selected for inclusion.

**Caregiver burden.** In terms of subjective burden, the initial literature review revealed caregivers were typically assessed using one or more of the following fourteen instruments: Zarit Burden Interview, Caregiver Burden Inventory, Caregiving Appraisal Scale, Caregiver Assessment of Function and Upset, Caregiver Load Scale, Caregiver Reaction Assessment, Caregiver Strain Index, Caregiver Tasks, Caregiver Well-Being Scale, Frustrations of caregiving subscale from the REACH II Quality of Care measure, Modified Caregiver Appraisal Scale, Caregiver Assessment of Functional Dependence, Caregiver Upset measure, Neuropsychiatry Inventory. In order to streamline the procedures, the most frequently occurring instruments used in articles related to dementia were selected for the study.

**Coping and expressed emotion.** An initial review of the literature revealed a paucity of articles formally measuring expressed emotion in caregivers of people with dementia. In order to attain a wider scope of the concept of expressed emotion in caregivers of people with dementia, results from measures of coping that incorporate the key constructs of criticism, hostility and emotional overinvolvement were considered for inclusion. As such, the following five instruments were identified in the preliminary review: Camberwell Family Interview (CFI), Five Minute Speech Sample (FMSS), Level of Expressed Emotion (LEE), Brief Coping Orientations to Problems Experienced (Brief COPE), and the Dementia Management Strategies Scale (DMSS).

**Psychological distress.** In terms of psychological distress, the preliminary literature search revealed few studies that included caregiver anxiety data, deeming it difficult to provide a

robust description of the sample in terms of anxiety, therefore only studies of caregiver's depressive symptoms were included. The following nine instruments have been identified as having been administered to caregivers in multiple research studies: Beck Depression Inventory (BDI), Beck Depression Inventory II (BDI-II), Brief Symptom Inventory (BSI), Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale (CES-D), General Health Questionnaire-28 (GHS-28), General Health Questionnaire-12 (GHS-12), Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS), Symptoms Checklist-90 (SCL-90), and Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS).

#### **Organization of the Literature**

In order to organize and analyze the literature that was selected, a table was constructed with the following categories. The results are shown in Appendix A.

- Article. Includes author(s), year of publication, title, source title and page numbers.
- Annotation. Includes summary of main findings.
- Burden Instrument. If relevant, includes name of subjective caregiver burden scale used in the study.
- EE Instrument. If relevant, includes name of expressed emotion construct scale used in the study.
- Distress Instrument. If relevant, includes name of depression scale used in the study.
- Syndrome Studied. Includes description of author's principal focus in researching participants of the study.

#### **Analysis Procedures**

Studies including quantitative data measures (i.e., mean, standard deviation, and number of participants) for caregivers of people with dementia were extracted from the studies. Only papers with published quantitative data measures were considered for inclusion. The following outcome variables were eligible for inclusion: caregiver burden, expressed emotion (criticism, hostility, emotional overinvolvement), psychological distress (depression). Whenever two or more sets of repeated measures were found in an article, only the baseline (pre-intervention) data was used for the purposes of this review. Data from three sets of studies (Vitaliano, Becker, Russo, Magana-Amato, & Maiuro, 1988-89; Vitaliano et al., 1993), (Liew et al., 2010; Seng et al., 2010; Tan, Yap, Ng, & Luo, 2013; Yap, Luo, Ng, Chionh, & Goh, 2010), (Anthony-Bergstone, Zarit, & Gatz, 1988; Zarit, Anthony, & Boutselis, 1987) included measures of the same population; as such, only data from Vitaliano et al. (1993), Liew et al. (2010), and Anthony-Bergstone et al. (1988) were used for the purposes of this review. In order to determine cutoff scores for clinical significance, literature reviews were performed on the instruments included to find commonly accepted norms.

#### **Construction of Data Tables**

In order to clearly present the data that was extracted, a series of tables were constructed for each of the following subtopics:

- Studies that measure caregiver burden.
- Studies that measure caregivers' level of expressed emotion.
- Studies that measure caregivers' psychological distress.

Within each table, data was organized into the following categories:

- A. Author(s), year of publication, and country where study was performed.
- B. Relationship to patient.
- C. Sample size and mean age of patients.
- D. Sample size, gender, and mean age of caregiver.
- E. Name of instrument used.

F. Mean and standard deviation of pertinent scales from the instrument.

#### **Restrictions Applied to Data for Study Inclusion**

In order to provide a robust description of the dementia caregiver sample to be included in this review, parameters were applied to the collected data sets. First, the following restrictions were applied to the subjective caregiver burden data: only studies with a minimum sample size of 50 were included; only studies published in the past 15 years were included (1999 – 2014); and with respect to the ZBI, only studies using the 22-item version were included. Second, the search revealed a paucity of recently published studies of expressed emotion in caregivers of people with dementia. As such, fewer restrictions were applied on the data analyzed in this dimension. The following restrictions were applied to the expressed emotion data: a minimum sample size of 30 was required for inclusion; only studies published in the past 20 years were included (1994 – 2014). Finally, the following restrictions were applied to the psychological distress data: the search revealed few studies that included caregiver anxiety data, deeming it difficult to provide a robust description of the sample in terms of anxiety, therefore only studies of caregiver's depressive symptoms were included; only studies with a minimum sample size of 50 were included; only studies published in the past 15 years (1999 – 2014) were included.

#### **Chapter 3: Results**

The results of this systematic review present a current clinical representation of unpaid caregivers of people with dementia. Electronic databases were consulted to identify instruments used in scientific research that assess caregivers' subjective burden, coping and expressed emotion, and depression. This section first describes the relevant instruments, including normative scores that were used to analyze findings. Next, ethnicity and socioeconomic markers found in the studies are described. The following sections provide characteristics of the participant caregivers. Lastly, mean scores for each included study were compared to the normative scores to determine the severity of subjective burden, trends in use of expressed emotion in coping as well as correlations found between coping styles and lower severity of burden or distress, and the severity of depression in unpaid caregivers of people with dementia.

#### **Measurement Instruments**

The systematic review yielded studies using the following measures of subjective caregiver burden, expressed emotion, and psychological distress.

#### Caregiver burden.

*Zarit Burden Interview.* As previously mentioned, the ZBI is a self-report measure of caregiver's physical health, psychological well-being, level of financial strain, quality of social life, and relationship with the care recipient. The revised version consists of 22 items that are rated on a Likert scale (0 = never to 4 = nearly always). Shorter versions of the ZBI have been created for ease of use by clinicians, including a 12-item and a 7-item version, both with excellent correlations to the long version (Bédard et al., 2001). There is no universally defined cutoff point in the ZBI for high or low subjective burden. As such, reference values for ZBI scores are based on Hébert, Bravo & Préville's (2000) study, indicating high subjective burden

(50<sup>th</sup> to 74<sup>th</sup> percentile) with score of 18.5 to 32.9 on the 22-item version and severe subjective burden (75<sup>th</sup> percentile and above) with a score of 33 or higher on the 22-item version.

*Caregiver Burden Inventory.* As previously mentioned, the CBI is a self-report measure of the impact of subjective burden on caregivers. It consists of 24 items organized into 5 subscales representing discrete dimensions of subjective burden: time-dependence, developmental, physical, social, and emotional. Items are rated on a Likert scale (0 = never to 4 = nearly always). There is no universally defined cutoff point in the CBI for high or low burden. As such, for the purposes of this study, reference values for CBI scores are based on Rinaldi et al.'s (2005) study, indicating high subjective burden with scores of  $49.37 \pm 12.1$  and low subjective burden with scores of  $20.79 \pm 11.2$ .

#### **Expressed emotion.**

*Camberwell Family Interview.* The CFI is a standardized, audio recorded interview that is later reviewed by trained raters who record the frequency of comments made reflecting criticism of the patient, hostility towards the patient, and emotional overinvolvement with the patient. Administration of the interview typically takes 1 to 2 hours, and scoring typically takes 2 to 3 hours. Leff & Vaughn's (1985) classification standards are used to identify high expressed emotion scores that are significantly predictive of relapse in patients with schizophrenia. Specifically, an interview is classified as high expressed emotion if it includes 6 or more critical comments, and/or 3 or more indications of emotional overinvolvement, and/or one or more hostile remarks. However, Butzlaff & Hooley's (1998) study of expressed emotion in families of depressed patients indicated a clinically significant relapse when critical comments cutoff was 3 or more. *Five Minute Speech Sample.* The FMSS is a standardized, audio recorded interview that is later reviewed by trained raters. Administration of the interview typically takes 5 minutes, and scoring typically takes 20 minutes. Interviews are rated as high in expressed emotion with the presence of at least one comment reflecting criticism of the patient, or at least one negative opening statement about the patient, or a negative relationship rating. High expressed emotion is also rated when the interviewe demonstrates overprotectiveness of the patient or cries during the interview. Low expressed emotion is determined by the absence of high expressed emotion (Vitaliano et al., 1988-89).

*Level of Expressed Emotion.* The LEE is a self-report measure of expressed emotion in caregivers. It consists of 60 items organized into 4 domains, each containing 15 items: intrusiveness, emotional response, negative attitude towards the illness, and tolerance towards and expectations of the patient. Items have true/false response options and each item is scored 1 point if the response indicates high expressed emotion. There is no universally defined cutoff point in the LEE for high or low expressed emotion (Van Humbeeck, Van Audenhove, De Hert, Pieters, & Storms, 2002). As such, based on results from a longitudinal study of 43 patients with schizophrenia by the developers of the LEE (Cole & Kazarian, 1993), mean scores of patients rehospitalized within 2 years were used as a general guideline for identifying high EE (LEE = 20.7) and mean scores of patients not rehospitalized after 2 years were used as a general guideline for indentifying low EE (LEE = 11.5).

*Brief Coping Orientations to Problems Experienced.* The Brief COPE is a self-report measure of how people respond to stressful events in their lives. It consists of 28 items organized into 14 subscales, each subscale comprised of 2 or more pairs of coping responses. This questionnaire does not provide an EE index, as it was not originally designed to be an EE
measure. It does, however, include subscales pertaining to the current study: venting (2 items) with features akin to criticism, and self-blame (2-items) with features akin to emotional overinvolvement. Another notable scale measures active coping: making efforts to improve the situation for oneself and one's care recipient. Items are rated on a Likert scale (1 = I usually don't do this at all to 4 = I usually do this a lot). There are no published norms or guidelines for cutoff criteria for the Brief COPE (Meyer, 2001).

*Dementia Management Strategies Scale.* The DMSS is a self-report measure that consists of 28 items organized into 6 subscales. This questionnaire does not provide an EE index, as it was not originally designed to be an EE measure. It does, however, include a criticism scale that is pertinent to the current study with 11 items that measure efforts to manage the care recipient by yelling, criticizing, threatening, and related behaviours. The other two scales are encouragement (praising or engaging the care recipient in discussing his or her feelings) and active management (efforts to safeguard, stimulate, modify the environment, and draw attention away from upset feelings). Participants are asked to indicate the frequency with which they have used the listed behaviours in the past month on a Likert scale (1 = never to 5 = most of the time). There are no published norms or guidelines for cutoff criteria for the DMSS.

### **Psychological distress.**

*Beck Depression Inventory.* The BDI is a self-report measure that consists of 21 items assessing intensity of clinical depression consistent with DSM-III criteria and the BDI-II is consistent with the DSM-IV criteria. Papers included in the present study utilized the BDI. Items are rated on a Likert scale (0 = minimal to 3 = severe). When the BDI scores are summed, 10-18 indicates mild depression, 19-29 indicates moderate depression, and 30-63 indicates severe depression (Beck, Steer, & Garbin, 1988).

*Brief Symptom Inventory.* The BSI is a self-report measure abbreviated from the Symptom Checklist-90 that consists of 53 items organized into 9 subscales. The subscale pertinent to the current study is the Depression subscale, comprised of 6 items. Items are rated on a Likert scale (0 = not at all to 4 = extremely). In the three studies found that established BSI norms for elderly community samples (De Leo, Frisone, Rozzini, & Trabucchi, 1993; Hale, Cochran & Hedgepeth, 1984; Petkus et al., 2010), the means for the six items on the Depression subscale ranged from 0.43 to 0.70. For the purposes of this study, the normative scores from De Leo et al. (1993) will be utilized because his Italian sample most closely matches those included in the present analysis. The mean Depression subscale score for males was 0.41 (SD = 0.53) and for females the mean was 0.70 (SD = 0.69). The total sample mean Depression subscale score was 0.60 (SD = 0.65). The BSI-18 procedural manual suggests a t-score of 63 as a cutoff to indicate significant distress (Derogatis, 1993). As such, clinically significant levels of depression will be indicated by 1.33 standard deviations above the mean (i.e., male score of 1.11, female score of 1.62, total score of 1.46).

*Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale.* The CES-D is a self-report measure that consists of 20 items intended for use in the general population to assess existing level of depressive symptomatology in the past 7 days. Items are rated on a Likert scale (0 = rarely to 3 = most or all of the time). Lewinsohn, Seeley, Roberts, & Allen (1997) established norms for older adults aged 50+ with a mean of 8.33 (SD = 6.84). Lyness et al. (1997) established optimum cutoff scores for recognizing major depressive disorder in older patient samples as 21. As such, for the purposes of the present study, mild or moderate depression will be indicated by 1 standard deviation above the mean (i.e., score of 15.17 to 20) and moderate to severe depression will be indicated by a score of 21 or greater.

*Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale.* The HADS is a self-report measure that consists of 14 items with subscales for anxiety and depression comprising 7 items each. The subscale pertinent to the current study is the Depression subscale. Items are rated on a Likert scale (0 = not at all to 3 = definitely/most of the time). When Depression subscale scores are summed, 0-7 indicates normal mood, 8-10 indicates borderline abnormal mood, and 11-21 indicates abnormal mood (Zigmond & Snaith, 1983). Crawford, Henry, Crombie, & Taylor (2001) administered the HADS to a large sample of the general population (n = 1792) and found the mean Depression subscale score to be 3.68 (SD = 3.07).

*Geriatric Depression Scale.* The GDS is a self-report measure that consists of 30 items (Long Form) or 15 items (Short Form) intended for use in the older population to assess intensity of depressive symptoms. Items are responded with forced choice (yes or no) in reference to how the participant felt over the last week. When the GDS scores are summed using the Short Form, 0-5 indicates normal mood, 6-10 suggests depression, 11-15 is almost always depression (Brink et al., 1982).

## **Identification of Published Studies**

In order to investigate how unpaid caregivers of people with dementia are assessed for subjective caregiver burden, expressed emotion and psychological distress, a comprehensive literature review was performed (see Figure 1). Of the 53 full-text papers assessed for review, 31 fit the restriction criteria mentioned above. Of the 31 papers included in the present review, 9 contained data that was useable in both subjective caregiver burden and psychological distress analyses (Aguglia et al., 2004; Cohen, Colantonio, & Vernich, 2002; Garcia-Alberca et al., 2014; Miller, Rosenheck & Schneider, 2010; Papastavrou et al., 2007; Papastavrou, Charalambous, Tsangari, & Karayiannis, 2012; Rinaldi et al., 2005; Tremont et al., 2013; Zanetti, Geroldi, Frisoni,

Bianchetti, & Trabucchi, 1999). One paper contained data that was useable in subjective caregiver burden, psychological distress and expressed emotion analyses (Cooper, Owens, Katona, & Livingston, 2008). One paper contained 2 discrete instruments used to measure expressed emotion with the same population (Lim, Griva, Goh, Chionh, & Yap, 2011) in addition to data useable in the subjective caregiver burden analysis. As such, a total of 44 data sets were analyzed.

## **Ethnicity and Socioeconomic Status**

Of the 53 full-text papers assessed for review, 13 of the studies (24.5%) reported the ethnicity of the participants. Additionally, eleven studies (20.7%) specified a language other than English used to administer the instruments. In sum, a total of 24 studies (45.3%) included descriptors of either ethnicity or non-English native language of the participant caregivers. In addition, two studies (3.8%) reported the religious affiliation of participants. Few studies reported socioeconomic status of the participants, with only 7 of the 53 studies (13.2%) using it as a descriptor.

## Caregiver burden

In twenty-two studies, subjective burden in unpaid caregivers of people with dementia was rated from the ZBI or the CBI. The instruments were administered by a variety of organizations across multiple countries in their respective languages. Studies were published between 1999 and 2012. Samples were taken from relatives, family members, and spouses of people with Alzheimer's disease (AD), early stage AD, dementia, late onset dementia, mild dementia, cognitive impairment. Patients were residing at home or in an institution. The results are shown in Table 1.



Figure 1. Flowchart of study selection process.

Table 1

Studies that have Measured Caregiv	ver Burden Using the	Zarit	Burden Inter	rview (	ZBI) or	the Ca	regiver $Burd\epsilon$	en Inventor	$\gamma \gamma$ (CBI)
Study description (Author/Year/Country)	Sample	H	atient			Carer		Measure	Mean (SD)
	1	z	Age Mean (SD)	Z	Fem	Male	Age Mean (SD)		
Aguglia et al. (2004) Italy	AD female relative	236	1	156	156	0	61.1 (SD 13.1)	CBI	26.9 (5.1)
	AD male relative		·	75	0	75	64.7 (SD 13.6)	CBI	23.8 (4.3)
Akpinar, Küçükgüçclü, Yener (2011) Turkey	AD female relative	192	75.83 (SD 8.91)	120	120	0	54.86 (SD 10.86)	CBI**	54.63 (21.5)
	AD male relative		74.26 (SD 8.27)	72	0	72	57.93 (SD 14.06)	CBI**	44.63 (22.39)
Arai, Matsumoto, Ikeda & Arai (2007) Japan	Late Onset Dementia relative	54	1	54	44	10	Median 70	ZBI	18.0 (range 11-34)
Benoit et al. (2005) France	AD relative living in institution	54	77.2	54	I	I		ZBI*	≈27.39 extrapolated from figure
	AD relative living at home	428		428	ı	1	1	ZBI*	$\approx 22.2$ extrapolated from figure
Cheah et al. (2012) Singapore	Cognitive impairment relative	130		130	93	37	53.9 (SD 13)	ZBI*	18.9 (16.5)
Cohen, Colantonio, Vernich (2002) Canada	Dementia relative	I	84.4	285	I	1	64	ZBI	11.87(12.29)
Cooper, Owens, Katona & Livingston (2008) United Kingdom	AD relative	83	82.2	83	52	31	64.2 (SD 15.4)	ZBI*	18.8 (12.1)
Garcia-Alberca et al. (2014) Spain	AD relative	80	77.34 (SD 5.74)	80	62	18	62.15 (SD 10.37)	CBI*	32.71 (11.35)
Hébert, Bravo & Préville (2000) Canada	Dementia relative community-dwelling	327	ı	327	259	68	61.7 (SD 13.4)	ZBI*	22.4 (16.2)

(continued)

Study description (Author/Year/Country)	Sample	I	atient			Carer		Measure	Mean (SD)
- 	4	Z	Age	z	Fem	Male	Age		
			Mean (SD)				Mean (SD)		
Hirakawa et al. (2008) Japan	Family of mild	725	81.7 (SD	725	570	155	64.2 (SD	ZBI*	29.6 (16.36)
	dementia		8.04)				12.54)		
	Family of severe	261	82.7 (SD	261	198	63	64.49 (SD	ZBI**	35.51
	dementia		8.26)				11.41)		(16.76)
Lim et al. (2011) Singapore	Dementia	109	<b>US</b> ) 8 <i>L</i>	109	LL	32	49 (SD 10.8)	ZBI**	34.2 (13.9)
	Family member		8.9)						
McLennon, Habermann & Rice (2011)	Dementia	84	<b>US</b> ) £. <i>6</i> 7	84	50	34	73.3 (SD	ZBI*	30.73 (14.9)
U.S.A.	Co-resident spouse		8.9)				10.5)		
Miller, Rosenheck & Schneider (2010) U.S.A.	AD relative	421	(S) 6.77 (S) 7.5)	410	320	06	63 (SD 15.5)	ZBI**	34.42 (15.99)
Papastavrou et al. (2007) Cyprus	Probable AD relative living at home	130	74.5	130	I		56.8 (SD 13.38)	ZBI**	50.29 (17.35)
Papastavrou et al. (2012) Cyprus	Dementia family member	172	ı	172	132	40	56.8 (SD 13.3)	ZBI**	49.1 (17.1)
Raccichini et al. (2009) Italy	AD family member	208	ı	208	144	64	77.93 (SD 6.15)	CBI	25.47 (17.68)
Rinaldi et al. (2005) Italy	Dementia relative	419	75.8 (SD 7.7)	419	302	117	58.2 (SD 14.1)	CBI*	32.5 (18)
Schneider, Murray, Banerjee & Mann (1999) European Union (14 countries)	AD co-resident spouse	280	73	280	162	118	71 yrs	ZBI**	36
Simonelli et al. (2007) Italy	AD spouse	ı	I	100	67	33	71.71 (SD 5.716)	CBI	31.59 (19.515)
Tremont et al. (2013) U.S.A.	Dementia family	250	78.06 (SD 10.06)	250	195	55	62.72 (SD 12.99)	ZBI**	38.18 (14.12)
Zanetti et al. (1999) Italy	Dementia relative	111	74.4 (SD 8.4)	111	73	38	54.6 (SD 13.1)	CBI	20.4 (16.9)
Zucchella et al. (2012) Italy	Early stage AD relative	126	76.13 (SD 6.19)	126	96	30	56.11 (SD 12.37)	CBI	19.95 (16)
<i>Note.</i> ZBI low burden indicated by scores I CBI low burden indicated by scores below	below 18.5, high burden 32, moderate burden ind	indicated icated by	1 by score of 1 v scores of 32	8.5 to 32 to 37.26	2.9 and so and so and so and so and high	evere bur 1 burden	den indicated by indicated by sco	/ a score of 3 res of 37.27	3 or higher. or higher.

b 5 b \* Results indicate high subjective burden on ZBI and moderate subjective burden on CBI \*\* Results indicate severe subjective burden on ZBI and high subjective burden on CBI

In seven of the studies, the mean subjective burden score was below the cutoff. Five of these studies used the CBI and were conducted in Italy and published between 1999 and 2012 (Aguglia et al., 2004; Raccichini, Castellani, Civerchi, Fioravanti, & Scarpino, 2009; Simonelli et al., 2008; Zanetti et al., 1999; Zucchella, Bartolo, Pasotti, Chiapella, & Sinforiani, 2012). The individual studies had samples ranging from 75 to 208 caregivers, with a combined total of 776 participants. Mean ages of caregivers ranged from 54.6 to 77.93 years; 536 of the participants were female and 240 were male. The CBI mean scores for this group ranged from 19.95 to 31.59. The remaining 2 studies with results below the cutoff used the ZBI and were conducted in Japan and Canada and published in 2007 and 2002, respectively (Arai, Matsumoto, Ikeda, & Arai, 2007; Cohen et al., 2002). The individual studies had samples ranging from 54 to 285, with a combined total of 339 participants. Ages of caregivers ranged from a median of 70 years to a mean of 64 years. The Japanese study included 44 female and 10 male caregivers; gender of caregivers was not specified in the Canadian study. The ZBI scores for the Japanese study ranged from 11 – 34, with a median of 18; the ZBI mean score for the Canadian study was 11.87.

In eight of the studies, the mean subjective burden score was in the moderate-high range. Two of these studies used the CBI and were conducted in Spain and Italy and published in 2014 and 2005, respectively (Garcia-Alberca et al., 2014; Rinaldi et al., 2005). The individual studies had samples ranging from 80 to 419 caregivers, with a combined total of 499 participants. Mean ages of caregivers ranged from 58.2 to 62.15 years; 364 of the participants were female and 135 were male. The CBI mean scores for this group ranged from 32.5 to 32.71. The remaining 6 studies with results in the moderate-high range used the ZBI and were conducted in multiple countries, including Canada, France, Singapore, United Kingdom, and the U.S.A. and published between 2000 and 2012 (Benoit et al., 2005; Cheah et al., 2012; Cooper, Owens et al., 2008;

Hébert, Bravo, & Préville, 2000; Hirakawa, Kuzuya, Enoki, Hasegawa, & Iguch, 2008; McLennon, Habermann, & Rice, 2011). Of note, one of these studies (Hirakawa et al., 2008) sorted the participants into two groups based on severity of their family members' dementia; only the group associated with mild dementia had subjective burden scores in the moderate-high range and is described here. The caregiver group associated with severe dementia will be described in the high-severe range section. The individual studies had samples ranging from 54 to 725 caregivers, with a combined total of 1,831 participants. The France study did not specify age or gender of the 482 caregivers in their study; however, for the remaining studies, mean ages of caregivers ranged from 53.9 to 73.3 years and 1,024 of the participants were female and 325 were male. The ZBI mean scores for this group ranged from 18.8 to 30.73.

In eight of the studies, the mean subjective burden score was in the high-severe range. One of these studies used the CBI and was conducted in Turkey and published in 2011 (Akpinar, Küçükgüçclü, & Yener, 2011). The study sorted the participants into 2 groups based on gender of caregiver and included 120 females and 72 males; mean ages of caregivers were 54.86 and 57.93 years, respectively, with respective CBI mean scores of 54.53 and 44.63. The remaining 7 studies with results in the high-severe range used the ZBI and were conducted in multiple countries, including Austria, Belgium, Cyprus, Denmark, Finland, Greece, Ireland, Italy, Japan, Luxembourg, Netherlands, Portugal, Singapore, Spain, Sweden, United Kingdom, and the U.S.A. and published between 1999 and 2013 (Hirakawa et al., 2008; Lim et al., 2011; Miller, Rosenheck, & Schneider, 2010; Papastavrou et al., 2007; Papastavrou et al., 2012; Schneider, Murray, Banerjee, & Mann, 1999; Tremont et al., 2013). As previously noted, in the Hirakawa et al. (2008) study, only the caregiver group associated with severe dementia is described in this section. The individual studies had samples ranging from 109 to 410 caregivers, with a combined total of 1,612 participants. The mean ages of caregivers ranged from 49 to 71 years. One study did not specify gender (Papastavrou et al., 2007); for the remainder of studies, 73% of the participants were female and 27% were male. The ZBI mean scores for this group ranged from 34.2 to 50.29.

In sum, in 23 studies with 5,249 caregiver participants, 7 studies (1,115 participants – 21.3%) showed a mean score below the clinical cutoff for subjective burden, 8 studies (2,330 participants – 44.4%) showed a mean score in the moderate to high subjective burden range, and 8 studies (1,802 participants – 34.3%) showed a mean score in the high-severe subjective burden range. The chart in Figure 2 depicts a comparison of caregiver burden results.



Figure 2. Comparison of caregiver burden results.

# **Coping and Expressed Emotion**

In eight studies, expressed emotion in unpaid caregivers of people with dementia was described by results using the Camberwell Family Interview (CFI), Five-Minute Speech Sample (FMSS), subscales of the Brief Coping Orientations to Problems Experienced (Brief COPE), and/or a subscale of the Dementia Management Strategies Scale (DMSS). The instruments were administered by a variety of organizations in Singapore, Taiwan, United Kingdom, and the U.S.A. using English or Chinese versions. Studies were conducted between 1998 and 2013. Samples were taken from relatives, family members, and spouses of people with possible or probable Alzheimer's disease (AD), dementia, and mild cognitive impairment (MCI). One study (Lim et al., 2011) measured aspects of expressed emotion using two discrete instruments with the same sample group. The individual studies had samples ranging from 36 to 201, with a combined total of 818 participants; 569 of the participants were female and 249 were male. Mean age for caregivers in 6 of the studies ranged from 49 to 74.04 years; one study (McCurry, Vitiello, Gibbons, Logsdon, & Teri, 2006) indicated participant age as a range (21–87 years). The results are shown in Table 2.

The CFI was used to measure caregivers EE in two studies (Fearon, Donaldson, Burns, & Tarrier, 1998; Tarrier et al., 2002). Sample sizes ranged from 99 to 100, with a combined total of 199 participants. Mean age of caregivers in both studies was 63 years; 113 of the participants were female and 86 were male. Results using the CFI showed predominantly low EE in unpaid caregivers of people with dementia. Specifically, criticism mean scores ranged from 2.87 to 5.11, emotional overinvolvement mean scores ranged from 1.16 to 1.51, and hostility mean scores ranged from 0.36 to 0.77. These results indicate an overall low level of EE.

In terms of the FMSS, one study reported 44% of the unpaid caregivers were rated as high EE and the remaining 56% of the caregivers were below cutoff for high EE (Hanson & Clarke, 2013). There were a total of 46 participants. The mean age of caregivers was 74.04 years; 33 of the participants were female and 13 were male. Results from the one study using the LEE showed overall EE in unpaid caregivers of people with dementia exceeded the low score cutoff, with a mean total score of 13.63 mean moderate level of EE for this caregiver sample. There were a total of 65 participants. The mean age of caregivers was 59.7 years; 47 of the participants were female and 18 were male.

In terms of the Brief COPE, two studies measured venting negative feelings and selfblame as strategies for coping with caregiver stressors (Lim et al., 2011; Ott, Sanders, & Kelber, 2007). Results from these samples showed minimal use of venting with mean scores ranging from 2.17 to 3.2 and minimal use of self-blame with mean scores ranging from 2.28 to 2.8. These results indicate an overall low level of EE for this caregiver sample. Sample sizes ranged from 109 to 201, with a combined total of 310 participants. Mean ages of caregivers ranged from 49 to 64.07 years; 239 of the participants were female and 71 were male.

Three studies used the DMSS to measure criticism, a key factor in determining level of EE (Hinrichsen & Niederehe, 1994; Lim et al., 2011; McCurry et al., 2006). Results from these samples showed mean scores ranging from 23.1 to 25.74, indicating moderate levels of criticism. The 109 participants in the Lim et al. study are previously described by their results on the Brief COPE measure. In both the DMSS and Brief COPE, these participants reported similarly low levels of criticism and emotional overinvolvement. As such, only the results from the Brief COPE will be included in the results section. Of the remaining two DMSS studies, sample sizes ranged from 46 to 152, with a combined total of 198 participants; 137 of the participants were female and 61 were male. The mean age in two of the studies ranged from 49 to 59.6 years. The third study reported caregivers' range of ages from 21 to 87 years.

Table 2

Studies that have measured caregivers' level of expressed emotion with the Camberwell Family Interview (CFI), Five-Minute Speech Sample (FMSS), Brief Coping Orientations to Problems Experienced (Brief COPE), and Dementia Management Strategies Scale (DMSS)

Study description	Sample	ц.	Datient			Carer		Measure	Criticism/	Hostility	EOI/Self-	ΕE
(Author/Year/Country)		z	Age	z	Fem	Male	Age		Venting Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	blame Mean (SD)	Total Mean (SD)
Fearon, Donaldson, Burns & Tarrier (1998) United Kingdom	AD spouse with low intimacy	36	77.3 (SD 8.1)	36	56	43	63.2 (SD 13.6)	CFI	5.11 (4.15)	0.77 (1.21)	1.51 (1.04)	
	AD spouse with high intimacy	63		63				CFI	2.87 (1.07)	0.36 (0.9)	1.16 (1.07)	1
Tarrier et al. (2002) United Kingdom	Dementia relatives	100	77.3 (SD 8.1)	100	57	43	63.1 (SD 13.6)	CFI	3.7 (3.5)	1	1	1
Hanson & Clarke (2013) England	MCI & dementia spouse	46	76.98 (SD 5.35)	46	33	13	74.04 (SD 7.09)	FMSS	1	1	I	44% participants
Li & Lewis (2013) Taiwan	Dementia relatives	65	78.8 (SD 7.5)	65	47	18	59.7 (SD 13.3)	LEE	1	1	I	13.63 (7.62)
Lim et al. (2011) Singapore	Dementia family	107	78 (SD 8.9)	109	77	32	49 (SD 10.8)	Brief COPE	3.2 (1.1)	1	2.8 (1.1)	1
Ott, Sanders & Kelber (2007) U.S.A.	AD family	201	I	201	162	39	64.07 (SD 13.88)	Brief COPE	2.17 (0.77)	I	2.28 (0.89)	I
Hinrichsen & Niederehe (1994) U.S.A.	Dementia Family	152	77.9 (SD 7.3)	152	107	45	59.6 (SD 14)	DMSS	2.34 (0.80) per item $\approx$ 25.74 on the scale	1		1
Lim et al. (2011) Singapore	Dementia family	107	78 (SD 8.9)	109	77	32	49 (SD 10.8)	DMSS	23.1 (6.9)	I	I	ı
McCurry et al. (2006) USA	Probable /possible AD relatives	46	63-93	46	30	16	21-87	DMSS	23.5 (6.3)	1	1	1
Note. CFI high expressed indicated by Criticism sec	l emotion indi ores 1+ or hos	stility sc	y Criticism sc ores 1+ or En	ores 6+ notional	or hosti   Over-ii	lity scor nvolvem	res 1+ or Em tent 1+. LEF	otional Ove 3 high expre	r-involvement ssed emotion in	H. FMSS high Idicated when	n expressed em total score is 2	otion 0.7 or

ŋ J vëy). a augy) w from 11 (never use the behaviour) to 55 (use the behaviour most of the time). In sum, in eight studies with 818 caregiver participants, results demonstrated the presence of varying degrees of elevated expressed emotion in the form of criticism, venting negative emotions, hostility, emotional overinvolvement, and self-blame in unpaid caregivers of people with dementia. Specifically, in the six studies that reported mean EE scores, 65% of the results indicated minimal levels of EE and 32% of the results indicated moderate levels of EE in these caregivers. One study representing 2% of participants reported results in terms of percentage of participants and found 44% of the 46 participant caregivers demonstrated high levels of EE. The chart in Figure 3 depicts a comparison of coping and expressed emotion results.



*Figure 3.* Comparison of coping and expressed emotion results.

**Coping strategies associated with lower subjective burden.** Of the 53 full-text papers assessed for review, seven of the studies included coping strategy measures. Three of these studies found significant associations between lower subjective burden and caregivers coping by using encouragement with the care recipient (Hinrichsen & Niederehe, 1994; Liew et al., 2010; Lim et al., 2011). The use of active coping by making efforts to modify the environment,

stimulate and distract the care recipient from uncomfortable feelings was found to be significantly associated with lower burden in three studies (Liew et al., 2010; McConaghy & Caltabiano, 2005; Zucchella et al., 2012), but in one study active coping was significantly associated with higher burden (Hinrichsen & Niederehe, 1994). Of note, in one of these studies (Zucchella et al., 2012) caregivers demonstrated lower subjective burden when they coped by using active problem solving strategies early in the disease whereas this style had less impact on subjective burden later in the disease. One study found correlations between caregivers' endorsement of personal growth with coping by reframing and religion (Ott, Sanders, & Kelber, 2007). Finally, one study did not find any significant correlations between coping style and lower subjective burden (Cooper, Owens et al., 2008).

### **Psychological Distress**

In twelve studies, psychological distress in the form of depressive symptoms in unpaid caregivers of people with dementia was rated from the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI), Brief Symptom Inventory (BSI), Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale (CES-D), Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS), and Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS). The instruments were administered by a variety of organizations in Canada, Cyprus, Italy, Spain, United Kingdom, and the U.S.A. using the versions translated into their respective languages. Studies were published between 1999 and 2014. Samples were taken from relatives and family members of people with probable Alzheimer's disease and dementia. Patients were residing at home or in an institution. The results are shown in Table 3.

The BDI was used to measure caregivers' psychological distress in three studies, each from a different organization and published between 1999 and 2014 (Garcia-Alberca et al., 2014; Miller, Rosenheck, & Schneider, 2010; Zanetti et al., 1999). Sample sizes ranged from 80 to 421,

with a combined total of 601 participants. Mean ages of caregivers ranged from 54.6 to 63 years; 455 of the participants were female and 146 were male. Results from two of the studies showed BDI mean scores ranging from 8.4 to 8.72, indicating minimal depression. In contrast, one study (Garcia-Alberca et al., 2014) resulted in a BDI mean score of 28.11, indicating moderate depression.

The BSI depression subscale was used to measure caregivers' psychological distress in two studies published in Italy by discrete organizations in 2004 and 2005. (Aguglia et al., 2004; Rinaldi et al., 2005). Sample sizes were 231 and 419 respectively, with a total of 458 females and 192 males. Caregiver mean age ranged from 58.2 to 64.7 years. Results from the two studies showed the sum of the means of the six items on the Depression subscale ranged from 5 to 5.8. For the purposes of comparing these scores to the normative samples, the sum of means was divided by the six items, resulting in Depression subscale mean scores ranging from 0.83 to 0.96. As such, none of the samples demonstrated clinical levels of distress on this subscale.

Table 3

Studies that have measured c	aregivers ' psycholo	ogical distress with t	he Beck Depression Inventory (J	DI), Brief Symptom In	ventor	$\mathcal{F}$
(BSI), Center for Epidemiolos	gical Studies Depre	ssion Scale (CES-D)	), Hospital Anxiety and Depress	on Scale (HADS), and	the 15	. 1
item Geriatric Depression Sc.	ale (GDS).					
• • •			1			100

	Mean (SD)		28.11 (8.76)		8.4 (7.33)		8.72 (8.0)		Sum of	means $=5.3$	(0.0)	Mean = 0.88	Sum of	means=5	(1.3)	Mean = 0.83	Sum of	means=5.8	(5.2)	Mean = 0.96	6.43 (8.49)		(continued)
	Measure		BDI*		BDI		BDI		<b>BSI</b> Depression				BSI Depression				<b>BSI</b> Depression				CES-D		
		Age	62.15 (SD 10.77)	(/C.01 UC)	63 (27) 17 2)	(SD 15.5)	54.6	(SD 13.1)	61.1	(SD 13.1)			64.7	(SD 13.6)			58.2	(SD 14.1)			64	(range 29- 06)	
	Carer	Male	18	0	90		38		0				75				117				91		
	)	Fem	62		320		73		156				0				302				198		
		N	80		410		111		231								419				289		
	atient	Age	77.34	(+/.CUC)	77.9	(SD 7.5)	74.4	(SD 8.4)	ı								75.8	(SD 7.7)			84.4		
	P	N	80		421		111		ı				-				419				289		
le (GDS).	Sample		AD relatives		AD relative		Dementia relative		AD female relative				AD male relative				Dementia relative				Dementia relative		
item Geriatric Depression Sca	Study description	(Author/Year/Country)	Garcia-Alberca et al. (2014) Spain		Miller, Rosenheck & Schneider	(2010) U.S.A.	Zanetti et al. (1999) Italy		Aguglia et al. (2004) Italy				·				Rinaldi et al. (2005) Italy				Cohen, Colantonio & Vernich	(2002) Canada	

Study description	Sample	F	atient			Carer		Measure	Mean (SD)
(Author/Year/Country)	1	Z	Age	Z	Fem	Male	Age		
Elliott, Burgio & DeCoster (2010) U.S.A.	AD relatives Hispanic	169	-	169	I	-	I	CES-D	10.6 (7.2)
	AD relatives White	160	1	160	1	ı	1	CES-D	9.9 (5.9)
	AD relatives African American	166	1	166	1	I	ı	CES-D	9.2 (6.1)
Papastavrou et al. (2007) Cyprus	Probable AD family living at home	130	74.5	130	ı	I	56.8 (SD 13.38)	CES-D*	18.68 (7.27)
Papastavrou et al. (2012) Cyprus	Dementia family members	168	1	168	1	ı	56.8 (SD 13.3)	CES-D*	16.7 (10.9)
Tremont et al. (2013) U.S.A.	Dementia family	250	78.06 (SD 10.06)	250	195	55	62.72 (SD 12.99)	CES-D*	16.35 (9.99)
Cooper, Owens, Katona & Livingston (2008) United Kingdom	AD relative	83	82.2	83	52	31	64.2 (SD 15.4)	HADS Depression	3.5 (2.9)
Gaugler, Mittelman, Hepburn & Newcomer (2010) U.S.A.	Dementia relative	1610	71.47 (SD 7.62)	1610	1	-	63.56 (SD 14.42)	15-item GDS*	35.8% scored 6 or higher
Note. BDI mild depression indicated	by scores 10-18, mode stress indicated for fot	rate depre al narticin	ssion indicated	by scores	s 19-29, s r females	evere dep hv score	ression is indic	cated by scores 30	-63. BSI 11 CFS-D none

Depression subscare psychological distress indicated for total participants by scores ≥ 1.46, for females by scores ≥ 1.62, for males by scores ≥ 1.11. CES-D none to minimal depression indicated by scores 15.17 to 20, moderate to severe depression indicated by scores 21+. HADS Depression subscale normal mood indicated by scores 0-7, borderline abnormal mood indicated by scores 8-10, abnormal mood indicated by scores 11-21. I5-item GDS normal mood indicated by scores 0-7, borderline abnormal mood indicated by scores 8-10, abnormal mood indicated by scores 11-21. I5-item GDS normal mood indicated by scores 0-5, depression suggested by scores 6-10, depression almost always indicated by scores 11-15. \* Results suggest evidence of depression.

The CES-D was used to measure caregivers' psychological distress in five studies, two of which originated from the same institution using discrete samples (Papastavrou et al., 2007; Papastavrou et al., 2012), and the other three from different organizations (Cohen, Colantonio, & Vernich, 2002; Elliott, Burgio, & DeCoster, 2010; Tremont et al., 2013). The studies were published between 2002 and 2013. Sample sizes ranged from 130 to 289, with a combined total of 1,332 participants. Ages of caregivers ranged from 29 to 96 years, with means reported on 3 of the studies ranging from 56.8 to 62.72 years; of note, one study did not report ages of caregivers (Elliott, Burgio & DeCoster, 2010). Only 2 studies reported gender counts: Cohen, Colantonio, & Vernich (2002) reported 198 female and 91 male caregivers; Tremont et al. (2013) reported 195 female and 55 male caregivers. Results from two of the studies showed CES-D mean scores ranging from 6.43 to 10.6, indicating none to minimal depression (Cohen, Colantonio & Vernich, 2002; Elliott, Burgio & DeCoster, 2010). In contrast, three studies (Papastavrou et al., 2007; Papastavrou et al., 2012, Tremont et al., 2013) resulted in CES-D mean scores ranging from 16.35 to 18.68, indicating moderate depression.

The HADS depression subscale was used to measure caregivers' psychological distress in one study published in the United Kingdom in 2008 (Cooper, Katona et al., 2008). The sample was a group of 83 caregivers, 52 female and 31 male. The mean age of caregivers was 64.2 years. Results showed a CES-D depression subscale mean score of 3.5, indicating normal mood.

The 15-item GDS was used to measure caregivers' psychological distress in one study published in the United States in 2010 (Gaugler et al., 2010). The sample was a group of 1,610 caregivers of unspecified gender. The mean age of caregivers was 63.56 years. Results showed that 35.8% of the sample caregivers scored above the cutoff point of 6, suggesting depression.

In sum, in twelve studies with 4,276 caregiver participants, 7 studies (2,038 participants – 47.7%) showed a mean score below the clinical cutoff for psychological distress in terms of depressive symptoms, and 5 studies (2,238 participants – 52.3%) showed a mean score above the clinical cutoff for psychological distress in terms of depressive symptoms. The chart in Figure 4 depicts a comparison of psychological distress results.



Figure 4. Comparison of depressive symptoms results.

## **Chapter 4: Discussion**

This review identified 31 papers containing 44 data sets on measures of subjective caregiver burden, expressed emotion, and/or psychological distress for unpaid caregivers of people with dementia. The results from this synthesis have considerable clinical implications for understanding the needs of unpaid family caregivers for people with dementia. The findings are consistent with previous studies in which these caregivers experience higher distress from burden and depression than their peers (Alzheimer's Association, 2015; National Alliance for Caregiving & AARP, 2009). The findings also reveal that some caregivers express criticism of the care recipient and emotional overinvolvement as ways of coping with their distress, which have unhealthy effects on themselves and their care recipients (Cooper, Katona, Orrell, & Livingston, 2008; Huang et al., 2013). Practitioners can draw from the more effective coping strategies employed by the majority of caregivers when intervening to support their dementia patient-caregiver pairs.

It is noteworthy that less than one quarter of the studies included descriptive statistics about the ethnicity of the caregivers, one-fifth described the ethnic language of the caregivers, and fewer described the socioeconomic status of the caregivers. Future research may benefit from incorporating cultural descriptors of caregivers so that clinicians will be better able to understand potentially different trends and coping strengths or needs of varied cultural groups.

## **Caregiver Burden**

An abundance of literature was found pertaining to subjective caregiver burden and only data from the two most commonly used instruments (ZBI and CBI) were included in this review. While a more inclusive review may have resulted in a different clinical picture, the large sample of 5,249 participants from 20 countries can be considered a representative sample of the

caregiver population. A synthesis of the data sets revealed that subjective caregiver burden measured with the ZBI and CBI has a distribution skewed to the left, with most caregivers demonstrating moderate-high subjective burden, and more high-severe subjective burden endorsements than low subjective burden endorsements. This trend describes a predominating experience of strain and hardship for unpaid family caregivers of people with dementia.

The findings of the present study are consistent with recent findings from the Alzheimer's Association (2014) whose survey of 3,102 caregivers for people with dementia, weighted to match the most recent U.S. census demographics for gender, age, education, ethnicity, and region, reported 59% of caregivers rated their the emotional stress of caregiving as high or very high. Given the ramifications of high subjective burden on the caregiver's physical and psychological wellbeing as well as on the patient's behavioural symptoms, it will be important for medical and mental health professionals to monitor and address caregiver burden throughout the course of the dementia illness.

## **Coping and Expressed Emotion**

In terms of expressed emotion, this review aimed to understand caregivers' coping behaviours in their relationships with the care recipients. This area of inquiry revealed the smallest number of studies pertaining to caregivers for people with dementia, and as a result this review imposed the most lenient restrictions for data inclusion. The data collected is likely representative of the available evidence relating to expressed emotion, specifically, criticism as a coping response. Future research may produce a better clinical picture of how caregivers of people with dementia tend to cope.

Caregiver levels of expressed emotion measured with a variety of instruments showed a distribution skewed to the right, with most outcomes indicating low EE, approximately a third of

outcomes indicating moderate EE, and minimal outcomes with overall high EE. This trend reveals that few caregivers of people with dementia cope with the strain and distressing aspects of their role by criticizing the care recipient or feeling hostile or overly emotionally involved in the care recipient's difficulties. However, future research may benefit from specific investigation of how the stage of dementia may impact family members' coping and use of EE.

Looking at the studies that measured EE constructs with coping instruments, it can be seen that caregivers experienced lower subjective burden when they cope by using encouragement with their loved ones who have dementia. In addition, most of the caregivers who took an active approach in modifying the environment and seeking ways to stimulate and distract their care recipients from discomfort endorsed lower subjective burden. Clinicians can help relieve caregivers by providing support for them to develop coping strategies that may reduce their experiences of burden in the caregiving role. The caregivers in many of the included studies were involved in support programs as a part of their participation in research interventions. It is recommended that greater attention be given to exploring how to implement the effective aspects of these interventions to the broader and more diverse community.

### **Psychological Distress**

With regards to psychological distress, this review sought to include symptoms of anxiety and depression experienced by caregivers; however, the search unearthed a paucity of data sets for anxiety measures and the results were limited to measures of depression. Distress can manifest itself with different symptoms and the clinical picture may have been different had this review included caregivers reporting anxiety. Nevertheless, the large sample of 4,276 participants from 6 countries can be considered a representative sample to estimate patterns of depressive distress in caregivers. Caregiver distress in terms of depressive symptoms was clinically elevated in more than half of the sample. The prevalence of depression encapsulated by the present study is higher than Schoenmakers et al.'s (2010) metaanalysis of 13 studies that found 1/3 of caregivers for people with dementia experience depression. Differences in inclusion criteria between Schoenmakers et al.'s metaanalysis and the present study may partly explain the discrepancy in findings (e.g., Schoenmakers included studies dated 1990 – 2009). However, studies in their metaanalysis showed a range of 30% to 80% of depression rates in caregivers, depending on the population and recruiting method used by the independent studies, which is consistent with the range and mean of depression prevalence found herein. The pressing need for mental health care in caregivers of people with dementia is highlighted when compared to the general population; specifically, U.S. prevalence of depression in the general population is 6.7% (Kessler, Chiu, Demler, & Walters, 2005) and the world prevalence of depression is 3.2% (Moussavi et al., 2007).

Both Schoenmakers et al. and the present study's results emphasize the widespread need for psychological intervention in caregivers for people with dementia. In the majority of cases synthesized herein, the important role caregivers play in maintaining the health and dignity of their vulnerable family member was impacted by the severity of the caregiver's own need for psychological care. Future interventions to reduce depression should focus on educating practitioners who treat dementia patients, such as neurologists and neuropsychologists, about the importance of recognizing and treating caregivers as part of integrated care for dementia patients. Attention to caregivers' psychological distress should not be treated as adjunctive but rather as critical to the effective care of the dementia patient.

## Strengths and Limitations of the Present Review

This dissertation will potentially benefit medical and psychology practitioners and the dementia patients and families for whom they provide care by providing a description of the nature and prevalence of distress related to the caregiving role. The descriptions derived from this data synthesis will alert practitioners to the importance of identifying and addressing caregivers' needs for intervention.

Results describing the caregivers varied across studies. A possible explanation for the dissimilar findings include heterogeneity of culture, language and age of the participants, differences in relationships between the participants and the care recipients. The diversity of the included data sets underscores the usefulness of a systematic review and synthesis of studies for the purpose of generalizing the findings to a broader population of caregivers. As such, the results of this review give an expanded clinical picture of unpaid voluntary caregivers for people with dementia.

Conversely, despite the appearance of diverse representation by the synthesized samples, there may be subcultures from each country that were not included in the studies. This possibility must be considered and caution used when translating the results to minority subcultures. Furthermore, despite the strength of including diverse samples in the synthesis, the variability in sample sizes between studies may have influenced the findings to be more representative of some groups than others. For example, in the results for depressive symptoms, the largest sample came from the United States. The discrepancy in sample sizes was less apparent for the subjective burden and EE results.

Another possible explanation for the varied results between studies is the difference in operationalization of key concepts in the instruments used to measure the constructs of

subjective burden, EE, and depression. For example, the CFI interprets critical comments and emotional overinvolvement from a two-hour long interview, whereas the FMSS interprets the same constructs from a five-minute interview, and the DMSS infers the construct from a forced choice self-report questionnaire. While the key constructs of this dissertation (i.e., caregiver burden, expressed emotion, depression) were each measured by multiple instruments, efforts were made to ensure the key constructs were defined as similarly as possible between the instruments.

All studies included in the review were assessed for their use of well-established instruments and reporting of quantitative data in describing the participants. The restrictions subsequently applied to the data strengthened the comparability of the included data sets. The broadness of the review process was limited by inclusion only of papers that published the means, standard deviations and number of participants. A more extensive search could include seeking the original data sets from the researchers who did not publish them. Further, the review process only searched articles published in the English language; therefore, data representing numerous cultures was excluded as a matter of course. Data published in English is more likely to come from studies with significant results. A systematic review would be better able to describe the population when data from studies with null results are also included.

As noted in the results, while this synthesis included studies from multiple nations, the ethnicity and socioeconomic aspects of the caregivers was reported in only a minority of the included studies. Nevertheless, the participants of the synthesized studies were from multiple cultures or subcultures and may have felt different pressures to answer the questions on the instruments in different ways reflective of the values in their cultures. For example, participants from collectivistic cultural backgrounds may have been more likely to feel social pressure to

minimize the impact of burden compared to participants from individualistic cultural backgrounds. Using the mean score derived from a high number of participants helped adjust the impact of response bias on the results. Finally, The participants of synthesized studies were all volunteers. It is possible that samples of volunteers would differ from nonvolunteers in how they answered questions on the instruments included in this dissertation.

### Conclusion

This review presented characteristics and experiences of caregivers who participated in research studies between 1994-2014. Areas of concern for practitioners with patients who are unpaid caregivers of people with dementia were summarized. Specifically, the majority of caregivers require clinical support for the high subjective burden related to their relationship with the care recipient, and approximately half of caregivers were experiencing clinically significant levels of depressive symptoms that may threaten their own physical health and the wellbeing of their loved one with dementia. In the studies included in this review, the majority of caregivers demonstrated minimal levels of expressed emotion. Comparatively fewer studies have been published investigating the role of expressed emotion in the coping styles of caregivers of people with their loved ones may be a useful intervention for reducing their experience of distress from burden. Future research into coping styles of caregivers of people with dementia may be useful for understanding how to provide treatment and support that will alleviate subjective burden and depression for this ever-growing population of caregivers.

Caregivers for dementia patients are an underserved population who perform a great service not only to their loved one's quality of life but also to reducing financial strain on our medical/healthcare systems by being unpaid volunteers for a highly dependent group of people in our societies. The results of the present systematic review of the literature underscore a deficit in our social and/or healthcare policies with regards to the unpaid family caregivers for patients with dementia. The findings show that these caregivers are grossly undersupported and in significant distress. Future research may explore how to extend resources to caregivers for patients with dementia beyond basic provision of the patient's diagnosis and medical care. Moving in this direction is important not only to optimize care of the dementia patient, but also to contribute to preventing negative health outcomes among caregivers.

### References

- Aguglia, E., Onor, M. L., Trevisiol, M., Negro, C., Saina, M., & Maso, E. (2004). Stress in the caregivers of Alzheimer's patients: An experimental investigation in Italy. *American Journal of Alzheimer's Disease and Other Dementias, 19*, 248–252. doi: 10.1177/153331750401900403
- Alzheimer's Association. (2014). 2014 Alzheimer's disease facts and figures. *Alzheimer's and Dementia*, 10(2), e47–e92. doi: 10.1016/j.jalz.2014.02.001
- Alzheimer's Association. (2015). 2015 Alzheimer's Disease Facts and Figures, *Alzheimer's and Dementia*, 11(3), 332–384. doi: 10.1016/j.jalz.2015.02.003
- Akpinar, B., Küçükgüçlü, Ö., & Yener, G. (2011). Effects of gender on burden among caregivers of Alzheimer's patients. *Nursing Scholarship*, 43(3), 248–254. doi: 10.1111/j.1547-5069.2011.01402.x
- American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric Publishing.
- Anthony-Bergstone, C. R., Zarit, S. H., & Gatz, M. (1988). Symptoms of psychological distress among caregivers of dementia patients. *Psychology and Aging*, 3(3), 245–248. doi: 10.1037/0882-7974.3.3.245
- Arai, A., Matsumoto, T., Ikeda, M., & Arai, Y. (2007). Do family caregivers perceive more difficulty when they look after patients with early onset dementia compared to those with late onset dementia? *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 22, 1255–1261. doi: 10.1002/gps.1935

- Arai, Y., Zarit, S. H., Sugiura, M., & Washio, M. (2002). Patterns of outcome of caregiving for the impaired elderly: A longitudinal study in rural Japan. *Aging and Mental Health*, 6(1), 39–46. doi: 10.1080/13607860120101059
- Beck, A. T., Steer, R. A., & Garbin, M. G. (1988). Psychometric properties of the Beck
  Depression Inventory: Twenty-five years of evaluation. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 8, 77–100. doi: 10.1016/0272-7358(88)90050-5
- Bédard, M., Molloy, D. W., Squirre, L., Dubois, S., Lever, J. A., & O'Donnell, M. (2001). The
  Zarit Burden Interview: A new short version and screening version. *Gerontologist*, 41(5), 652–657. doi: 10.1093/geront/41.5.652
- Benoit, M., Robert, P. H., Staccini, P., Brocker, P., Guerin, O., Lechowshi, L., Vellas, B. (2005).
  One-year longitudinal evaluation of neuropsychiatric symptoms in Alzheimer's disease.
  The REAL.FR study. *Journal of Nutrition, Health and Aging*, 9(2), 95–99.
- Berger, G., Bernhardt, T., Weimer, E. Peters, J., Kartzch, T., & Frolich, L. (2005). Longitudinal study on the relationship between symptomatology of dementia and levels of subjective burden and depression among family caregivers in memory clinic patients. *Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry and Neurology*, 18(3), 119–128. doi: 10.1177/0891988704273375
- Boland, A., Cherry, M. G., & Dickson, R. (Eds.). (2013). Doing a systematic review: A student's guide. Los Angeles, CA: Sage.
- Brashares, H. J., & Catanzaro, S. J. (1994). Mood regulation expectancies, coping responses,
   depression, and sense of burden in female caregivers of Alzheimer's patients. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease, 182*, 437–442. doi: 10.1097/00005053-199408000-00003

- Brink, T. L., Yesavage, J. A., Lum, O., Heersema, P., Adey, M. B., & Rose, T. L. (1982).
  Screening tests for geriatric depression. *Clinical Gerontologist*, *1*, 37–44. doi: 10.1300/J018v01n01\_06
- Brown, G. W., Birley, J. L. T., & Wing, J. K. (1972). Influence of family life on the course of schizophrenic disorders: A replication. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 121, 241–258. doi: 10.1192/bjp.121.3.241
- Brown, G. W., Carstairs, G. M., & Topping, G. (1958). Post-hospital adjustment of chronic mental patients. *Lancet*, *2*(7048), 685–688. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(58)92279-7
- Brown, G. W., Monck, E. M., Carstairs, G. M., & Wing, J. K. (1962). Influence of family life on the course of schizophrenic illness. *British Journal of Preventive and Social Medicine*, 16, 55–68. doi: 10.1136/jech.16.2.55
- Butzlaff, R. L., & Hooley, J. M. (1998). Expressed emotion and psychiatric relapse: A metaanalysis. Archives of General Psychiatry, 55(6), 547–552. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.55.6.547
- Carver, C. S., Scheier, M. F., & Weintraub, J. K. (1989). Assessing coping strategies: A theoretically based approach. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 56(2), 267–283. doi: 10.1037/0022-3514.56.2.267
- Caserta, M. S., Lund, D. A., & Wright, S. D. (1996). Exploring the Caregiver Burden Inventory
   (CBI): Further evidence for a multidimensional view of burden. *International Journal of Aging and Human Development, 43*(1), 21–34. doi: 10.2190/2DKF-292P-A53W-W0A8
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [CDC]. (2009). Caregivers of persons with Alzheimer's disease or dementia in Illinois, Louisiana, Ohio, and the District of

Columbia. *Behavioural Risk Factor Surveillance System Survey Data*. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [CDC]. (2010). Caregivers of persons with
  Alzheimer's disease or dementia in Connecticut, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New
  York, and Tennessee. *Behavioural Risk Factor Surveillance System Survey Data*. Atlanta,
  GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.
- Cheah, W. K., Han, H. C., Chong, M. S., Anthony, P. V., & Lim, W. S. (2012).
  Multidimensionality of the Zarit Burden Interview actors the severity spectrum of cognitive impairment: An Asian perspective. *International Psychogeriatrics, 24*(11), 1846–1854. doi: 10.1017/S104161021200110X
- Cohen, C. A., Colantonio, A., & Vernich, L. (2002). Positive aspects of caregiving: Rounding out the caregiver experience. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 17, 184–188. doi: 10.1002/gps.561
- Cole, J. D. & Kazarian, S. S. (1993). Predictive validity of the Level of Expressed Emotion
   (LEE) Scale: Readmission follow-up data for 1, 2, and 5-year periods. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 49(2), 216–218. doi: 10.1002/1097-4679
- Cooper, C., Katona, C., Orrell, M., & Livingston, G. (2008). Coping strategies, anxiety and depression in caregivers of people with Alzheimer's disease. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 23, 929–936. doi: 10.1002/gps.2007
- Cooper, C., Owens, C. Katona, C., & Livingston, G. (2008). Attachment style and anxiety in carers of people with Alzheimer's disease: Results from the LASER-AD study.
   *International Psychogeriatrics*, 20(3), 494–507. doi: 10.1017/S104161020700645X

- Crawford, J. R., Henry, J. D., Crombie, C., & Taylor, E. P. (2001). Normative data for the HADS from a large non-clinical sample. *British Journal of Clinical Psychology*, *40*, 429–434. doi: 10.1348/014466501163904
- De Leo, D., Frisone, G. B., Rozzini, R. & Trabucchi, M. (1993). Italian community norms for the Brief Symptom Inventory in the elderly. *British Journal of Clinical Psychology*, *32*, 209– 213. doi: 10.1111/j.2044-8260.1993.tb01045.x

Derogatis, L. R. (1993). Brief Symptom Inventory. San Antonio, TX: Pearson.

- Elliott, A. F., Burgio, L. D., & DeCoster, J. (2010). Enhancing caregiver health: Findings from the Resources for Enhancing Alzheimer Caregiver Health II intervention. *American Geriatrics Society*, 58(1), 30–37. doi: 10.1111/j.1532-5415.2009.02631.x
- Fearon, M., Donaldson, C., Burns, A., & Tarrier, N. (1998). Intimacy as a determinant of expressed emotion in carers of people with Alzheimer's disease. *Psychological Medicine*, 28, 1085–1090.
- Folkman S., & Lazarus, R. S. (1988). Coping as a mediator of emotion. *Journal of Personality* and Social Psychology, 54(3), 466–475. doi: 10.1037/0022-3514.54.3.466
- Garcia-Alberca, J. M., Lara, J. P., Garrido, V., Gris, E., González-Herero, V. & Lara, A. (2014).
   Neuropsychiatric symptoms in patients with Alzheimer's disease: The role of caregiver burden and coping strategies. *American Journal of Alzheimer's Disease & Other Dementias*, 29(4), 354–361. doi: 10.1177/1533317513518649
- Gaugler, J. E., Mittelman, M. S., Hepburn, K., & Newcomer, R. (2010). Clinically significant changes in burden and depression among dementia caregivers following nursing home admission. *BMC Medicine*, 8(85). doi:10.1186/1741-7015-8-85. doi: 10.1186/1741-7015-8-85

- Hale, W. D., Cochran, C. D., & Hedgepeth, B. E. (1984). Norms for the elderly on the Brief
  Symptom Inventory. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, *52*(2), 321–322.
  doi: 10.1037/0022-006X.52.2.321
- Hanson, C. D. & Clarke, C. (2013). Is expressed emotion related to estimates of ability made by older people with cognitive impairments and their partners? *Aging and Mental Health*, *17*, 535–543. doi: 10.1080/13607863.2013.770447
- Hébert, R., Bravo, G., & Préville, M. (2000). Reliability, validity and reference values of the Zarit Burden Interview for assessing informal caregivers of community-dwelling older persons with dementia. *Canadian Journal on Aging, 19*(4), 494–507. doi: 10.1017/S0714980800012484
- Hinrichsen, G. A., & Niederehe, G. (1994). Dementia management strategies and adjustment of family members of older patients. *Gerontologist*, 34(1), 95–102. doi: 10.1093/geront/34.1.95
- Hirakawa, Y., Kuzuya, M., Enoki, H., Hasegawa, J., & Iguch, A. (2008). Caregiver burden among Japanese informal caregivers of cognitively impaired elderly in community settings. *Archives of Gerontology and Geriatrics*, *46*, 367–374. doi: 0.1016/j.archger.2007.05.011
- Huang, M.-F., Huang, W.-H., Su, Y.-C., Hou, S.-Y., Chen, H.-M., Yeh, Y.-C., & Chen, C.-S. (2013). Coping strategy and caregiver burden among caregivers of patients with dementia. *American Journal of Alzheimer's Disease and Other Dementias, 28*(4). doi: 10.1177/1533317513494446
- Joling, K. J., van Hout, H. P. J., Schellevis, F. G., van der Horst, H. E., Scheltens, P., Knol, K. L., & van Marwijk, H. W. J. (2010). Incidence of depression and anxiety in the spouses

of patients with dementia: A naturalistic cohort study of recorded morbidity with at 6year follow-up. *American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, *18*(2), 146–153. doi: 0.1097/JGP.0b013e3181bf9f0f

- Joling, K. J., van Marwijk, H. W., Veldhuijzen, A. E., van der Horst, H. E., Scheltens, P., Smit,
  F., & van Hout H. P. (2015). The two-year incidence of depression and anxiety disorders in spousal caregivers of persons with dementia: Who is at the greatest risk? *American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 23(3), 293–303. doi: 10.1016/j.jagp.2014.05.005.
- Kessler, R. C., Chiu, W. T., Demler, O., & Walters, E. E. (2005). Prevalence, severity, and comorbidity of 12-month DSM-IV disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey replication. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, *62*(6), 617–627. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.62.6.617
- Leff, J., Kuipers, L., Berkowitz, R., & Sturgeon, D. (1985). A controlled trial of social intervention in the families of schizophrenic patients: Two year follow-up. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 146, 594–600. doi: 10.1192/bjp.146.6.594
- Leff J. P., & Vaughn C. (1985). Expressed emotion in Families: Its significance for mental illness. New York, NY: Guilford.
- Lewinsohn, P. M., Seeley, J. R., Roberts, R. E., & Allen, N. B. (1997). Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D) as a screening instrument for depression among community-residing older adults. *Psychology and Aging*, *12*(2), 277–287. doi: 10.1037/0882-7974.12.2.277
- Li, C.-Y., & Lewis, F. M. (2013). Expressed emotion and depression in caregivers of older adults with dementia: Results from Taiwan. *Aging and Mental Health*, *17*(8), 924–929. doi: 10.1080/13607863.2013.814098

- Li, C.-Y., & Murray, M. A. (2014). A review of conceptualization of expressed emotion in caregivers of older adults with dementia. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*. doi: 10.1111/jocn.12619.
- Liew, T. M., Luo, N., Ng, W. Y., Chionh, H. L., Goh, J., & Yap, P. (2010). Predicting gains in dementia caregiving. *Dementia and Geriatric Cognitive Disorders*, 29, 115–122. doi: 10.1159/000275569
- Lim, J., Griva, K., Goh, J., Chionh, H. L., & Yap, P. (2011). Coping strategies influence caregiver outcomes among Asian family caregivers of persons with dementia in Singapore. *Alzheimer's Disease and Associated Disorders*, 25(1), 34–41. doi: 10.1097/WAD.0b013e3181ec18ae
- Lyness, J. M., Noel, T. K., Cox, C., King, D. A., Conwell, Y., & Caine, E. D. (1997). Screening for depression in elderly primary care patients. A comparison of the Center for Epidemiologic Studies-Depression Scale and the Geriatric Depression Scale. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, 157(4), 449–454. doi: 10.1001/archinte.1997.00440250107012.
- McConaghy, R., & Caltabiano, M. L. (2005). Caring for a person with dementia: Exploring relationships between perceived burden, depression, coping and well-being. *Nursing and Health Science*, 7, 81–91. doi: 10.1111/j.1442-2018.2005.00213.x
- McCurry, S. M., Vitiello, M. V., Gibbons, L. E., Logsdon, R. G., & Teri, L. (2006). Factors associated with caregiver reports of sleep disturbances in persons with dementia.
   *American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 14(2), 112–120. doi: 10.1097/01.JGP.0000192499.25940.da
- McLennon, S. M., Habermann, B., & Rice, M. (2011). Finding meaning as a mediator of burden on the health of caregivers of spouses with dementia. *Aging and Mental Health*, 15(4), 522–530. doi: 10.1080/13607863.2010.543656
- Meyer, B. (2001). Coping with severe mental illness: Relations of the Brief COPE with symptoms, functioning, and well-being. *Journal of Psychopathology and Behavioral Assessment, 23*(4), 265–277. doi: 10.1023/A:1012731520781
- Miller, E. A., Rosenheck, R. A., & Schneider, L. S. (2010). Caregiver burden, health utilities, and institutional service costs among community-dwelling patients with Alzheimer disease. *Alzheimer's Disease and Associated Disorders, 24*, 380–389. doi: 10.1097/WAD.0b013e3181eb2f2e
- Montero, I., Asencio, A., Hernández, I., Masanet, M. J., Lacruz, M., Bellver, F., Iborra, M., & Ruiz, I. (2001). Two strategies for family intervention in schizophrenia: A randomized trial in a Mediterranean environment. *Schizophrenia Bulletin, 27*(4), 661–670. doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.schbul.a006905
- Moussavi, S., Chatterji, S., Verdes, E., Tandon, A., Patel, V., & Ustun, B. (2007). Depression, chronic diseases, and decrements in health: Results from the World Health Surveys.
   *Lancet*, 370(9590), 851–858. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(07)61415-9
- National Alliance for Caregiving & AARP, Inc. (2009). *Caregiving in the U.S.* Retrieved from http://www.aarp.org/caregivingus
- Novak, M. & Guest, C. (1989). Application of a multidimensional caregiver burden inventory. *Gerontologist, 29*(6), 798–803. doi: 10.1093/geront/29.6.798

- Ott, C. H., Sanders, S., & Kelber, S. T. (2007). Grief and personal growth experience of spouses and adult-child caregivers of individuals with Alzheimer's disease and related dementias. *Gerontologist*, 47(6), 798–809. doi: 10.1093/geront/47.6.798
- Papastavrou, E., Charalambous, A., Tsangari, H., & Karayiannis, G. (2012). The burdensome and depressive experience of caring. *Cancer Nursing*, 35(3), 187–194. doi: 10.1097/NCC.0b013e31822cb4a0
- Papastavrou, E., Kalokerinou, A., Papacostas, S. S., Tsangari, H, & Sourtzi, P. (2007). Caring for a relative with dementia: Family caregiver burden. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 58(5), 446–457. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2648.2007.04250.x
- Petkus, A. J., Gum, A. M., Small, B., Malcarne, V. L., Stein, M. B., & Loebach Wetherell, J. (2010). Evaluation of the factor structure and psychometric properties of the Brief
  Symptom Inventory-18 with homebound older adults. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 25, 578–587. doi: 10.1002/gps.2377
- Pinquart, M., & Sörensen, S. (2006). Helping caregivers of persons with dementia: Which interventions work and how large are their effects? *International Psychogeriatrics*, 18(4), 577–595. doi: 10.1017/S1041610206003462
- Plassman, B. L., Langa, K. M., Fisher, G. G., Heeringa, S. G., Weir, D. R., Ofstedal, M. B., . . .
  Wallace, R. B. (2007). Prevalence of Dementia in the United States: The aging,
  demographics, and memory study. *Neuroepidemiology*, 29, 1–132. doi:
  10.1159/000109998
- Raccichini, A., Castellani, S., Civerchia, P., Fioravanti, P., & Scarpino, O. (2009). The caregiver's burden of Alzheimer patients: Differences between live-in and non-live-in.

*American Journal of Alzheimer's Disease & Other Dementias, 24*(5), 377–383. doi: 10.1177/1533317509340025

- Rinaldi, P., Spazzafumo, L., Mastriforti, R., Mattioli, P., Marvardi, M., Polidori, M. C., . . . Meccoci, P. (2005). Predictors of high level of burden and distress in caregivers of demented patients: Results of an Italian multicenter study. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 20, 168–174. doi: 10.1002/gps.1267
- Russo, J., Vitaliano, P. P., Brewer, D. D., Katon, W., & Becker, J. (1995). Psychiatric disorders in souse caregivers of care recipients with Alzheimer's disease and matched controls: A diathesis-stress model of psychopathology. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 104*(1), 197–204. doi: 10.1037/0021-843X.104.1.197
- Sanders, S., Ott, C. H., Kelber, S. T., & Noonan, P. (2008). The experience of high levels of grief in caregivers of persons with Alzheimer's disease and related dementia. *Death Studies*, 32, 495–523. doi: 10.1080/07481180802138845
- Schneider, J., Murray, J., Banerjee, S., & Mann, A. (1999). Eurocare: A cross-national study of co-resident spouse carers for people with Alzheimer's disease: I Factors associated with carer burden. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 14, 651–661. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1099-1166
- Schoenmakers, B., Buntinx, F., & Delepeleire, J. (2010). Factors determining the impact of caregiving on caregivers of elderly patients with dementia. A systematic literature review. *Maturitas*, 66, 191–200. doi: 10.1016/j.maturitas.2010.02.009
- Schulz, R. & Martire, L. M. (2004). Family caregiving of persons with dementia: Prevalence, health effects, and support strategies. *American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 12(3), 240–249. doi:10.1097/00019442-200405000-00002

- Selwood, A., Johnston, K., Katona, C., Lyketsos, C., & Livingston, G. (2007). Systematic review of the effect of psychological interventions on family caregivers of people with dementia. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 101, 75–89. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2006.10.025
- Seng, B. K., Luo, N., Ng, W. L., Lim, J., Chionh, H. L., Goh, J., & Yap, P. (2010). Validity and reliability of the Zarit Burden Interview in assessing caregiving burden. *Annals of the Academy of Medicine Singapore*, 39, 758–763.
- Sepulveda, A. R., Todd, G., Whitaker, W., Grover, M., Stahl, D., & Treasure, J. (2010).
  Expressed emotion in relatives of patients with eating disorders following skills training program. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 43(7), 603–610. doi: 10.1002/eat.20749
- Simonelli, C., Tripodi, F., Rossi, R., F., Fabrizi, A., Lembo, D., & Pierleoni, L. (2008). The influence of caregiver burden on sexual intimacy and marital satisfaction in couples with an Alzheimer spouse. *International Journal of Clinical Practice*, 62(1), 47–52. doi: 10.1111/j.1742-1241.2007.01506.x
- Tan, L., Yap, P., Ng, W. Y., & Luo, N. (2013). Exploring the use of the Dementia Management Strategies Scale in caregivers of persons with dementia in Singapore. *Aging and Mental Health*, 17(8), 935–941. doi: 10.1080/13607863.2013.768209
- Tarrier, N., Barrowclough, C., Ward, J., Donaldson, C., Burns, A., & Gregg, L. (2002).
  Expressed emotion and attributions in the carers of patients with Alzheimer's disease:
  The effect on carer burden. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 222*(2), 340–349. doi: 10.1037/0021-843X.111.2.340
- Tremont, G., Davis, J., Papandonatos, G. D., Grover, C., Ott, B. R., Fortinsky, R. H., Gozalo, P.,& Bishop, D. S. (2013). A telephone intervention for dementia caregivers: Background,

design, and baseline characteristics. *Contemporary Clinical Trials*, *36*(2), 338–347. doi: 10.1016/j.cct.2013.07.011

United States Congress Senate Special Committee on Aging. (2012). *Alzheimer's disease and dementia: A comparison of international approaches: Report of the Special Committee on Aging, United States Senate pursuant to S. Res. 81, sec. 17(d), March 2, 2011, resolution authorizing a study of the problems of aged and aging.* Retrieved from http://www.google.com/url?sa=t&rct=j&q=&esrc=s&source=web&cd=1&cad=rja&uact =8&ved=0CB8QFjAA&url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.gpo.gov%2Ffdsys%2Fpkg%2FCRP T-112srpt254%2Fpdf%2FCRPT-

112srpt254.pdf&ei=jLQZVcGcEY2uogTp74KIBA&usg=AFQjCNEvxTLCTewXQ3Ftd 7YVQBRmFPevNA&bvm=bv.89381419,d.cGU

- Upton, N., & Reed, V. (2006). The influence of social support on caregiver coping. *International Journal of Psychiatric Nursing Research*, 11(2), 1256–1267.
- Van Humbeeck, G., Van Audenhove, C. De Hert, M., Pieters, G., & Storms, G. (2002).
   Expressed emotion: A review of assessment instruments. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 22(3), 321–341. doi: 10.1016/S0272-7358(01)00098-8
- Vaughn, C. & Leff, J. (1976). The measurement of expressed emotion in the families of psychiatric patients. *British Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology*, 15, 157–165. doi: 10.1111/j.2044-8260.1976.tb00021.x
- Vitaliano, P. P., Becker, J., Russo, J., Magana-Amato, A., & Maiuro, R. D. (1988-89). Expressed emotion in spouse caregivers of patients with Alzheimer's disease. *Journal of Applied Social Sciences*, 13, 215–250.

- Vitaliano, P. P., Young, H. M., Russo, J., Romano, J., & Magana-Amato, A. (1993). Does expressed emotion in spouses predict subsequent problems among care recipients with Alzheimer's disease? *Journal of Gerontology*, 48(4), P202–P209. doi: 10.1093/geronj/48.4.P202
- Vitaliano, P. P., Zhang, J., & Scanlan, J. M. (2003). Is caregiving hazardous to one's physical health? A meta-analysis. *Psychological Bulletin*, 129(6), 946–972. doi: 10.1037/0033-2909.129.6.946
- Wagner, A. W., Logsdon, R. G., Pearson, J. L., & Teri, L. (1997). Caregiver expressed emotion and depression in Alzheimer's disease. *Aging & Mental Health*, 1(2), 132–139. doi: 10.1080/13607869757227
- Weardon, A. J., Tarrier, N., Barrowclough, C., Zastowny, T. R., & Rahill, A. A. (2000). A review of expressed emotion research in health care. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 20(5), 633–666. doi: 10.1016/S0272-7358(99)00008-2
- World Health Organization & Alzheimer's Disease International. (2012). *Dementia: A public health priority*. Retrieved from

http://www.who.int/mental\_health/publications/dementia\_report\_2012/en/

Wu, H., Wang, J., Cacioppo, J. T., Glaser, R., Kiecolt-Glaser, J. K., & Malarkey, W. B. (1999).
Chronic stress associated with spousal caregiving of patients with Alzheimer's dementia is associated with downregulation of B-lympohocyte GH mRNA. *Journals of Gerontology Series A: Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences, 54*(4), M212-215. doi: 10.1093/gerona/54.4.M212

- Yap, P., Luo, N., Ng, W. Y., Chionh, H. L., & Goh, J. (2010). Gain in Alzheimer care instrument: A new scale to measure caregiving gains in dementia. *American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 18(1), 68–76. doi: 10.1097/JGP.0b013e3181bd1dcd
- Zanetti, O., Geroldi, C., Frisoni, G. B., Bianchetti, A., & Trabucchi, M. (1999). Contrasting results between caregiver's report and direct assessment of activities of daily living in patients affected by mild and very mild dementia: The contribution of the caregiver's personal characteristics. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 47(2), 196–202. doi: 10.1111/j.1532-5415.1999.tb04578.x
- Zarit, S. H., Anthony, C. R., & Boutselis, M. (1987). Interventions with care givers of dementia patients: Comparison of two approaches. *Psychology and Aging*, 2(3), 225–232. doi: 10.1037/0882-7974.2.3.225
- Zarit, S. H., Reever, K. E., & Bach-Peterson, J. (1980). Relatives of the impaired elderly:
  Correlates of feelings of burden. *Gerontologist*, 20(6), 649–655. doi:
  10.1093/geront/20.6.649
- Zigmond, A. S., & Snaith, R. P. (1983). The Hospital Anxiety and Depression scale. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, 67(6), 361–370. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0447.1983.tb09716.x
- Zucchella, C., Bartolo, M., Pasotti, C., Chiapella, L., & Sinforiani, E. (2012). Caregiver burden and coping in early-stage Alzheimer disease. *Alzheimer Disease and Associated Disorders, 26*(1), 55–60. doi: 10.1097/WAD.0b013e31821aa6de

## APPENDIX A Comprehensive Literature Table

## Comprehensive Literature Table

	Article	Annotation	Burden Instrument	EE Instrument	Distress Instrument	Syndrome studied
1.	Aguglia, E., Onor, M. L., Trevisiol, M., Negro, C., Saina, M., & Maso, E. (2004). Stress in the caregivers of Alzheimer's patients: An experimental investigation in Italy. American Journal of Alzheimer's Disease and Other Dementias, 19, 248–252.	Outlines "economic & social costs" of caregiving. Outcome: financial burden of caregiving (i.e., reducing paid work hours to be at home with patient) impacts scores on BSI & CBI. - males report significantly less physical strain than females - low MMSE associated with high CBI & BSI - low ADL/IADL associated with high CBI & BSI	СВІ	(none)	BSI (Brief Symptom Inventory)	Dementia
2.	Alzheimer's Association. (2014). 2014 Alzheimer's disease facts and figures, <i>Alzheimer's and</i> <i>Dementia</i> , 10(2), e47–e92.	- 2014 telephone survey to 3,102 caregivers for people with dementia, weighted to match the most recent U.S. census Demo-graphics for gender, age, education, ethnicity, and region, and telephone service reported 59% of caregivers rated their the emotional stress of caregiving as high or very high	(none)	(none)	(none)	Demo- graphics
3.	Alzheimer's Association. (2015). 2015 Alzheimer's disease facts and figures. <i>Alzheimer's and</i> <i>Dementia</i> , 11(3), 332–384.	AD 6 <sup>th</sup> leading cause of death in USA, only cause of death in the top 10 in USA that cannot be prevented, cured or slowed, 1/3 of seniors die with AD or other dementia 15.7 million ppl in USA are unpaid family or friend caregivers for AD, dementia, mental confusion 75% of ppl with dementia who are not in residential facilities live at home with caregiver, the other 25% live alone. Chronic stress of caregiving more likely to have cardiovascular disease and impaired kidney fn, reduced immune function, slow wound healing	(none)	(none)	(none)	Demo- graphics

4.	Alzheimer's	AD is 6 <sup>th</sup> leading cause of	(none)	(none)	(none)	Demo-
	Association	death in USA. 15 million			. ,	graphics
	(2012).	Americans are unpaid				
	Alzheimer's	caregivers for dementia.				
	disease facts and	Breakdown of Demo-				
	figures,	graphics incl tasks, estimate				
	Alzheimer's and	of hours spent per annum,				
	Dementia, 8(2),	fiscal value to nation				
	1–72.	because unpaid. Outlines				
		caregiver roles. Costs of				
		longterm care services.				
		800,000 people with AD				
		live alone in USA –				
		increased risk for neglect,				
		injury, psychological				
		distress				
5.	Akpinar, B.,	Gender comparison in	CBI	(none)	(none)	Dementia
	Küçükgüçlü, Ö.,	burden.				
	& Yener, G.	Outcome: males				
	(2011). Effects	experienced higher burden				
	of gender on	in terms of time				
	burden among	dependence,				
	caregivers of	developmental, social &				
	Alzheimer's	physical burden. Males &				
	patients.	females no difference in				
	Nursing	terms of emotional burden.				
	Scholarship,					
	<i>43</i> (3), 248–254.					
6.	American	Diagnostic criteria.	(none)	(none)	(none)	(none)
	Psychiatric					
	Association.					
	(2013).					
	Diagnostic and					
	statistical					
	manual oj montal disondona					
	(5th ad)					
	(Julieu.).					
	American					
	Psychiatric					
	Publishing					
7	Anthony-Bergstone	Outcome: hostility and	Zarit	(none)	BSI	Dementia
1.	C R Zarit S	anxiety are elevated for	Zunt	(none)	001	Dementia
	H & Gatz M	caregivers significantly ore				
	(1988)	than normative population				
	Symptoms of	Depression is significantly				
	psychological	elevated for older female				
	distress among	caregivers compared to				
	caregivers of	normative population.		1		
	dementia	1 1				
	patients.					
	Psychology and			1		
	Aging, $3(3)$ ,					
	245-248.					
8.	Arai, Y., Zarit, S.	- the majority of caregivers	Zarit	(none)	(none)	Disabled
	H., Sugiura, M.,	in this study showed				elderly
	& Washio, M.	decreases in burden over				
	(2002). Patterns	the course of a year,				
	of outcome of	suggesting adaptation to				
	caregiving for	role.				
	the impaired	- those who had highest		1		
1	elderly: A	ZBI at beginning of study		1		

			-	-		
	longitudinal	were most likely to give up				
	study in rural	caregiving by finding an				
	Japan. Aging	alternate caregiver or by				
	and Mental	institutionalizing the patient				
	<i>Health</i> , 6(1),	-those who were caring for				
	39–46.	an individual with dementia				
		were significantly less				
		likely to successfully adapt				
		to their role of caregiver				
		over time (7BI scores did				
		not significantly reduce				
		after 1 year compared to				
		nondementia)				
0	Aroj A	Comparison between	Zarit	(none)	GHO 28	Dementia
9.	Matsumoto T	corregivers of patients with	Zant	(none)	011Q-28	Dementia
	Ikada M &	onset younger than 64 years				
	Arej V $(2007)$	and aprogiuors of nationts				
	Alal, $1.(2007)$ .	and caregivers of patients				
		Ost years old.				
	calegivers	outcome. Despite similar				
	difficulty sub-	patient functioning, early				
	difficulty when	onset caregivers rated their				
	they look after	difficulties coping with				
	patients with	benavioural disturbances as				
	early onset	more severe than late onset				
	dementia	caregivers but did not				
	compared to	endorse higher burden.				
	those with late	Maybe perceived difficulty				
	onset dementia?	is a precursor to burden.				
	International					
	Journal of					
	Geriatric					
	Psychiatry, 22,					
	1255–1261.					
10.	Beck, A. T., Steer,	BDI-II	(none)	(none)	(none)	(none)
	R. A., & Brown,	0-13 minimal				
	G. K. (1996).	14-19 mild depression				
	Beck Depression	20-28 moderate depression				
	Inventory-II.	29-63 severe depression				
	San Antonio,					
	TX: Pearson.					
11.	Beck, A. T., Steer,	BDI	(none)	(none)	(none)	(none)
	R. A., & Garbin,	<10 none-minimal				
	M. G. (1988).	10-18 mild to moderate				
	Psychometric	19-29 moderate to severe				
	properties of the	30-63 severe				
	Beck					
	Depression					
	Inventory:					
	Twenty-five					
	years of					
	evaluation.					
	Clinical					
	Psychology					
	Review, 8, 77–					
	100.					
12.	Bédard, M.,	12-item version of Zarit	Zarit	(none)	(none)	Dementia
	Molloyo, D. W.,	Burden Interview is highly				
	Squire, L.,	comparable to the standard				
	Dubois, S.,	22-item version.				
1	Lever, J. A., &					
	O'Donnell, M.					
	(2001). The					

	Zarit Burden Interview: A new short					
	version and					
	version.					
	Gerontologist,					
12	41(5), 652–657.	Outcome: AD nationts who	Zorit	(nona)	(nona)	Domontio
13.	<ul> <li>Benoit, M., Röbert,</li> <li>P. H., Staccini,</li> <li>P., Brocker, P.,</li> <li>Guerin, O.,</li> <li>Lechowshi, L.,</li> <li>&amp; Vellas, B.</li> <li>(2005). One-</li> <li>year</li> <li>longitudinal</li> <li>evaluation of</li> <li>neuropsychiatric</li> <li>symptoms in</li> <li>Alzheimer's</li> <li>disease. The</li> <li>REAL.FR study.</li> <li>Journal of</li> <li>Nutrition,</li> <li>Health and</li> <li>Aging, 9(2), 95–</li> <li>99.</li> </ul>	outcome: AD patients who have lower MMSE, higher agitation and higher disinhibition ratings, and higher Zarit caregiver burden score are significantly more likely to be institutionalized within 12 months compared to other AD patients	Zarit	(none)	(none)	Dementia
14.	Berger, G., Bernhardt, T., Weimer, E. Peters, J., Kartzch, T., & Frolich, L. (2005). Longitudinal study on the relationship between symptomatology of dementia and levels of subjective burden and depression among family caregivers in memory clinic patients. Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry and Neurology, 18(3), 119–128.	Also measured patients' dementia severity over time. ZBI/BDI/GDS administered at baseline ( $n$ = 45), 3 months ( $n$ = 45), 6 months ( $n$ = 42), 12 months ( $n$ = 34), and 24 months ( $n$ = 18). Outcome: correlation between patient's severity and caregiver burden and caregiver depression is significant at 12 months and more strongly significant level at other times.	Zarit	(none)	GDS BDI	Dementia
15.	Bledin, K. D., MacCarthy, B., Kuipers, L., & Woods, R. T. (1990). Daughters of people with dementia. Expressed	Outcome: High EE in daughters caring for parents with dementia significantly correlated with higher levels of strain and distress.	(none)	CFI	(none)	Dementia

<b></b>						1
	emotion, strain,					
	and coping.					
	British Journal					
	of Psychiatry					
	157 221 227					
	137, 221–227.					~
16.	Boland, A., Cherry,	-"lit review designed to	(none)	(none)	(none)	Systema-
	M. G., &	locate, appraise and				tic review
	Dickson, R.	synthesize the best				method-
	(Eds) (2013)	available evidence relating				logy
	(Eds.). (2015).	to a specific research				1059
	Doing u	to a specific research				
	systematic	question to provide				
	review: A	informative and evidence –				
	student 's guide.	based answers. This info				
	Los Angeles,	can then be combined w				
	CA: Sage.	professional judgment to				
		make decisions about how				
		to deliver interventions or				
		to deriver interventions of				
		to make changes to policy.				
		9 steps in systematic review				
		process:				
		1) identify a review				
		question				
		2) literature search				
		of databases to				
		i d nanora related				
		i.u. papers related				
		to my review				
		question				
		3) screen titles and				
		abstracts and				
		discard irrelevant				
		keen relevant				
		4) obtain full taxt				
		4) obtain full-text				
		papers				
		5) apply inclusion				
		criteria and				
		ruthlessly exclude				
		ones that don't fit				
		my criteria				
		6) assess full text				
		b) assess full-text				
		papers for				
		methodological				
		quality and				
		appropriate				
		quality				
		assessment tool				
		7) identify the data I				
		require from each				
		napar and				
		paper and				
		summarize the				
		data in tables				
		<ol> <li>Synthesize data</li> </ol>				
1		using narrative				
1		synthesis or meta-				
1		analysis				
1		9) Write up				
1		bools around				
1		background,				
1		methods, results,				
1		discussion of				
		findings and draw				
		conclusion.				
17.	Brashares, H. J., &	Outcome: positive	Zarit	Generalize	Research	Dementia
- / .	Catanzaro S I	correlation between active		d	and	

1.00							
		(1994). Mood regulation expectancies, coping responses, depression, and sense of burden in female caregivers of Alzheimer's patients. Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease, 182, 437–442.	coping (problem solving) and depressive symptoms in caregivers. Usually active coping is related to higher functioning but seems when stressors are beyond control (as in dementia) caregivers accustomed to active coping are unsuccessful and become discouraged. Support caregivers by increasing negative mood regulation skills, i.e., target feeling upset with active coping rather than targeting the dementia symptoms.		Expectancy for Negative Mood Regulation Scale (NMR)	Diagnostic Criteria	
	18.	Brink, T. L., Yesavage, J. A., Lum, O., Heersema, P., Adey, M. B., & Rose, T. L. (1982). Screening tests for geriatric depression. <i>Clinical</i> <i>Gerontologist</i> , 1, 37–44.	GDS-15 (Short Form) >5 suggests depression >10 almost always depression	(none)	(none)	(none)	(none)
	19.	Brodaty, H. & Arasaratnam, C. (2012). Meta- analysis of nonpharmacolog ical interventions for neuropsychiatric symptoms of dementia. <i>American</i> <i>Journal of</i> <i>Psychiatry</i> , <i>169</i> (9), 946– 953.	Use as example for tables & flowchart? Metaanalysis performed using "review manager" = standardized mean differences btwn pre- and post tx assessments used to determine effect sizes btwn 1600 articles. Used 23 studies in final review. Outcome: signif improvement or neutral outcomes based on reduced behavioural and psychological sx frequency or severity for persons with dementia. Signif improvement or neutral outcomes based on subsidiary scales on Neuropsych inventory and the Revised memory and Beh Problem Checklist. Recommend: 9 to 12 sessions tailored to needs of dyad delivered individually in the home over 3-6 mos with periodic follow up	(none)	(none)	(none)	Recommendation s
	20.	Brown, G. W., Birley, J. L. T., & Wing, J. K. (1972).	Patients discharged from hospital in Camberwell, UK with probable schizophrenia dx then	(none)	Camberwel 1 Family Interview	(none)	Schizo- phrenia

	Influence of family life on the course of schizophrenic disorders: A replication. <i>British Journal</i> of <i>Psychiatry</i> , <i>121</i> , 241–258.	readmitted within 5 years were interviewed multiple times and family were interviewed at home at time of admission then again 9 months after discharge. Family members' interviews were rated for frequency of critical comments, hospitality, dissatisfaction, warmth, and emotional over- involvement and an overall index of relative's expressed emotion was calculated. Outcome: 9 months after discharge High EE 58% relapse Low EE 16% relapse 0 critical comments 19% relapse 1-6 critical comments 26% relapse 7+ critical comments 57% relapse Hostility not evident 32% relapse 0-3 emotional overinvolv 36% relapse 4-5 emotional overinvolv 36% relapse Warmth not included in overall index. Hi warmth +ve related to emo overinv Lo warmth +ve related to emo overinv Lo warmth +ve related to emo overinv Lo warmth hve related to ether critical com				
21.	Brown, G. W., Carstairs, G. M., & Topping, G. (1958). Post- hospital adjustment of chronic mental patients. <i>Lancet</i> , 2(7048), 685– 688.	All disorder types had approximately 32% readmission to hospital for similar symptoms in less than 1 year. For patients with schizophrenia, a relationship was found between post-discharge living arrangements and readmission in less than 1 year. I.e., patients living in large hostel or with parents, wives had more readmissions than other types of lodging or living with siblings	(none)	(none)	(none)	Schizo- phrenia Depres- sion Epilepsy Psychoses Psycho- neuroses Other

22.	Brown, G. W., Monck, E. M., Carstairs, G. M., & Wing, J. K. (1962). Influence of family life on the course of schizophrenic illness. <i>British</i> <i>Journal of</i> <i>Preventive and</i> <i>Social Medicine</i> , <i>16</i> , 55–68.	<ul> <li>p. 57 describes rating scale for expressed emotion, dominating behaviour, and expressed hostility.</li> <li>High EE = uncontrolled emotional frequently shown in the interview.</li> <li>Outcome: High EE 8/9 patients rehospitalized (89%)</li> <li>Moderate EE 18/24 patients rehospitalized (75%)</li> <li>Minimal EE 23/55 patients rehospitalized (42%)</li> <li>Low EE 2/9 patients rehospitalized (22%)</li> <li>Similar outcomes on hostility and dominance scales.</li> <li>Patients discharged to highly emotional family situations where they lived had significantly higher rate of worsening symptoms and were rehospitalized in less than one year.</li> </ul>	(none)	Camberwel 1 Family Interview	(none)	Schizo- phrenia
23.	Butzlaff, R. L., & Hooley, J.M. (1998). Expressed emotion and psychiatric relapse: A meta- analysis. Archives of General Psychiatry, 55(6), 547–552.	EE of family members predicts schizophrenia patient relapse with strong effect size when critical comments are 6 or more. EE of family members predicts depression patient relapse with strong effect size when critical comments are 3 or more, suggesting EE is a stronger predictor of outcome for depression than it is for schizophrenia. EE of family members predicts eating disorder patient relapse with strong effect size when critical comments are slightly lower than schizophrenia, suggesting similar or stronger prediction value.	(none)	CFI	(none)	Schizo- phrenia Depres- sion Eating Disorder
24.	Cannuscio, C. C., Jones, C., Kawachi, I., Colditz, G. A., Berkman, L., & Rimm, E. (2002). Reverberations of family illness: A longitudinal assessment of informal	Women who had been spousal or parent caregivers reported 2x more likelihood than noncaregivers to experience depressive or anxious symptoms in the 4 years following the end of their caregiving role. Women who reported caregiving as rewarding were 50% less likely to experience	(none)	(none)	(none)	Demo- graphics

	caregiving and mental health status in the nurses' health study. American Journal of	anxiety/depressive sx than women who reported it not at all rewarding.				
	<i>Public Health,</i> 92(8), 1305– 1311.					
25.	Carver, C. S. (1997). You want to measure coping but your protocol's too long: Consider the Brief COPE. <i>International</i> <i>Journal of</i> <i>Behavioral</i> <i>Medicine, 4</i> (1), 92–100.	Scales with most value in previous research on full version were kept and reviewed for criteria with highest loading on the relevant factors to create faster to administer version. Venting: I've been saying things to let my unpleasant feelings escape. I've been expressing my negative feelings. Self-Blame: I've been criticizing myself. I've been blaming myself for things that happened.	(none)	Brief COPE	(none)	Commun- ity recovering from hurricane
26.	Carver, C. S., Scheier, M. F., & Weintraub, J. K. (1989). Assessing coping strategies: A theoretically based approach. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 56(2), 267–283.	Created Coping Orientations to Problems Experienced inventory based on Lazarus' concepts of coping. Used 13 scales and compared with personality traits to validate.	(none)	COPE	(none)	Undergrad -uate students
27.	Caserta, M. S., Lund, D. A., & Wright, S. D. (1996). Exploring the Caregiver Burden Inventory (CBI): Further evidence for a multidimensiona I view of burden. International Journal of Aging and Human Development, 43(1), 21–34.	"Most of the variability in physical burden was explained by depression." Depression and caregiving satisfaction explained by feeling "deprived" of doing what they wanted or expected at this point in their lives. Outcome: - time dependence burden correlated with patient functioning and # of caregiving tasks. - developmental burden correlated with depression, fewer positive aspects of caregiving, patient functioning, and caregiver sick days - physical burden correlated with sick days. patient	CBI	(none)	CES-D	Dementia

		functioning, fewer positive				
		aspects of caregiving, and				
		strongly with depression				
		- social burden correlated				
		with depression, caregiver				
		sick days				
		- emotional burden				
		correlated with depression				
		and fewer positive aspects				
		of caregiving				
28.	Centers for Disease	Illinois, Louisiana, Ohio,	(none)	(none)	(none)	Demo-
	Control and	Washington DC telephone				graphics
	Prevention	surveys.				
	[CDC]. (2009).	For AD/dementia, care				
	Caregivers of	recipient is:				
	persons with	Parent/parent-in-law 62.9%				
	Alzheimer's	Spouse 7.8%				
	disease or	Other relative 21.7%				
	dementia in	Non relative 7.6%				
	Illinois,	Significantly higher				
	Louisiana, Ohio,	(p<0.05) difficulty than				
	and the District	non-dementia caregivers				
	of Columbia.	creates or aggravates				
	Benavioural Diak Easten	"areastag strags"				
	KISK FUCIOF	creates stress				
	Surveillance					
	Data Atlanta					
	GA: US					
	Department of					
	Health and					
	Human					
	Services.					
29.	Centers for Disease	Connecticut, New	(none)	(none)	(none)	Demo-
	Control and	Hampshire, New Jersey,				graphics
	Prevention	NY, Tennessee telephone				
	[CDC]. (2010).	surveys.				
	Caregivers of	For AD/dementia, care				
	persons with	recipient is:				
	Alzheimer's	Parent/parent-in-law 59.4%				
	disease or	Spouse 12%				
	dementia in	Other relative 21.5%				
	Now Homeshire	Non relative /%				
	New Hampshile,	(p < 0.05) difficulty then				
	New York and	(p<0.05) difficulty than non-dementia caregivers				
	Tennessee	"creates or aggravates				
	Rehavioural	health problems"				
	Risk Factor	neurin problems				
	Surveillance					
	System Survey					
	Data. Atlanta,					
	GA: U.S.					
	Department of					
	Health and					
	Human					
	Services.					
30.	Cheah, W. K., Han,	Outcome: Worry about own	Zarit	(none)	(none)	Dementia
	H. C., Chong,	performance as a caregiver				
	M. S., Anthony,	was the highest factor				
	P. V., & Lim,	endorsed at every stage				
1	W. S. (2012).	from MCI to Severe at				

	Multidimension ality of the Zarit Burden Interview actors the severity spectrum of cognitive impairment: An Asian perspective. <i>International</i> <i>Psychogeriatrics</i> , 24(11), 1846– 1854.	significant levels. It was generally rated higher by caregivers who were not currently living with the care recipient. - Role strain became more dominant as ADL's worsened. - Personal strain was consistent across severity.				
31.	Chou, K. R., LaMontagne, L. L., & Hepworth, J. T. (1999). Burden experienced by caregivers of relatives with dementia in Taiwan. <i>Nursing</i> <i>Research</i> , 48(4), 206–214.	Taiwan study. Outcome: Lower caregiver burden assoc. with higher caregiving self-efficacy and problem focused coping. Psychological and physical demands of care do not directly impact caregiver burden BUT they both contribute to caregiver involvement. Higher caregiver involvement and higher emotion focused coping lead to increases in caregiver burden.	СВІ	Ways of Coping Questionna ire	(none)	Dementia
32.	Coen, R. G., Swanwick, G. R. J., O'Boyle, C. A., Coakley, D. (1997). Behaviour disturbance and other predictors of carer burden in Alzheimer's disease. International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry, 12, 331–336.	Outcome: MMSE score had no association with burden score ADL/IADL score had no association with burden score Behavioural disturbance had positive correlation with burden score Informal social support score had negative correlation with burden score Support group interventions were more effective when they targeted carer's reaction to behavioural disturbances in care recipient	Zarit	Social Support Behaviour Scale	(none)	Dementia Recom- mendation s
33.	Cohen, C. A., Colantonio, A., & Vernich, L. (2002). Positive aspects of caregiving: Rounding out the caregiver experience. <i>International</i> <i>Journal of</i> <i>Geriatric</i> <i>Psychiatry, 17</i> , 184–188.	Aspects of caregiving that are rated as positive: - Companionship (22.5%) - sense of it being fulfilling/rewardi ng (21.8%) - sense of duty and obligation (10.4%) - enjoyment (12.8%) Caregivers who reported more +ve aspect reported	Zarit	(none)	CES-D	Recom- mendation s Dementia

r						
		lower depression, ZBI, or poor health				
34.	Cole, J. D. & Kazarian, S. S. (1993). Predictive validity of the Level of Expressed Emotion (LEE) Scale: Readmission follow-up data for 1, 2, and 5- year periods. <i>Journal of</i> <i>Clinical</i> <i>Psychology</i> , <i>49</i> (2), 216–218.	Schizophrenia patients were at highest risk for rehospitalization at 2- and 5-year follow ups. The high LEE group was 3 to 5 times more likely to be hospitalized after 5 years than the low LEE group. Mean scores of patients rehospitalized within 2 years = 20.7 Mean scores of patients not rehospitalized after 2 years = 11.5	(none)	LEE	(none)	Schizo- phrenia
35.	Cooper, C., Owens, C. Katona, C., & Livingston, G. (2008). Attachment style and anxiety in carers of people with Alzheimer's disease: Results from the LASER-AD study. <i>International</i> <i>Psychogeriatrics</i> , 20(3), 494– 507.	Outcome: Carers with poor attachment style and/or dysfunctional coping respond to caring responsibilities with more anxiety regardless of caree's ADL score. -Depression correlated with carer avoidant attachment style. -Carer's burden rating did not correlate with attachment style. -Problem focused strategies by caregivers led to higher anxiety in caregiver after 1 year. Emotion focused strategies led to lower anxiety (i.e., acceptance, comfort from others, humour, positive reframing, and religion)	Zarit (same subjects as other Cooper article – used these results)	Brief COPE	Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS)	Dementia
36.	Cooper, C., Katona, C., Orrell, M., & Livingston, G. (2008). Coping strategies, anxiety and depression in caregivers of people with Alzheimer's disease. <i>International</i> <i>Journal of</i> <i>Geriatric</i> <i>Psychiatry, 23</i> , 929–936.	Outcome: caregivers with avoidant/ambivalent attachment style (are reluctant to get close to others for fear their feelings won't be reciprocated) report more anxiety than caregivers with an anxious attachment style (fear of abandonment) regardless of burden.	Zarit (same subjects as other Cooper article – did not use these results)	Brief COPE	HADS	Dementia
37.	Crawford, J. R., Henry, J. D., Crombie, C., & Taylor, E. P. (2001).	HADS Anxiety mean 6.14, sd 3.76 Depression mean 3.68, sd 3.07	(none)	(none)	(none)	(none)

	Normative data for the HADS from a large non-clinical sample. British Journal of Clinical Psychology, 40, 429–434.	Percentages of total sample classified as mild 20.6%, moderate 10%, and severe 2.6%				
38.	Cutrino, A. & Santamaria, J. (2013). Research on family caregivers: Understanding levels of burden and how to provide assistance. <i>Home</i> <i>Healthcare</i> <i>Nurse, 31</i> (6), 331–337.	Recapitulates four studies: O'Leary 2010, Limpawattana 2013, Elliott 2010, and Brodaty 2012	(none)	(none)	(none)	Older Adults
39.	Daigneault, PM., Jabob, S. & Ouimet, M. (2012). Using systematic review methods within a Ph.D. dissertation in political science: Challenges and lessons learned from practice. <i>International</i> <i>Journal of</i> <i>Social Research</i> <i>Methodology</i> , <i>17</i> (3), 267–283.	Difference between systematic review and lit review is that syst rev conforms to methodological standards of research including reproducibility and transparency whereas lit rev can be skewed toward unrepresentative samples that tell the story the researcher wants to tell. Quote from Denyer & Tranfield 2009 p. 672 : It is a specific methodology that locates existing studies, selects and evaluates contributions, analyzes and synthesizes data & reports the evidence in such a way that allows reasonably clear conclusions to be reached about what is and is not known" (epistemology: how do we know what we know? Distinguishes justified belief from opinion.) Stages of Syst Rev 1) Specify a question 2) Identify references 3) Select relevant references 4) Assess study quality	(none)	(none)	(none)	System- atic review method- ology

		5) Data extraction				
		6) Data synthesis				
40.	Dellesega, C. (1991). Caregiving stress among community caregivers for the elderly: Does institutionalizati on make a difference? <i>Journal of</i> <i>Community</i> <i>Health Nursing</i> , 8(4), 197–205.	<ul> <li>No significant change in mean burden score between active caregivers and nonactive caregiver (re: care recipient placement in skilled nursing facility) possibly because choice to place and placement transition highly stressful events.</li> <li>No significant change in subscale personal strain</li> <li>No significant change in subscale physical role strain, possibly because a) many people continue to visit facility daily for hands-on assistance in care, and b) travel to/from facility regularly creates new burdens on caregivers.</li> </ul>	Zarit	(none)	(none)	Dementia
41.	De Leo, D., Frisone, G. B., Rozzini, R. & Trabucchi, M. (1993). Italian community norms for the Brief Symptom Inventory in the elderly. <i>British</i> <i>Journal of</i> <i>Clinical</i> <i>Psychology</i> , 32, 209–213.	-sample aged 75+, n = 462, MMSE >18, from 2 communities in Northern Italy. -165 male, 297 female -BSI with 9 scales plus GSI, 49-items Depression subscale Total 0.60 sd .65 Males 0.41 sd .53 Females 0.70 sd .69	(none)	(none)	BSI	Elderly
42.	Derogatis, (1993). Brief Symptom Inventory. San Antonio, TX: Pearson.	BSI instrument and manual Community sample t-score cutoff for significant distress = 63 on subscale score	(none)	(none)	BSI	General population
43.	Dow, B., Moore, K., Scott, P., Ratnayeke, A., Wise, K., Sims, J., & Hill, K. (2008). Rural carers online: A feasability study. <i>Australian</i> <i>Journal of Rural</i> <i>Health, 16</i> , 221– 225.	Outcome: computer installation and training sessions for older carers living in remote areas of Australia showed that increased connection to children and grandchildren by email, connections with friends and neighbours by email, and increased confidence for using computer and internet resulted in decreases in loneliness for 11/14 and depressive sx for 9/14 participants but no significant change in reported burden.	Zarit	(none)	GDS 15 UCLA Loneliness	Frail elderly

44.	Elliott, A. F.,	REACH (Resources for	Zarit	(none)	CES-D	Dementia
	Burgio, L. D., &	Enhancing Alzheimer		()	(Use	Recom-
	DeCoster J	Caregiver Health)			baseline	mendation
	(2010)	established in 1995			scores)	s
	Enhancing	-control group mailed			)	~
	caregiver health	nacket of educ materials				
	Findings from	and 2 brief check in phone				
	the Resources	calls at 3 $\&$ 5 mos				
	for Enhancing	-intervention group over 6				
	Alzheimer	months rec'd 9 in home				
	Caragiuar	visits and 2 phone sessions				
	Uselth II	with interventionist to				
	internation	target mod (depression)				
	finter vention.	burden and physical health				
	American	burden, and physical health.				
	Geriatrics	-Mood: education about				
	Society, $58(1)$ ,	importance of pleasant				
	30-37.	activities and emotional				
		wellbeing, teaching &				
		practicing strategies for				
		engaging in pleasant events,				
		role play for mood mgmt				
		and using thought record,				
		scheduling pleasant events.				
		-Burden: educ material on				
		safety caregiving and stress,				
		practice breathing, music &				
		stretching exercises, referral				
		for stress mgmt feature on				
		computerized phone				
		system.				
		-Physical health: educ				
		material on self care &				
		preventive health practices,				
		reviewing personalized				
		health record, teaching				
		nutrition, ways to				
		remember medical appts, &				
		adhering to meds schedule.				
		referral to healthy living				
		feature on computerized				
		phone system.				
		Outcome: significant				
		improvement in self rated				
		health, mood & physical.				
		Below significant				
		improvement in sleep				
		Recommended one-on-one				
		caregiver coaches for				
		individualized				
		support/interventions				
45	Fearon, M	Hypothesis that high	(none)	Camberwel	(none)	Dementia
	Donaldson C	critical and hostile EE	(	1 CFI	(	2 ementu
	Burns A &	attitudes/remarks are the		(use data		
1	Tarrier N	result of problems in the		from		
	(1998) Intimacy	internersonal relationship		current		
	as a determinant	between the carer and care		intimacy		
1	of expressed	recipient (based on theory		only)		
	emotion in	by Gilhooly and Whittick		Ully)		
	carers of neonle	1989) therefore the				
	with	couple's current level of				
1	WILLI Alzhaimer's	affection and conscitut for				
	Aizheimer s	anection and capacity for				
1	uisease.	1	1	1	1	1

	Daughological	conflict recolution are good				
	Psychological	conflict resolution are good				
	Medicine, 28,	predictions of EE in carer				
	1085–1090.	-Outcome: as dementia				
		progresses the level of				
		intimacy between carer and				
		care recipient diminishes				
		irrespective of previous				
		level of intimacy.				
		-high intimacy significantly				
		related to low EE in both				
		past and present conditions				
		-low intimacy significantly				
		related to high EE in both				
		past and present conditions				
		-Poor current relationship a				
		better predictor of current				
		FE than past relationship:				
		maybe due to recollection				
		hins				
		Provious high intimacy not				
		-i revious ingli intimacy not				
		apparently protective				
		against current EE when				
		current relationship quality				
		is poor.				
		-poor past relationship				
		resulting in current high EE				
		probably because the				
		absence of past reciprocity,				
		longstanding unresolved				
		interpersonal problems				
		reactivated when must				
		spend more time together.				
		-current poor relationship				
		may be due to memory loss				
		in dementia causing care				
		recipient to be unable to				
		share thoughts and feelings				
		so carer feels unloved				
46	Federal Interagency	www.agingstats.gov/againg	(none)	(none)	(none)	Demo-
10.	Forum on	statsdotnet/main_site/data/2	(none)	(none)	(none)	graphics
	Aging-Related	012 documents/docs/entire				Brupines
	Statistics (2012)	chartbook ndf				
	Older	- in USA 2010 population				
	Americans	of $65\pm$ years old $=40$				
	$2012 \cdot K_{ev}$	million $(13\% \text{ of nonulation})$				
	2012. Key Indicators of	$-85 \pm \text{vers old} = 5.5$				
	Wall Paina	million				
	Well-Dellig. Weshington	hasad an 2000 sangus				
	w ashington,	- based on 2000 census				
	DC. U.S.	by 2020 72 million will be				
	Drinting	by $2050/2$ million Will be				
	Printing Office.	over 65 (20% of popln)				
		- projected 19 million 85+				
		year olds by 2050				
		- in 2009, 41% of 65+ year				
		olds reported functional				
		limitations; 12% reported at				
		least 1 ADL difficulty; 25				
		% reported >1 ADL				
		difficulty; 4% reported				
		living in long term care				
		facility				

		· 1000 (0/ 1) · ·				
		-in 1992, 6% were living in				
		decrease to 4% is due to				
		more unpaid informal				
		caregivers				
		(source: Medicare				
		enrollees)				
47.	Ferri, C. P., Prince,	Delphi study to determine	(none)	(none)	(none)	Demo-
	M., Brayne, C.,	global prevalence of			. ,	graphics
	Brodaty, H.,	dementia. Estimated 2001				Dementia
	Fratiglioni, L.,	worldwide dementia is 24.3				
	Ganguli, M.,	million. Projected to double				
	Alzheimer's	every 20 years therefore				
	Disease	42.3 million in 2020 and				
	International	81.1 million in 2040.				
	(2005). Global	- 60.1% of dementia cases				
	prevalence of	live in developing				
	dementia: A	countries.				
	Delphi	- highest in $2001 = \text{China}(5)$				
	consensus study.	IIIION), EU (5 million),				
	<i>Luncel,</i> 366(0503)	USA 2.9 million, mula (1.3 million) Japan (1.1				
	2112_2117	million), Sapan (1.1 million), Russia (1.1				
	2112 2117.	million) Indonesia (1.0				
		million).				
		- Latin America currently				
		has estimated 1.8 million,				
		North America 3.4 million				
		but projected by 2040				
		people with dementia will				
		be Latin Am 9.1 million,				
		North Am 9.4 million				
48.	Fingerman, K. L.	Hypothesis that situation of	(n/a)	Indices of	BDI	Frail
	(1996). Internal	longterm caregiving is		Coping	HADS	Elderly
	resourcefulness,	consistent with learned		(IC)		
	task demands,	neipiessness paradigin				
	000100 000	theretore higher percented				
1	coping, and dysphoric affect	therefore higher perceived				
	dysphoric affect	therefore higher perceived internal resourcefulness of caregiver will correlate with				
	coping, and dysphoric affect among caregivers of the	therefore higher perceived internal resourcefulness of caregiver will correlate with lower scores on scale				
	coping, and dysphoric affect among caregivers of the frail elderly.	therefore higher perceived internal resourcefulness of caregiver will correlate with lower scores on scale measuring depression.				
	coping, and dysphoric affect among caregivers of the frail elderly. <i>International</i>	therefore higher perceived internal resourcefulness of caregiver will correlate with lower scores on scale measuring depression. Outcome: supports				
	coping, and dysphoric affect among caregivers of the frail elderly. <i>International</i> <i>Journal of Aging</i>	therefore higher perceived internal resourcefulness of caregiver will correlate with lower scores on scale measuring depression. Outcome: supports hypothesis				
	coping, and dysphoric affect among caregivers of the frail elderly. <i>International</i> <i>Journal of Aging</i> <i>and Human</i>	therefore higher perceived internal resourcefulness of caregiver will correlate with lower scores on scale measuring depression. Outcome: supports hypothesis				
	coping, and dysphoric affect among caregivers of the frail elderly. <i>International</i> <i>Journal of Aging</i> <i>and Human</i> <i>Development,</i>	therefore higher perceived internal resourcefulness of caregiver will correlate with lower scores on scale measuring depression. Outcome: supports hypothesis				
	coping, and dysphoric affect among caregivers of the frail elderly. International Journal of Aging and Human Development, 42(3), 229–248.	therefore higher perceived internal resourcefulness of caregiver will correlate with lower scores on scale measuring depression. Outcome: supports hypothesis				
49.	coping, and dysphoric affect among caregivers of the frail elderly. <i>International</i> <i>Journal of Aging</i> <i>and Human</i> <i>Development</i> , 42(3), 229–248. Folkman, S., &	therefore higher perceived internal resourcefulness of caregiver will correlate with lower scores on scale measuring depression. Outcome: supports hypothesis	(none)	WCCL	(none)	General
49.	coping, and dysphoric affect among caregivers of the frail elderly. <i>International</i> <i>Journal of Aging</i> <i>and Human</i> <i>Development</i> , 42(3), 229–248. Folkman, S., & Lazarus, R. S.	therefore higher perceived internal resourcefulness of caregiver will correlate with lower scores on scale measuring depression. Outcome: supports hypothesis	(none)	WCCL	(none)	General Popula-
49.	coping, and dysphoric affect among caregivers of the frail elderly. <i>International</i> <i>Journal of Aging</i> <i>and Human</i> <i>Development</i> , <u>42(3), 229–248.</u> Folkman, S., & Lazarus, R. S. (1980). An analysis of	therefore higher perceived internal resourcefulness of caregiver will correlate with lower scores on scale measuring depression. Outcome: supports hypothesis Initial development of Ways of Coping checklist. 68 items.	(none)	WCCL	(none)	General Popula- tion
49.	coping, and dysphoric affect among caregivers of the frail elderly. <i>International</i> <i>Journal of Aging</i> <i>and Human</i> <i>Development</i> , 42(3), 229–248. Folkman, S., & Lazarus, R. S. (1980). An analysis of coping in a	therefore higher perceived internal resourcefulness of caregiver will correlate with lower scores on scale measuring depression. Outcome: supports hypothesis Initial development of Ways of Coping checklist. 68 items. Based on analytical defensive coping	(none)	WCCL	(none)	General Popula- tion
49.	coping, and dysphoric affect among caregivers of the frail elderly. <i>International</i> <i>Journal of Aging</i> <i>and Human</i> <i>Development</i> , 42(3), 229–248. Folkman, S., & Lazarus, R. S. (1980). An analysis of coping in a middle-aged	therefore higher perceived internal resourcefulness of caregiver will correlate with lower scores on scale measuring depression. Outcome: supports hypothesis Initial development of Ways of Coping checklist. 68 items. Based on analytical defensive coping mechanisms (avoidance	(none)	WCCL	(none)	General Popula- tion
49.	coping, and dysphoric affect among caregivers of the frail elderly. <i>International</i> <i>Journal of Aging</i> <i>and Human</i> <i>Development</i> , 42(3), 229–248. Folkman, S., & Lazarus, R. S. (1980). An analysis of coping in a middle-aged community	therefore higher perceived internal resourcefulness of caregiver will correlate with lower scores on scale measuring depression. Outcome: supports hypothesis Initial development of Ways of Coping checklist. 68 items. Based on analytical defensive coping mechanisms (avoidance, intellectualization	(none)	WCCL	(none)	General Popula- tion
49.	coping, and dysphoric affect among caregivers of the frail elderly. <i>International</i> <i>Journal of Aging</i> <i>and Human</i> <i>Development</i> , 42(3), 229–248. Folkman, S., & Lazarus, R. S. (1980). An analysis of coping in a middle-aged community sample <i>Journal</i>	therefore higher perceived internal resourcefulness of caregiver will correlate with lower scores on scale measuring depression. Outcome: supports hypothesis Initial development of Ways of Coping checklist. 68 items. Based on analytical defensive coping mechanisms (avoidance, intellectualization, isolation, suppression) plus	(none)	WCCL	(none)	General Popula- tion
49.	coping, and dysphoric affect among caregivers of the frail elderly. <i>International</i> <i>Journal of Aging</i> <i>and Human</i> <i>Development</i> , 42(3), 229–248. Folkman, S., & Lazarus, R. S. (1980). An analysis of coping in a middle-aged community sample. <i>Journal</i> <i>of Health and</i>	therefore higher perceived internal resourcefulness of caregiver will correlate with lower scores on scale measuring depression. Outcome: supports hypothesis Initial development of Ways of Coping checklist. 68 items. Based on analytical defensive coping mechanisms (avoidance, intellectualization, isolation, suppression) plus Information-seeking.	(none)	WCCL	(none)	General Popula- tion
49.	coping, and dysphoric affect among caregivers of the frail elderly. <i>International</i> <i>Journal of Aging</i> <i>and Human</i> <i>Development</i> , 42(3), 229–248. Folkman, S., & Lazarus, R. S. (1980). An analysis of coping in a middle-aged community sample. <i>Journal</i> <i>of Health and</i> <i>Social</i>	Initial development of Ways of Coping checklist. 68 items. Based on analytical defensive coping mechanisms (avoidance, intellectualization, isolation, suppression) plus Information-seeking, Problem-solving, Palliation;	(none)	WCCL	(none)	General Popula- tion
49.	coping, and dysphoric affect among caregivers of the frail elderly. <i>International</i> <i>Journal of Aging</i> <i>and Human</i> <i>Development</i> , 42(3), 229–248. Folkman, S., & Lazarus, R. S. (1980). An analysis of coping in a middle-aged community sample. <i>Journal</i> <i>of Health and</i> <i>Social</i> <i>Behaviour, 21</i> ,	Initial development of Ways of Coping checklist. 68 items. Based on analytical defensive coping mechanisms (avoidance, intellectualization, isolation, suppression) plus Information-seeking, Problem-solving, Palliation; Inhibition of action, Direct	(none)	WCCL	(none)	General Popula- tion
49.	coping, and dysphoric affect among caregivers of the frail elderly. <i>International</i> <i>Journal of Aging</i> <i>and Human</i> <i>Development</i> , 42(3), 229–248. Folkman, S., & Lazarus, R. S. (1980). An analysis of coping in a middle-aged community sample. <i>Journal</i> <i>of Health and</i> <i>Social</i> <i>Behaviour, 21</i> , 219–239.	Initial development of Ways of Coping checklist. 68 items. Based on analytical defensive coping mechanisms (avoidance, intellectualization, isolation, suppression) plus Information-seeking, Problem-solving, Palliation; Inhibition of action, Direct action, & Magical thinking.	(none)	WCCL	(none)	General Popula- tion
49.	coping, and dysphoric affect among caregivers of the frail elderly. <i>International</i> <i>Journal of Aging</i> <i>and Human</i> <i>Development</i> , <i>42</i> (3), 229–248. Folkman, S., & Lazarus, R. S. (1980). An analysis of coping in a middle-aged community sample. <i>Journal</i> <i>of Health and</i> <i>Social</i> <i>Behaviour, 21</i> , 219–239.	Initial development of Ways of Coping checklist. 68 items. Based on analytical defensive coping mechanisms (avoidance, intellectualization, isolation, suppression) plus Information-seeking, Problem-solving, Palliation; Inhibition of action, Direct action, & Magical thinking. Split checklist into 2	(none)	WCCL	(none)	General Popula- tion
49.	coping, and dysphoric affect among caregivers of the frail elderly. <i>International</i> <i>Journal of Aging</i> <i>and Human</i> <i>Development</i> , 42(3), 229–248. Folkman, S., & Lazarus, R. S. (1980). An analysis of coping in a middle-aged community sample. <i>Journal</i> <i>of Health and</i> <i>Social</i> <i>Behaviour, 21</i> , 219–239.	Initial development of Ways of Coping checklist. 68 items. Based on analytical defensive coping mechanisms (avoidance, intellectualization, isolation, suppression) plus Information-seeking, Problem-solving, Palliation; Inhibition of action, Direct action, & Magical thinking. Split checklist into 2 categories: Problem	(none)	WCCL	(none)	General Popula- tion
49.	coping, and dysphoric affect among caregivers of the frail elderly. <i>International</i> <i>Journal of Aging</i> <i>and Human</i> <i>Development</i> , 42(3), 229–248. Folkman, S., & Lazarus, R. S. (1980). An analysis of coping in a middle-aged community sample. <i>Journal</i> <i>of Health and</i> <i>Social</i> <i>Behaviour, 21</i> , 219–239.	therefore higher perceived internal resourcefulness of caregiver will correlate with lower scores on scale measuring depression. Outcome: supports hypothesis Initial development of Ways of Coping checklist. 68 items. Based on analytical defensive coping mechanisms (avoidance, intellectualization, isolation, suppression) plus Information-seeking, Problem-solving, Palliation; Inhibition of action, Direct action, & Magical thinking. Split checklist into 2 categories: Problem focused (cognitive and	(none)	WCCL	(none)	General Popula- tion

		managing source of problem) Emotion focused (cognitive and behavioural strategies for managing emotional distress) Most participants reported using both types of coping for a single stressful event so looked at "coping patterns" to see which category each individual used proportionately more than the other.				
50.	Folkman S. & Lazarus, R. S. (1988). Coping as a mediator of emotion. Journal of <i>Personality</i> and Social <i>Psychology</i> , 54(3), 466–475.	Ways of Coping checklist with 8 forms of coping that are split into 3 categories: 1) Emotion-focused strategies. Includes self- control, accepting responsibility, positive reappraisal, and seeking social support. 2) Problem-focused strategies. Includes planful problem solving and seeking social support. 3) Dysfunctional strategies. Includes ineffective emotion- or problem- focused strategies such as escape-avoidance, distancing, and confrontive coping (i.e., expressing hostility). Any stressful event has multiple impacts on wellbeing and may lead to conflicting emotions. Appraising the event and behavioural options (acts and thoughts) can lead to change in emotions and the appraisal is therefore "coping" and mediates the emotional response. Confrontive Coping = expressing anger and hostility, e.g. "Getting it off your chest makes you feel better"; tends to be related to more depressive sx. Distancing = "didn't let it get to me", "refused to think too much about it", went on as if nothing had happened" led to worsened emotional state Planful problem solving = reduced negative emotions, higher positive emotions "I	(none)	WCCL	(none)	General Popula- tion

		made a plan of action and				
L		followed it"				
51.	Garcia-Alberca, J.	Outcome: Caregivers who	CBI	(none)	BDI	Dementia
	M., Lara, J. P.,	use disengagement				
	Garrido, V.,	strategies to cope with care				
	Gris, E.,	recipients also report higher				
	Gonzalez-	burden and rated				
	Herero, V., $\alpha$	neuropsych sxs in care				
	Lara, A. (2014). Nouronsychiatri	Disangagement strategies				
		inal avoiding				
	c symptoms m	thinking/doing anything abt				
	Alzheimer's	the site go along as if				
	disease. The role	nothing were happening.				
	of caregiver	hoping a miracle would				
	burden and	happen, wish sith would go				
	coping	away; self criticism, self				
	strategies.	blame; spent more time				
	American	alone, avoided people.				
	Journal of	Engagement = worked on				
	Alzheimer's	problem solving, followed a				
	Disease & Other	plan; looked at sitn				
	Dementias,	differently in a more				
	29(4), 354–361.	positive light, convinced				
		self it wasn't so bad; let				
		emotions out, let go of				
		emotions; talked to				
		someone abt leelings, lound				
52	Gaugler I F	Quitcome: Overall burden	Zarit	(none)	GDS	Dementia
52.	Mittelman M	scores decreased	Lant	(none)	(USe	Dementia
	S Henburn K	significantly 6- and 12-	haseline		haseline	
	& Newcomer.	months after nursing home	scores)		scores)	
	R. (2010).	placement compared to pre-				
	Clinically	placement. Overall,				
	significant	depression symptoms				
	changes in	showed less pronounced				
	burden and	post-placement reduction				
	depression	but still significant.				
	among dementia	Note: Caregivers who did				
	caregivers	not show decreased burden				
	following	were wives (8.84x more				
	nursing home	likely to experience high				
	admission. BMC	burden than others at 6-				
	Medicine, 8(85).	likely at 12 months) and				
	1 7015 8 85	daughters (2.75 and 3.80				
	1-/015-8-85	times more likely to				
		experience high burden				
		than others) of care				
		recipient. Caregivers who				
		did not show decreased				
		depression were husbands				
		of care recipient (4.87 and				
		5.89 times more likely to				
		experience depression than				
		others).				
53.	Gilhooly, M. L. M.,	Did not used standardized	(none)	Semi-CFI	(none)	Dementia
	& Whittick, J. E.	instrument but based				
	(1989).	interview on Camberwell				
	Expressed	Family Interview and				
1	emotion in	counted # critical/hostile			1	

	caregivers of the dementing elderly. <i>British</i> <i>Journal of</i> <i>Medical</i> <i>Psychology</i> , 62, 265–272.	comments. Seemingly this is the first study to examine EE in caregivers of people with dementia. Outcome: Higher critical comments signif correl with lower caregiver morale and poorer caregiver mental health. Females more critical than males. Poor quality of past relationship predicts higher critical				
54.	Hale, W. D., Cochran, C. D., & Hedgepeth, B. E. (1984). Norms for the elderly on the Brief Symptom Inventory. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 52(2), 321–322.	comments.BSI is an abbreviated from of the SCL-90.364 female and 201 male age 60+ to determine norms for older adults.Depression subscale Males young adult M.28 SD.31 (Derogatis sample) older adults M.43 SD.5Depression subscale Females young adult M.46 SD.52 (Derogatis sample) older adults M.53 SD.59	(none)	(none)	SCL-90 BSI	Normative population
55.	Hanson, C. D. & Clarke, C. (2013). Is expressed emotion related to estimates of ability made by older people with cognitive impairments and their partners? <i>Aging and</i> <i>Mental Health</i> , <i>17</i> , 535–543.	Outcome: no diff btwn high and low EE groups for discrepancy between patient's and partner's ratings of patient's IADLs -signif diff btwn between patient's and partner's ratings of patient's cognitive decline. Suggests that higher criticism and/or EOI from caregiver is related to greater disagreement between pt and caregiver about severity of cognitive impairments	(none)	FMSS	GHQ-12	MCI & Dementia
56.	Hébert, R., Bravo, G., & Préville, M. (2000). Reliability, validity and reference values of the Zarit Burden Interview for assessing informal caregivers of community- dwelling older persons with dementia.	Data collected from Canadian Study of Health and Aging to establish reference values for low, moderate, high, severe burden. Recommended that median values are more accurate descriptors due to influence on outliers on mean.	Zarit	(none)	(none)	Dementia

	Canadian Journal on Aging, 19(4), 494–507.					
57.	<ul> <li>Heru, A. M., Ryan, C. E., &amp; Iqbal, A. (20014).</li> <li>Family functioning in the caregivers of patients with dementia.</li> <li>International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry, 19, 533–537.</li> </ul>	Caregivers reported quality of life as similar compared to control group. Ratings on family functioning predicted caregivers' experience of strain in caregiving role. 64% reported good family functioning, 36% reported poor family fn. Rewarding aspects of caregiving included "feeling needed and responsible" "doing for someone what you want for yourself and knowing I've done my best" "being able to help" "I feel that she is loved and not alone"	(none)	(none)	(none)	Dementia
58.	Hinrichsen, G. A., & Niederehe, G. (1994). Dementia management strategies and adjustment of family members of older patients. <i>Gerontologist</i> , 34(1), 95–102.	<ul> <li>Criticism describes efforts to manage the person by yelling, criticizing, threatening and related behaviours.</li> <li>Encouragement includes efforts to praise the person, get him or her to discuss feelings or look on the bright side of things, and similar efforts.</li> <li>Active management includes activities to safeguard, assist, engage, stimulate, and monitor and associated behaviours primarily directed towards modifying the environment or daily routine.</li> <li>Outcome – Avoidance coping strongly related to criticism</li> <li>Criticism associated with higher burden, more psych sx, and greater desire to institutionalize the patient</li> <li>Encouragement associated with less burden, less desire to institutionalize the pt</li> <li>Active mgmt assoc w more burden and greater desire to institutionalize the pt</li> <li>Active Cognitive Coping (changing own attitude toward the stressor) assoc w less burden</li> </ul>	Zarit	Dementia Manageme nt Strategies Scale (DMSS)	SCL-90	Dementia

		address the stressors) assoc				
50	Hinrichern C. A	w more burden	Zanit	Comb ornel		Olden
	Adelstein, G. A., Adelstein, L., & McMeniman, M. (2004). Expressed emotion in family members of depressed older adults. <i>Aging and</i> <i>Mental Health</i> , 8(4), 355–363.	Review of EE in categories of dementia summarizes no relationship to Demo- graphics or illness characteristics of patient but there is relationship btwn high EE and caregiver emotional distress. Current study: $61+$ y.o. patients hospitalized for depression, spouses & adult children given CFI. Mean critical remarks in 1 <sup>st</sup> hour = 4.54 (SD 4.53). Mean Hostility behaviours 0.15 (SD .36). Mean Warmth remarks 2.46 (SD 1.29). Mean Emotional overinvolvement behaviours 1.83 (SD 0.44).	Zant	1 CFI	DDI-II	Adults with Depres- sion
60.	Hirakawa, Y.,	Purpose of study to	Zarit	(none)	(none)	Dementia
	Kuzuya, M., Enoki, H., Hasegawa, J., & Iguch, A. (2008). Caregiver burden among Japanese informal caregivers of cognitively impaired elderly in community settings. Archives of Gerontology and Geriatrics, 46, 367–374.	examine dementia caregiver burden in central Japan. Control group, mild dementia group, severe dementia group. Outcome: Japan provides in-home physician and nurse visits and 90% of caregivers endorsed using formal care while caregiving at home. In sum, there were no significant differences in level of caregiver burden between mild and severe dementia of patients.				
61.	Hirano, A., Suzuki, Y., Kuzuya, M., Onishi, J., Ban, N., & Umegaki, H. (2011). Influence of regular exercise on subjective sense of burden and physical symptoms in community- dwelling caregivers of dementia patients: A randomized controlled trial. <i>Archives of</i> <i>Gerontology</i>	Outcome: exercise intervention reduced burden scores significantly. - exercise intervention improved quality of sleep scores significantly	Zarit	(none)	VAS	Dementia Recom- mendation s

	and Geriatrics,					
(2)	53, e158–e163.	<b>T 1</b>				D
62.	Hooley, J. M., &	Looks at interactions	(none)	(none)	(none)	Depres-
	$(2000) \Delta$	psychosocial stressor that				Borderline
	diathesis-stress	result in psychiatric relapse				Schizo-
	conceptualizatio	-Critical remarks: reflect				phrenia
	n of expressed	dislike or disapproval of				1
	emotion with	something the pt does				
	clinical	-Hostility: criticize pt for				
	outcome.	who s/he is rather than				
	Applied and	specific behaviours (e.g.				
	Preventive	she's a bad egg)				
	<i>Psychology</i> , 9,	-EOI: dramatic,				
	155-151.	self-sacrificing response to				
		the pt's illness that is out of				
		proportion to the				
		circumstances				
		-Personalities of high EE				
		ppl less flexible and less				
		tolerant, more self-critical,				
		more internally based locus				
		of control than low EE ppl				
		-re: ppi with internal locus				
		the pt could do more to				
		control sx: external locus of				
		control tend to view pt's				
		problems as universal to the				
		dx and uncontrollable by				
		the pt.				
		-diathesis means				
		predisposition/vulnerability				
		-"criticism is a tangible				
		expression of a wish for a				
		differently"				
		-high EE more critical of				
		behavioural deficits or				
		breaking social norms than				
		of positive sx				
		-high EE try to influence				
		pt's behaviour using				
		controlling speech that				
		negative verbal and				
		nonverbal exchanges that				
		are stressful to the family				
		and result in autonomic				
		system response in				
		vulnerable patients,				
		possibly prompting				
		increased sx				
		-Tx recommendation to				
		increase relatives'				
		acceptance of the dx and				
63	Hooley I M &	FF measures quality of	(none)	CFI	(none)	Families
05.	Parker H A	natient-relative relationship	(none)	FMSS	(none)	1 annines
	(2006).	High EE is related to		LEE		
	Measuring	reciprocal negativity		FAS		

	expressed emotion: An evaluation of the shortcuts. <i>Journal of</i> <i>Family</i> <i>Psychology</i> , <i>20</i> (3), 386–396.	between them and predicts sx relapse. Family-based interventions targeting reduction of EE decrease sx relapse rate. CFI gold standard (standardized interview, 1-2 hours to admin, 2-3 hours to code, 40-80 hours training) Five Minute Speech Sample FMSS (standardized interview, 5 mins admin, 20 mins code) Level of Expressed Emotion Scale LEE (60 item self report) Family Attitude Scale FAS (30 item self report) Perceived Criticism PC (interview or self report, 2 questions on 10 pt likert scale, 2 qualitative questions)		PC		Recom- mendation s
64.	Hooley, J. M. (2007). Expressed emotion and relapse of psychopathlogy. <i>Annual Review</i> of Clinical <i>Psychology</i> , <i>3</i> , 329–352.	<ul> <li>-children at genetic risk of developing schizophrenia signif more likely to develop it when adopted into high EE family;</li> <li>children with no genetic risk will <u>not</u> develop schizophrenia if adopted into high EE family</li> <li>higher EE in family</li> <li>higher EE in family</li> <li>higher EE in family</li> <li>members of pt's showing more aggressive or delinquent beh and pt's who do not have outside work/hobbies; also higher EE in families who reported better premorbid functioning of pt</li> <li>EE levels are fluid, can change over time and depending on level of pt's sx</li> <li>Across cultures, a handful of studies show lower EE in India than US and UK, lower EE in Latino than non-Latino, lower EE in British Sikh or white than British Pakistani (study notes that EE includes EOI) In Japan high EOI more predictive of relapse whereas in India high hostility more related to relapse, in Egypt less relapse with criticism than in western countries</li> </ul>	(none)	(none)	(none)	Schizo- phrenia Mood d/o Anxiety d/o Eating d/o Substance Use d/o Personal- ity d/o Multi- cultural measures of EE

65.	Huang, MF.	Outcome – caregivers	CBI	WCCL-R	(none)	Dementia
00.	Huang W -H	tended to use avoidance	CDI	WOOD II	(110110)	Dementia
	Su V C Hou	coping strategy (distracting				
	S V Chan II	attention to avoid thinking				
	S I., Chen, H					
	M., Yen, YC.,	about sith) when faced with				
	& Chen, CS.	pt's depressive and				
	(2013). Coping	disruptive sx				
	Strategy and	- caregivers tended to use				
	Caregiver	wishful thinking coping				
	Burden Among	strategy (imagining sith is				
	Caregivers of	not as had or is only				
	Patients With	temporary) to address nt's				
	Domontio	depressive sy				
	Dementia.	depressive sx				
	American	- avoidance related to				
	Journal of	higher burden scores,				
	Alzheimer's	higher depressive sx in				
	Disease and	caregiver, and lower life				
	Other	satisfaction				
	Dementias,	- no significant relation				
	28(4).	btwn burden and problem				
		solving coping strategy				
66	Joling, K. J. van	Netherlands medical	(none)	(none)	DSM-IV	Dementia
00.	Hout H P I	records 2001-2007	(none)	(none)	criteria	Dementia
	Schellevis F	Outcome: spouses of pt			eriteria	
	G van der	with dementia have $Ax$ risk				
	U., Vali uci	of having a depression dy				
	Hoist, H. E.,	then an angle of				
	Scheltens, P.,	than spouses of				
	Knol, K. L., &	nondementia people				
	van Marwijk, H.					
	W. J. (2010).					
	Incidence of					
	depression and					
	anxiety in the					
	spouses of					
	patients with					
	dementia. A					
	naturalistic					
	cohort study of					
	recorded					
	morbidity with					
	at 6-year follow-					
	up. American					
	Journal of					
	Geriatric					
	Psychiatry,					
	18(2), 146–153.					
67.	Joling, K. J., van	In Netherlands depr and	(none)	(none)	(none)	Dementia
	Marwijk, H. W.,	anxiety measured every 3				
	Veldhuijzen, A.	mos in caregivers of ppl w				
	E., van der	dementia with no d/o at				
	Horst, H. E.,	baseline.				
	Scheltens, P.,	Outcome: 60% of				
	Smit F & van	caregivers developed DSM-				
	Hout H P	IV clinical depression or				
	(2015) The	anxiety within 24 months of				
	(2013). 1110	care recipient dy with				
	incidence of	dementia Highest				
	doprossion and	predictors were correctiver-'				
	depression and	predictors were caregivers				
1	anxiety	preexisting subclinical				
1	alsorders in	anxiety or depression.				
	spousal					
1	caregivers of		1	1	1	

	persons with					
	dementia: Who					
	is at the greatest					
	right? Amoniogn					
	IISK! American					
	Journal of					
	Geriatric					
	Psychiatry,					
	<i>23</i> (3), 293–303.					
	doi:					
	10.1016/j.jagp.2					
	014.05.005.					
68.	Kessler, R. C., Chiu,	US English speaking 18	(none)	(none)	(none)	Demo-
	W. T., Demler,	yrs+ population $n = 9,282$				graphics
	O., & Walters,	Prevalence MDD 6.7%				
	E. E. (2005).	Prevalence Dysthymia				
	Prevalence,	1.5%				
	severity, and					
	comorbidity of					
	12-month DSM-					
	IV disorders in					
	the National					
	Comorbidity					
	Survey					
	replication					
	Archives of					
	General					
	Psychiatry					
	62(6), 617-627					
	02(0), 017 027.					
60	Leff I Kuipers I	Control group families	(none)	Cambarwal	(none)	Schizo
07.	Berkowitz R	given no follow up after	(none)	1	(none)	phrenia
	& Sturgeon D	psych hospital discharge of				pineina
	(1985) A	patient with schizonhrenia				
	controlled trial	resumed living at home and				
	of social	using antipsychotic				
	intervention in	medication Experimental				
	the families of	group families received				
	schizonbrenic	psychoeducation about				
	notionts: Two	psychoeddeation about				
	var follow up	course and highlit of				
	year tonow-up.	schizoph, participation in				
	British Journal	support group with other				
	of Psychiatry,	relatives of schizoph				
	140, 594–600.	patients, and in-home				
		family therapy sessions				
		directed at reducing EE and				
		reducing face-to-face				
		contact btwn pt and high				
		EE family member.				
		Outcome: At 9 mos no				
		rehospitalizations in				
		experimental grp but 50%				
		of control grp				
		rehospitalized. At 2 yrs,				
		1 40/				
		relapse was 14% in exp grp				
70.		and 78% in control grp.				
	Leff J. P., &	and 78% in control grp. Description of the EE	(none)	Camberwel	(none)	Schizo-
	Leff J. P., & Vaughn C.	and 78% in control grp. Description of the EE scales and how they were	(none)	Camberwel 1	(none)	Schizo- phrenia
	Leff J. P., & Vaughn C. (1985).	and 78% in control grp. Description of the EE scales and how they were developed. Instructions on	(none)	Camberwel 1	(none)	Schizo- phrenia
	Leff J. P., & Vaughn C. (1985). Expressed	Description of the EE scales and how they were developed. Instructions on how to rate the 5 scales.	(none)	Camberwel 1	(none)	Schizo- phrenia
	Leff J. P., & Vaughn C. (1985). Expressed emotion in	Description of the EE scales and how they were developed. Instructions on how to rate the 5 scales.	(none)	Camberwel 1	(none)	Schizo- phrenia
	Leff J. P., & Vaughn C. (1985). Expressed emotion in Families: Its	Description of the EE scales and how they were developed. Instructions on how to rate the 5 scales.	(none)	Camberwel l	(none)	Schizo- phrenia

		1				
	<i>mental illness.</i> New York, NY:					
	Guilford.					
71.	Leggett, A. N.,	Outcome: worry about	Zarit	(none)	(none)	Dementia
	Zarit, S., Taylor,	performance was the most				
	A., & Galvin, J. $E_{\rm c}$ (2010). Stress	strongly endorsed item by				
	E. (2010). Stress	LBD caregivers				
	and builden					
	caregivers of					
	natients with					
	Lewy Body					
	Dementia.					
	Gerontologist,					
	<i>51</i> (1), 76–85.					
72.	Lewinsohn, P. M.,	established norms for older	(none)	(none)	CES-D	Older
	Seeley, J. R.,	adults aged 50+ with a				Adults
	Roberts, R. E.,	mean of 8.33 (SD 6.84).				
	& Allen, N. B.	n = 1005  ppl age  50-96				
	(1997). Center					
	10F Enidemielegie					
	Studies					
	Depression					
	Scale (CES-D)					
	as a screening					
	instrument for					
	depression					
	among					
	community-					
	residing older					
	adults.					
	Aging 12(2)					
	277287.					
73.	Li, CY., & Lewis,	Outcome: significant	Zarit	Level of	CES-D	Dementia
	F. M. (2013).	finding that the higher the		Expressed		
	Expressed	EE, the higher the		Emotion		
	emotion and	depressed mood and burden		(LEE)		
	depression in	and the lower the caregiver				
	older adults with	perceived his/her health.				
	dementia	Low educ and income				
	Results from	assoc w high EE				
	Taiwan. Aging	5				
	and Mental					
	Health, 17(8),					
	924–929.					
74.	Li, CY., &	Review of primary studies	(none)	(none)	(none)	Dementia
	Murray, M. A. $(2014)$	amotion Cross studios				
	(2014). A review of	comparisons difficult				
	concentualizatio	because investigators are				
	n of expressed	using different cut-off rates				
	emotion in	to determine significance.				
	caregivers of					
	older adults with	English and Chinese studies				
	dementia.	included.				
	Journal of					
	Clinical					
1	Nursing. doi:	1		1		

	10.1111/jocn.12					
75.	10.1111/jocn.12 619. Liew, T. M., Luo, N., Ng, W. Y., Chionh, H. L., Goh, J., & Yap, P. (2010). Predicting gains in dementia caregiving. Dementia and Geriatric Cognitive Disorders, 29, 115–122.	Caregiver gain = positive outcomes experienced in caregiving such as personal growth (patience, self awareness, sense of competence, knowledge), improved relationships (with caree, family members, older people in general), spiritual growth (change in life philosophy, altruism) - caregivers who endorse less mental distress, use active management style, and participate in educational and support group programs also endorse significantly more gains from caregiving. - criticism correlates negatively with gain - encouraging the care recipient correlates positively with gain - active management = efforts to safeguard, assist, engage, stimulate, monitor, modify the environment and daily routine of care	Zarit	DMSS	GHQ-28	Dementia
76.	Lim, J., Griva, K., Goh, J., Chionh, H. L., & Yap, P. (2011). Coping strategies influence caregiver outcomes among Asian family caregivers of persons with dementia in Singapore. <i>Alzheimer's</i> <i>Disease and</i> <i>Associated</i> <i>Disorders</i> , 25(1), 34–41. Lyness, J. M., Noel, T. K., Cox, C., King, D. A., Conwell, Y., &	English and Mandarin versions option. Transactional model of stress and coping = people experience more distress in the face of adversity if they believe they do not have sufficient internal or external coping resources Outcome: Use of behavioural disengagement and criticism as coping strategies significantly predicted higher caregiver's burden level regardless of pt's severity of sx Use of encouragement with care recipient correlated with caregiver gain established optimum cutoff scores for recognizing major depressive disorder in older patient samples as	Zarit (none)	Brief COPE DMSS (none)	(none) CES-D	Dementia Older Adults
	Caine, E. D. (1997). Screening for depression in elderly primary care patients. A	21. <i>n</i> = 30 ppl age 60+				
	comparison of the Center for Epidemiologic Studies- Depression Scale and the Geriatric Depression Scale. Archives of Internal Medicine, 157(4), 449– 454.					
-----	---	---	--------	--------	--------	-------------------
78.	Magai, C., & Cohen, C. I. (1998). Attachment style and emotion regulation in dementia patients and their relation to caregiver burden. <i>Journal</i> of <i>Gerontology</i> , 53B, P147– P154.	Outcome: Caregiver burden was signif higher for insecurely attached patients; i.e. avoidant individuals with basic mistrust, inhibition and high hostility, and ambivalent individuals with anxiety and sadness - 80% of participants African American	Zarit	(none)	(none)	Dementia
79.	Mausbach, B. T., Chattillion, E. A., Roepke, S. K., Patterson, T. L., & Grant, I. (2013). A comparison of psychosocial outcomes in elderly Alzheimer caregivers and noncaregivers. <i>American</i> <i>Journal of</i> <i>Geriatric</i> <i>Psychiatry</i> , 21(1), 5–13.	Compares 125 AD caregiver spouses to 60 spouses of non-AD people of similar age 40 of AD caregivers meet depression criteria 5% of non-AD spouses meet depr criteria	(none)	(none)	(none)	Demo- graphics
80.	McConaghy, R., & Caltabiano, M. L. (2005). Caring for a person with dementia: Exploring relationships between perceived burden, depression, coping and well- being. Nursing and Health	Outcome – carer satisfaction with life not correlated with levels of reported depression but is strongly correlated with levels of burden - significant correl between high burden and poor psychological health - no relationship between forms of coping and physical health but a strong correlation between low levels of well being and high levels of burden.	Zarit	СОРЕ	CES-D	Dementia

	<i>Science, 7,</i> 81– 91.	<ul> <li>no difference btwn males and females on emotional coping or life satisfaction</li> <li>burden not associated with length of time caring but longer duration of care is associated with higher levels of well-being</li> <li>no difference in life satisfaction between older and younger caregivers</li> </ul>				
81.	McCurry, S. M., Vitiello, M. V., Gibbons, L. E., Logsdon, R. G., & Teri, L. (2006). Factors associated with caregiver reports of sleep disturbances in persons with dementia. <i>American</i> <i>Journal of</i> <i>Geriatric</i> <i>Psychiatry</i> , <i>14</i> (2), 112–120.	Almost ½ of patients whose caregivers reported they were having multiple sleep disturbances weekly were actually sleeping well (8-9 hours per night). Patients who have better sleep than their caretakers rate them as having are associated with caregiver tendency to use high criticism. Clinicians must be careful to understand the nature of the sleep disturbance reports prior to prescribing pharmacologic tx.	(none)	Brief COPE	GDS	Dementia
82.	McLennon, S. M., Habermann, B., & Rice, M. (2011). Finding meaning as a mediator of burden on the health of caregivers of spouses with dementia. <i>Aging</i> <i>and Mental</i> <i>Health</i> , <i>15</i> (4), 522–530.	Finding meaning = cognitive transformative process that changes a stressful situation into a useful experience that leaves one with a broader perspective on life Outcome – positive aspects of caregiving, such as finding meaning, is negatively correlated with caregiver burden and positively associated with mental health	Zarit	(none)	Short Form Health Survey-36 Version 2	Dementia
83.	Meyer, B. (2001). Coping with severe mental illness: Relations of the Brief COPE with symptoms, functioning, and well-being. Journal of Psychopatholog y and Behavioral Assessment, 23 (4), 265–277.	States that direct correspondence with Carver indicates there are no published norms for the Brief COPE.	n/a	Brief COPE	n/a	Psychia- tric inpatients
84.	Miller, E. A., Rosenheck, R. A., & Schneider,	Outcome: Higher caregiver burden ratings are positively related to higher	Zarit	(none)	BDI	Dementia

	L. S. (2010). Caregiver burden, health utilities, and institutional service costs among community- dwelling patients with Alzheimer disease. <i>Alzheimer 's</i> <i>Disease and</i> <i>Associated</i> <i>Disorders, 24</i> , 380–389.	costs of institutional service (inpatient hospital, medical visits, nursing home, residential care) use regardless of physical health of patient. Factors such as caregiver depression, distress and time commitments.				
85.	Montero, I., Asencio, A., Hernández, I., Masanet, M. J., Lacruz, M., Bellver, F., Iborra, M., & Ruiz, I. (2001). Two strategies for family intervention in schizophrenia: A randomized trial in a Mediterranean environment. <i>Schizophrenia Bulletin, 27</i> (4), 661–670.	Relatives of patients with schizophrenia in Spain. Behavioural intervention with family unit including patient done in the home psychoeducation, communications skill straining, practice of family problem solving techniques. vs. Expressed emotion family therapy without patient present. Psychoeducation, problem solving skills training, reduce criticism and overinvolvement interventions, expand social networks interventions, lower expectations intervention. Outcome: no signif diff between groups in relapse rate for patients at 8 months post tx. Whole family behavioural intervention outcome patients mean meds dosage signif lower than EE intervention group.	(none)	CFI	GHQ	Schizo- phrenia
86.	Moussavi, S., Chatterji, S., Verdes, E., Tandon, A., Patel, V., & Ustun, B. (2007). Depression, chronic diseases, and decrements in health: Results from the World Health Surveys. <i>Lancet</i> ,	Adults over 18 with ICD-10 dx of Single depressive episode = 3.2% n = 245,404 60 countries	(none)	(none)	(none)	Demo- graphics

	<i>370</i> (9590), 851–					
87.	370(9590), 851– 858. National Alliance for Caregiving & AARP, Inc. (2009). <i>Caregiving in</i> the U.S. Retrieved from http://www.aarp. org/caregivingus	Whereas 13% of popIn reports physical health as fair or poor, 17% of caregivers report fair/poor, and when been providing care 5+ years, 23% report fair/poor. Coordinating paid services increases caregiver burden (20% report high burden when not coordinating care vs 32 % report high burden when also coordinating care. Co-residence and high burden rating are related to high emotionally stressful rating. Especially ratings that caregiving takes time away from friends/other family members are more likely to rate emotional stress as high (47% compared to only 14% who endorsed less impact on other relationships) Since 2008, 15% rate caregiving as source of high degree of financial hardship.	(none)	(none)	(none)	Demo- graphics
88.	Nomura, H., Inoue, S., Kamimura, N., Shimodera, S., Mino, Y., Gregg, L., & Tarrier, N. (2005). A cross- cultural study on expressed emotion in carers of people with dementia and schizophrenia: Japan and England. Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology, 40 564–570	Compared EE and burden among 4 groups: Japanese with dementia, Japanese with schizophrenia, English with dementia, English with schizophrenia. Outcome: Japanese carers expressed fewer positive and negative emotional responses therefore cutoffs may need to be lower for patients from Eastern cultures	Zarit	Camberwel l CFI	BDI GHQ	Dementia
89.	Novak, M. & Guest, C. (1989). Application of a multidimensiona l caregiver burden inventory. <i>Gerontologist</i> , 29(6), 798–803.	Caregiver Burden Inventory Scales: 1) Time Dependence: measures time spent performing ADLs and increased time spent on vigilance 2) Developmental: measures stage of life being disrupted; i.e., not what	СВІ	(none)	(none)	General Popula- tion

		expected life to be at this age/stage 3) Physical: measures chronic fatigue and higher risk of physical illness 4) Social: measures feeling unappreciated or neglected, as well as conflict with other family members over how to manage the care 5) Emotional: measures shame, embarrassment, resentfulness, anger related to the care receiver				
90.	Okamoto, K., Momose, Y., Fujino, A., & Osawa, Y. (2009). Life worth living for caregiving and caregiver burden among Japanese caregivers of the disabled elderly in Japan. <i>Archives of</i> <i>Gerontology</i> <i>and Geriatrics</i> , <i>48</i> , 10–13.	Life worth living was assessed by one question: "How much do you feel the sense of life worth living for nursing care at home?" Outcome: caregivers who rated high burden on an Arai et al (2001) created scale significantly reported less life worth living (p = 0.0001).	(none)	(none)	(none)	Frail elderly
91.	Orford, J., O'Reilly, P., & Goontilleke, A. (1987). Expressed emotion and perceived family interaction in the key relatives of elderly patients with dementia. <i>Psychological</i> <i>Medicine</i> , 17(4), 963–970.	Caregivers of ppl w dementia compared w caregivers of ppl with schizophrenia, elderly psychiatric pts, and physically ill elderly pts. Outcome: Caregivers of ppl w dementia were more dominant (control, put down, bossy towards, don't let person be him/herself), more protective (tell what to do, pamper, calm, help, take care of, protect), and less submissive (follow lead, want to be led by, do what tells, dependent on, meek with, accept what said, cling to, give in to) toward care recipient than other caregiver groups. Possibly as result of controlling/protecting inherent in dementia caregiver role, most family report loss in affection and increase in hostile interactions. Perceive the loss of affection as mutual and perceive self as more hostile than care recipient.	(none)	Camberwel l Family Interview	(none)	Dementia

			1	1		
		Outcome: in terms of EE, no signif diff btwn dementia caregivers and other groups				
92.	Ott, C. H., Sanders, S., & Kelber, S. T. (2007). Grief and personal growth experience of spouses and adult-child caregivers of individuals with Alzheimer's disease and related dementias. <i>Gerontologist</i> , 47(6), 798–809.	<ul> <li>Grief def'n: the reaction to the perception of loss with normative symptoms incl yearning, sadness, anger, guild, regret, anxiety, loneliness, fatigue, shock, numbness, positive feelings, and physical sx.</li> <li>In dementia, loved ones experience grief related to loss of quality of the relationship, loss of established roles in the relationship, loss of control, well-being, intimacy, social interaction, communication and opportunities to resolve issues from the past.</li> <li>-personal growth from trauma includes becoming more caring and connected to others, identifying personally meaningful aspects of life, and reassigning priorities.</li> <li>-Adult children used more substances, venting, reframing and humour to cope than spouses of ppl with AD used.</li> </ul>	(none)	Brief Cope Inventory	CES-D	Dementia
93.	Papastavrou, E., Charalambous, A., Tsangari, H., & Karayiannis, G. (2012). The burdensome and depressive experience of caring: What cancer, schizophrenia, and Alzheimer's disease caregivers have in common. <i>Cancer Nursing</i> , 35(3), 187–194.	<ul> <li>study in Cyprus with Greek Zarit burden interview and Greek CESD with caregivers of people with cancer, Alzheimer's disease, or schizophrenia.</li> <li>highest burden in AD caregivers, highest depression in Cancer caregivers. Lowest depression in AD caregivers but still reached significant level.</li> </ul>	Zarit	(none)	CES-D	Dementia
94.	Papastavrou, E., Kalokerinou, A., Papacostas, S. S., Tsangari, H, & Sourtzi, P. (2007). Caring for a relative with dementia: Family caregiver	Outcome: 68% of dementia caregivers endorsed high burden and 65% of dementia caregivers exhibited sx of depression. Women scores were higher for burden and higher for feeling social deprivation - high burden significantly associated with use of	Zarit	Ways of Coping Questionna ire	CES-D	Dementia

	burden. Journal of Advanced Nursing, 58(5), 446–457.	emotionally-focused coping strategy, i.e., wishful thinking - below average burden significantly associated with problem solving and seeking social support - female caregivers more likely to use seeking social support and wishful thinking than male caregivers.				
95.	Petkus, A. J., Gum, A. M., Small, B., Malcarne, V. L., Stein, M. B., & Loebach Wetherell, J. (2010). Evaluation of the factor structure and psychometric properties of the Brief Symptom Inventory-18 with homebound older adults. <i>International</i> <i>Journal of</i> <i>Geriatric</i> <i>Psychiatry, 25</i> , 578–587.	Used BSI-18 subscales derived directly from BSI- 53. Cited Derogatis BSI-18 manual as identifying t- score of 63 to indicate significant distress based on community norms. Sample of homebound older adults with a mean age of 74.7 years old Males n = 29; Females $n = 113Depression subscale sum ofthe means of 6 items = 4.15(standard deviation 5.24)This subscale score wastranslated into a t-score of51.61.$	(none)	(none)	BSI	Older Adults
96.	Pinquart, M., & Sörensen, S. (2006). Helping caregivers of persons with dementia: Which interventions work and how large are their effects? <i>International</i> <i>Psychogeriatrics</i> , 18(4), 577– 595.	Metaanalysis. Outcome: small effect sizes for interventions impact on burden, depression of caregivers for ppl w dementia. Most successful were multicomponent interventions targeted to specific needs of individual caregivers.	(none)	(none)	(none)	Recom- mendation s
97.	Plassman, B. L., Langa, K. M., Fisher, G. G., Heeringa, S. G., Weir, D. R., Ofstedal, M. B., Wallace, R. B. (2007). Prevalence of Dementia in the United States: The aging,	Researchers conducted Aging, Demo-graphics, and Memory Study (ADAMS) for all regions of USA. Sample included 1770 people over 71 years old. The Population was a Health and Retirement study that included 22,000 people between July 2001 and Dec 2003 at Duke Univ and UMichigan. Collected	(none)	(none)	(none)	Demo- graphics

98	Demo-graphics, and memory study. <i>Neuroepidemiol</i> ogy, 29, 1–132.	hx, neuropsych testis, APOE DNA, neurological exam. Final <i>n</i> = 856 Outcome: 13.93% of population report dementia; significant predictors = older age, fewer years education, 1+ APOE E4 allele. Gender and race not predictive of dementia. - over age 90 dementia prevalence is 37.4% of sample.	CBI	(none)	(none)	Dementia
98.	Raccientii, A., Castellani, S., Civerchia, P., Fioravanti, P., & Scarpino, O. (2009). The caregiver's burden of Alzheimer patients: Differences between live-in and non-live-in. <i>American</i> <i>Journal of</i> <i>Alzheimer's</i> <i>Disease &amp; Other</i> <i>Dementias</i> , 24(5), 377–383.	Outcome: CBI higher for live-in than non-live-in. Spouses feel less objective burden than children who live with care recipient, possibly because they feel naturally responsible for spouse than children feel naturally responsible for their parents so it's easier to bear.	Сы	(none)	(none)	Dementia
99.	Radloff, L. S. (1977). The CES-D: A self- report depression scale for research in the general population. <i>Applied</i> <i>Psychological</i> <i>Measures, 1</i> (3), 385–401.	CES-D Sample Q1 $n = 2514$ , mean = 9.25 $SD = 8.58$ Sample Q2 $n = 1060$ mean = 8.17, $SD = 8.23$ Sample Q3 $n = 1422$ , mean = 7.94, $SD = 7.53$ Psych sample $n = 70$ , mean = 24.42, $SD = 13.51$	(none)	(none)	(none)	(none)
100.	Razani, J., Corona, R., Quilici, J., Matevosyan, A. A., Funes, C., Larco, A., Lu, P. (2014). The effects of declining functional abilities in dementia patients and increases in psychological distress on caregiver burden over a one-year	Outcome: caregiver overall burden is higher in caregivers with baseline anxiety and depression, and caregiver burden level increases more after a year in anxious and depressed caregivers than it does in caregivers with subclinical psych distress at baseline. Important to provide caregiver support to prevent exponential increases in burden and declines in mental health. Depre at baseline predicts caregiver perceptions of	СВІ	(none)	BSI	Dementia

r			1			
	period. <i>Clinical</i> <i>Gerontologist</i> ,	time restrictions, out of sync with peers, fatigue and				
	37, 235–252.	Anx at baseline predicts				
		caregiver perceptions of				
		physical burden				
		Feelings of hostility and				
		anger towards their				
		feelings of social isolation				
101	D' 11' D	and conflict.	CDI		DOL	D i
101.	Spazzafumo L	burden distress depression	CBI	(none)	BSI	Dementia
	Mastriforti, R.,	& anxiety in caregiver are				
	Mattioli, Pl,	correlated with female, co-				
	Marvardı, M. Polidori M. C	residing with care recipient, $70 \text{ years } \pm \text{ old}$ and if				
	Study Group	recipient is spouse.				
	on Brain Aging	- highest level of distress is				
	of the Italian	related to patient apathy				
	Gerontology and	- patient characteristics that predict high caregiver				
	Geriatrics	burden and distress are:				
	(2005).	agitation, irritability, motor				
	Predictors of	aberrations, aberrant				
	burden and	possible because they				
	distress in	require continuous				
	caregivers of	monitoring				
	patients: Results					
	of an Italian					
	multicenter					
	study. International					
	Journal of					
	Geriatric					
	<i>Psychiatry</i> , 20, 168–174					
102.	Russo, J., Vitaliano,	Longitudinal study over 18	(none)	(none)	(none)	Dementia
	P. P., Brewer, D.	mos. Psych hx of lifetime	× ,	<b>X</b>		
	D., Katon, W.,	prevalence prior to care				
	(1995).	Alzheimer's disease.				
	Psychiatric	42% of caregivers had				
	disorders in	previous dx vs. 37% of				
	souse caregivers	dx				
	recipients with					
	Alzheimer's	Significantly more				
	disease and	caregivers than				
	controls: A	depression or anxiety dx				
	diathesis-stress	after onset of AD sx. (29%				
	model of	vs 12%)				
	y. Journal of	Significantly more				
	Abnormal	caregivers had an MDD				
	Psychology,	episode than noncaregivers $(20\% \times 7\%)$				
	$\frac{104(1)}{197}$	(2070 VS. /70)				

103.	Rymer, S., Salloway, S. Norton, L., Malloy, P., Correia, S., & Monast, D. (2002). Impaired awareness, behavior disturbance, and caregiver burden in Alzheimer Disease. Alzheimer Disease and Associated Disorders, 16(4), 248–253.	Outcome – behavioural disturbance makes a significant contribution to caregiver burden however patient's awareness of own memory deficit does not appear to contribute to burden	Zarit	(none)	(none)	Dementia
104.	Sanders, S., Ott, C. H., Kelber, S. T., & Noonan, P. (2008). The experience of high levels of grief in caregivers of persons with Alzheimer's disease and related dementia. <i>Death</i> <i>Studies</i> , 32, 495–523.	Used Marwit and Meuser Caregiver Grief Inventory Short Form. -Grief defined as "reaction to the perception of loss" incl. sx of sadness, regret, guilt, emotional pain, anxiety, loneliness, anger. Marwit and Meuser (2005) stated that family members of ppl w dementia experience grief closer to post death grief than loved ones of other terminal illnesses, who experience anticipatory grief because cognitive decline precludes pt's ability to participate in closure. Attachment bonds deteriorate prior to death and "create a sense of ambiguity in the relationship in that the care recipient is physically present but psychologically absent." Depression focus on negative interpretation of self and world vs grief focus on separation distress *considerable portion of depression sx in caregivers for dementia ppl can be accounted for by grief. Outcome: Spouses grief more yearning for past, feeling isolated and stressed. Adult children grief more guilt and regret and frustration with systemic barriers to parents' care. Recommendations: help build a support network	(none)	(none)	(none)	Recommendation s

		1		1		
		(support groups,				
		educational programs,				
		counseling, family				
		meetings, pets, spiritual				
		group)				
105.	Schneider, J.,	Study based on Pearlin et	Zarit	(none)	GHQ-12	Dementia
	Murray, J.,	all stress process model		, í		
	Banerjee, S., &	indicating bidirectional				
	Mann, A.	causation of primary				
	(1999)	stressors (caring tasks)				
	Eurocare: A	secondary stressors (role &				
	cross-national	relationship conflicts:				
	study of co-	intransychic strains such as				
	resident snouse	motivation for caring and				
	carers for people	impact of caring on carer's				
	with	self-image): all forms of				
	Δlzheimer's	stress moderated by social				
	disease. I -	support and coping				
	Eactors	strategies				
	associated with	-Outcome: Carer burden				
	associated with	strongly related to				
	International	subligity related to				
	International	psychological distress				
	Journal of Conintai o	across 14 EU countries.				
	Geriatric					
	Psychiatry, 14,					
106	051-061.					D (
106.	Schoenmakers, B.,	Metaanalysis of depression	(none)	(none)	(none)	Dementia
	Buntinx, F., &	in caregivers of ppl w				
	Delepeleire, J.	dementia who live at nome.				
	(2010). Factors	0 1 1/2				
	determining the	Outcome: 1/3 caregivers				
	impact of care-	experience depression.				
	giving on	More common in dementia				
	caregivers of	caregivers than in				
	elderly patients	caregivers for other chronic				
	with dementia.	illnesses. Depression				
	A systematic	impacted by continuous				
	literature	grief and uncontrollable				
	review.	nature of illness.				
	Maturitas, 66,	<b>T</b> 11 1 1 1 000				
	191–200.	Tables include cut-off for				
		significance.				
		Included studies dated 1990				
		- 2009				
		Independent studies in their				
		metaanalysis showed a				
		range of 30% to 80% of				
		depression rates in				
		caregivers depending on the				
		population and recruiting				
L		method used				
107.	Schulz, R. &	Overview of stressors of	(none)	(none)	(none)	Recom-
	Martire, L. M.	caregiving in dementia,				mendation
1	(2004). Family	impact on caregiver health,				S
1	caregiving of	areas for interventions to				
	persons with	support caregivers, AMA				
	dementia.	recommendations for				
1	American	physicians treating ppl w				
1	Journal of	dementia on how to support				
1	Geriatric	caregivers (medical referral,				
1		support group referral.				

	Psychiatry	respite referral) Am Assoc				
	12(3) 240-240	for Geriatric Psychiatry				
	12(3), 240-249.	recommends that				
		accumuling for corogiver be				
		counseling for categiver be				
		reimbursable service				
		because of caregiver's role				
		in monitoring and				
		managing pt so it's				
		important to receive				
		guidance from physician.				
		Overview of ideas for				
		future interventions to				
		support caregivers.				
108.	Selwood, A.,	Cites Sorensen et al 2002	(none)	(none)	(none)	Recom-
	Johnston, K.,	that group interventions				mendation
	Katona, C.,	less efficacious than				S
	Lvketsos, C., &	individual interventions for				
	Livingston, G.	caregivers of ppl w				
	(2007)	dementia				
	Systematic	uomontua.				
	review of the	Metaanalysis of 62 studies				
	effect of	of psychological				
	psychological	interventions for dementia				
	interventions on					
	formily	categivers.				
	categivers of	outcome. multiple studies				
	people with	showed 6+ sessions of				
	dementia.	individual benavioural				
	Journal of	management techniques				
	Affective	had excellent immediate				
	Disorders, 101,	and 4-12 months follow up				
	75–89.	reduction in depression sx				
		reported in caregiver.				
		Interventions included: role				
		play, education about				
		specific behaviours, stress				
		mgmt, improving pleasant				
		events for the pt, caregiver				
		support group. However,				
		many other studies also				
		showed no difference btwn				
		intervention and control				
		groups.				
		0 - 1				
		Education alone is				
		inefficacious – needs to be				
		individually tailored to link				
		educ to practicalities of				
		caregiver's presenting				
		stressors				
		50055015				
		Supportive they alone also				
		not efficacious				
100	Sang D.V. Luc	Singaporo study of English	Zarit	(nono)	(nono)	Domentia
109.	SUB, D. K., LUO,	Singapore study of English-	Zani	(none)	(none)	Dementia
	N., Ng, W. L.,	Durden Internet of 1				
	Lim, J., Chionh,	burden interview to be				
	H. L., Goh, J., &	relevant to an Asian				
	Yap, P. (2010).	population				
	Validity and					
	reliability of the					
	Zarit Burden					
1	Interview in		1			

	assessing caregiving burden. Annals of the Academy of Medicine Singapore, 39, 758–763.					
110.	Sepulveda, A. R., Todd, G., Whitaker, W., Grover, M., Stahl, D., & Treasure, J. (2010). Expressed emotion in relatives of patients with eating disorders following skills training program. International Journal of Eating Disorders, 43(7), 603–610.	Follow up on template article after interventions. Interventions to lower EE: psychoeducational skills training program included group workshops with written manual and ppt slides directed at pt's parents. 24% fewer parents were rated high EE following intervention	(none)	(none)	(none)	Recom- mendation s
111.	Simonelli, C., Tripodi, F., Rossi, R., F., Fabrizi, A., Lembo, D., & Pierleoni, L. (2008). The influence of caregiver burden on sexual intimacy and marital satisfaction in couples with an Alzheimer spouse. <i>International Journal of</i> <i>Clinical</i> <i>Practice, 62</i> (1), 47–52.	"The main mission of caregiving is to promote independence by maintaining the person's most functional state" Bridges 1995. Outcomes: As AD sx impact marital relationships, there is a correlation between increased burden endorsement and reduced levels of satisfaction with the amount of affection in the relationship. Also correlation between increased burden endorsed and lower levels of sexual marital satisfaction.	СВІ	(none)	(none)	Dementia
112.	Sinforiani, E., Pasotti, C., Chiapella, L., Malinveri, P., & Zucchella, C. (2010). Differences between physician and caregiver evaluations in Alzheimer's disease. Functional	Outcome – caregivers report significantly more symptoms than physicians evaluate on clinical instruments in terms of ADLs, IADLs, and Neuropsychiatric Inventory (NPI) - no difference detected btwn caregiver and clinical instruments in terms of MMSE. - Caregivers who report less satisfaction with	СВІ	(none)	(none)	Dementia

	Neurology, 25(4), 205–209.	pharmacological tx of patient report higher burden and psych distress				
113.	Tan, L., Yap, P., Ng, W. Y., & Luo, N. (2013) Exploring the use of the Dementia Management Strategies Scale in caregivers of persons with dementia in Singapore. <i>Aging and</i> <i>Mental Health</i> , 17(8), 935–941.	Original 28 item DMSS was normed in English in USA (Hinrichsen & Niederehe 1995) Singapore study of English- literate carers to amend the DMSS found it to be relevant to an Asian population.	(none)	(none)	(none)	Dementia
114.	Tarrier, N., Barrowclough, C., Ward, J., Donaldson, C., Burns, A., & Gregg, L. (2002). Expressed emotion and attributions in the carers of patients with Alzheimer's disease: The effect on carer burden. Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 222(2), 340– 349.	Outcome: no difference found between high and low EE carers in terms of patient severity reported on CDR, MMSE, and ADLs - patients with high EE carers reported significantly higher behavioural disturbance, psychotic sx and depression than patient with low EE carers - high EE carers attributed negative events more often to personal and controllable factors of the care recipient than low EE carers. - High criticism and hostility by the carer was related to internal, personal & controllable patient attributions made about the recipient Summary: beliefs that patients behaviour is less volitional resulted in carers expressing more warmth and enjoyment of the patient's company and more appreciation for themselves in their roles as caregivers.	(none)	Camberwel 1 CFI	GHQ-28	Dementia
115.	Tremont, G., Davis, J., Papandonatos, G. D., Grover, C., Ott, B. R., Fortinsky, R. H., Gozalo, P., & Bishop, D. S. (2013). A telephone intervention for dementia caregivers:	Designed therapist delivered telephone support protocol to address caregiver burden and distress but not case mgmt. Trial not yet completed.	Zarit	(none)	CES-D	Dementia

	Background					
	design and					
	hasalina					
	obsenite					
	Contacteristics.					
	Contemporary					
	Clinical Trials,					
	36(2), 338-347.					
116.	United States	- Dementia global	(none)	(none)	(none)	Demo-
	Congress Senate	prevalence estimate by				graphics
	Special	WHO 2011 = 35.6 million.				
	Committee on	2-10% cases in ppl under				
	Aging. (2012).	65 yrs old. 25-30% of ppl				
	Alzheimer's	85+ yrs old.				
	disease and	- Definition dementia by				
	dementia: A	WHO: "a syndrome due to				
	comparison of	disease of the brain –				
	international	usually of a chronic or				
	annroaches	progressive nature $-$ in				
	Report of the	which there is disturbance				
	Special	of multiple higher cortical				
	Committee on	functions including				
	Aging United	memory thinking				
	Aging, United	ariantation comprehension				
	States Senate	orientation, comprehension,				
	pursuant to $S$ .	calculation, learning				
	<i>Res.</i> 81, sec.	capacity, language, and				
	I/(d), March 2,	judgment. Consciousness is				
	2011, resolution	not clouded. The				
	authorizing a	impairments of cognitive				
	study of the	function are commonly				
	problems of	accompanied, and				
	aged and aging.	occasionally preceded, by				
	Retrieved from	deterioration in emotional				
	http://www.goo	control, social behaviour, or				
	gle.com/url?sa=t	motivation. This syndrome				
	&rct=j&q=&esr	occurs in a large number of				
	c=s&source=we	conditions primarily or				
	b&cd=1&cad=rj	secondarily affecting the				
	a&uact=8&ved=	brain."				
	0CB80FjAA&u	- Risks of dementia #1 =				
	rl=http%3A%2F	older age. AD risk includes				
	%2Fwww.gpo.g	heavy alcohol use, head				
	ov%2Ffdsvs%2	injury the VD risk includes				
	Enkg%2FCRPT-	heart disease stroke				
	112srnt254%2F	diabetes				
	ndf%2FCRPT-	- Protective factors = higher				
	112srnt254 ndf	educ cognitive activity				
	l 12sipt254.pdi	higher SES certain genetic				
	EV2uogTp74KI	mutations				
	D A guage A E O i	financial cost to				
	CNEwrTI CTow	- Infancial Cost to				
	VOIEVAILUIEW	categivers – totegotte of				
		cuitailed employment,				
	Kmr PevNA&bv	worsening nealth related to				
	m=bv.89381419	own stress (incl stress from				
L	,d.cGU	stigma)				
117.	United States	Estimated AD in US popln	(none)	(none)	(none)	Demo-
1	General	of any severity in 1995 5.7				graphics
1	Accounting	%				
	Office. Report	Moderate to severe 3.3%				
	to the Secretary					
	of Health and	Projected estimates of total				
	Human	AD:				
	Services.	1995 1,906,822				

Alzheimer's discase: Estimates of prevalence in the Unied States. Retrieved from       2015 2,872,420 (31% incr)         118. Upton, N., & Reed, V. (2006). The influence of social support on caregiver coping. <i>Juterrational Journal of Psychiatric</i> Phenomenological study of 46 caregivers of ppl with describe the first hand phenomenon of caregiver oping in dementia.       (none)       (none)       Recom- mendation s <i>Juterrational Journal of Psychiatric</i> Psychotysical distancing of family and friends – they directly ask how caregiver is coping.       (none)       (none)       Recom- mendation s         2) S56–1267.       2) Some caregivers did not get regular social contact. In those who continued in regular family/friend relationships, others tend to socializing with the carer without providing backup sitting service for the pt. Commony caregiver explains that relatives focus ascelalizing with the carer without providing theory is the canse it upset them to see the caregivers explains that relatives don't visit because it upset them to see the caregiver explains that relatives don't visit because it upset them to see the caregiver explains that relatives don't visit bacause it upset them to see the caregiver explains that relatives don't visit bacause it upset them to see the caregiver feels both socially betrayed disappointed by friends/relatives and situations because of the dementia pt's difficulty satialing rational conversation. Caregiver feels both socially betrayed disappointed by friends/relatives and simultaneously relieved when socializing is reduced.		(1998)	2000 2 141 772 (12%incr)				
disease:       Estimates of prevalence in the United States. Retrieved from hte United States. Retrieved from social support       (none)       (none)       (none)         118.       Upton, N. & Reed, M. & Menomenological study of oscial support       (none)       (none)       (none)       Recommendation of accurate the first hand performed of accurate the first hand psychophysical distancing opychetric.       Narsing         International Journal of Dimits of fire or give direct psychophysical distancing of psychophysical distancing or psychophysical exhibits, others tend to sideline the pt as if mixible during the social activity.       Returns for the pt or to ease the cargiver's physical exhibits, others tend to sideline the pt as if mixible during the social activity.       Narsing service for the pt.         3. Cargiver releases in the pt.       3. Cargiver fiels moderately to severely usustaining returned in social situations because of the definential ps difficulty sustaining retional exolution with the cargiver fiels moderately to severely usustaining retional exolution with the order trave disponent during the physical exhibits for the pt.       Image: State S		Alzheimer's	2015 2 872 420 (51% incr)				
Batimates of prevalence in the Unied States. Retrieved from       (none)       (none)       (none)         118.       Upton, N., & Reed, volume       Phenomenological study of decaregivers of ppl with dementia in England. To social support on caregiver coping.       (none)       (none)       (none)         118.       Upton, N., & Reed, social support on caregiver coping.       Phenomenological study of describe the first hand phenomenon of caregiver of family and friends – they directly ask how caregiver is coping.       (none)       (none)       (none)         118.       Upton, N., & Reed, phenomenon of caregiver coping.       (none)       (none)       (none)       Recom- mendation s         118.       Upton, N., & Reed, phenomenon of caregiver coping.       (none)       (none)       (none)       Recom- mendation s         118.       Upton, N., & Reed, phenomenon of caregiver coping.       (none)       (none)       (none)       Recom- mendation s         118.       Upton, N., & Reed, phenomenon of caregiver coping.       (none)       (none)       (none)       Recom- mendation s         118.       Upton, N., & Reed, physical exhaustion.       (none)       (none)       (none)       (none)         1256–1267.       (family and friends – they directly as how caregiver explains fint relatives don't visiting service for the pt.       (none)       (none)       (none)       (none)       (none) <td></td> <td>disease.</td> <td>2010 2,072,120 (0170 mor)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		disease.	2010 2,072,120 (0170 mor)				
Laminates 0     prevalence in     the United     States. Retrieved     from     http://www.     gao.gov     118. Uption, N. & Reed,     V. (2006). The     dementia in England. To     describe the first hand     proproduce of     social support     on caregiver     coping.     International     Journal of     Psychiatric     Nursing     Research, 11(2),     I256–1267.     I256–1267.     Social support     or caregiver scipping     private and the pt as if invisible     during the social activity.     Research activity,     Research activity,     Research activity,     Research activity,     Research activity,     Relationships, others tend to     socializing with the carer     without providing backup     stiting service for the pt.     Commonly caregiver     explains that relatives don't     visit because it upset them     to see the caregiver     explains that relatives don't     visit because it upset them     to see the largeiver feels     moderately to severely     unconfortable in social     statuations because of the     dementa pt afficulty     sustating rational     conversation. Caregiver     feels hoth socially     deliberately keeping them     "in the dark" about how     much burden the caregiving     equivalent of pt to     sustating rational     conversation. Caregiver     feels hoth socially     betrayed disappointed by     friends/relatives and     situations because of the     dementa pt adfined     sustaining rational     conversation. Caregiver     feels hoth socially     betrayed disappointed by     friends/relatives and     situations because of the     dementa pt adfined     sustaining rational     conversation. Caregiver     feels hoth socializing     very helpful for feeling     safety network and less     index of contace     index of the pt activity.     Sustaining rational     conversation. Caregiver     feels hoth socializing     very helpful for feeling     safety network and less     index of the pt activity.     Sustaining ratinonal     fore actinevere and     sustaining ratio		Estimates of					
<ul> <li>her United States. Retrieved from http://www.</li> <li>guo gov</li> <li>118. Upton, N., &amp; Reed, V. (2006). The social support on caregiver coping. International Journal of Psychiatric Nursing Research, 11(2), 1256–1267.</li> <li>256–1267.</li> <li>29. Some caregivers is optimation or get regular social contact. In those who continued in regular family/friend regular social activity. Relatives focus on socializing with the carer without providing backup sitting service for the pt. Commonly caregiver explains that careful social sitting service for the pt. Commonly caregiver explains that relatives don't visit because if upset them to see the changes in the pt. 3) Caregiver trie do protect their children by deliberately keeping them "in the dark" about how much bucket the caregiving causes. Caregiver feels moderately to servely uncomfortable in social sitting network and less moderately to servely uncomfortable in social sitting network and less moderately to here of stational pt's difficulty sustaining rational conversation. Caregiver feels both socially betrayed/disponded by friends/relatives and simultaneously releved when socializing is reduced.</li> </ul>		prevalence in					
are to me of the provided from http://www.       Phenomenological study of the caregivers of ppl with dementa in England. To describe the first hand phenomenon of caregiver coping. International Journal of Psychiatric difference of aces in the provided distancing phenomenon of give direct physical distancing of family and finends - they diverged reserve to physical distancing of amily and finends - they diverged reserve to physical care to the pt or to ease the caregiver's physical extra the physical extra the provided reserver is coping.       (none)       (none)       Recommendation s         Nursing Research.11(2), 1256–1267.       2) Some caregivers did not get regiver sid not get regular social contact. In those who continued in regular family/friend relationships, others tend to sideline the pt as if invisible during the social activity. Relatives focus on socializing with the caregiver explains that relatives don't visit because it upset them to see the changes in the pt. 3) Caregiver relation ships, others tend to site diherent to see the changes in the pt. 3) Caregiver relation to regriver explains that relatives don't visit because it upset them to see the changes in the pt. 3) Caregiver relation to regriver explains that relatives don't visit because it upset them to see the changes in the pt. 3) Caregiver relation to regriver explains that relatives don't visit because it upset the difficulty sustaining rational conversation. Carguiver feels bot socially betraved/despinet by releved when socializing view releved when socializing is reduced.         4) Telephone socializing view releved when socializing is reduced.       4) Telephone socializing view relever they releved when socializing view relever they releved when socializing is reduced.         4) Telephone socializing view releved when socializing view relevered when socializing view		the United					
Statiss, Retineved from http://www.       Phenomenological study of 4 dc aregivers of ppl with dementia in England. To describe the first hand phenomenon of caregiver coping.       (none)       (none)       (none)       Recom- mendation s.         International Journal of Psychiatric Nursing       1) All caregivers reported psychophysical distancing of family and firends – they did not offer or give direct physical crast usino. Rarely directly ask how caregiver is coping.       1) All caregivers reported psychophysical distancing of family and firends – they did not offer or give direct physical crastaustion. Rarely directly ask how caregiver is coping.       2) Some caregivers did not get regular social contact. In those who continued in regular family/firend relationships, others tend to sideline the pt as if invisible during the social activity. Relatives focus on socializing with the carer without providing backup sitting service for the pt. Commonly caregiver explains that relatives don't visit because it upset them to see the changes in the pt. 3) Caregiver tried to protect their children by deliberately keeping them "in the dark" about how much burden the caregiving causes. Caregiver feels moderately to severely uncomfortable in social situations because of the dementia pt's difficulty sustaining rational conversation. Caregiver feels both socially betrave/disponded by friends/relatives and simultaneously relieved when socializing is reduced.		States Detrieved					
nom       http://www.gao.gov         118. Upton, N. & Reed,       Phenomenological study of V. (2006). The influence of social support on caregiver coping.       (none)       (none)       (none)       Recommendation is a social support describe the first hand phenomenon of caregiver coping in dementia.       International       1) All caregivers reported psychophysical distancing of family and friends - they diversity and introduce the physical care to the pt or to case the caregiver's physical exhaustion. Rarely directly ask how caregiver is coping.       2) Some caregivers did not get regular social contact. In those who continued in regular family/friend relationships, others tend to sideline the pt as if invisible during the social activity. Relatives forces on socializing with the carer without providing backup sitting service of the pt.       3) Caregiver relatives don't visit because it upset them to see the changes in the pt.         3) Caregiver tried to protoct their chird her chird the varegiver leads for they down much burden the caregiver leads for they down much burden the caregiver leads for they deliberately keeping them "in the dark" about how much burden the caregiver leads for a py causes. Caregiver feels modocately to severely uncomfortable in social stimular social py releved when socializing very helpful for feeling safety network and less is induced.         4) Telephone socializing is reduced.       4) Telephone socializing very helpful for feeling safety network and less.		States. Retrieved					
ntp://www.       gov         118.       Upton, N., & Reed, 46 caregivers of ppl with dementia in England. To describe the first hand phenomenon of caregiver coping. International Journal of Psychiatric by 1 All caregivers reported psychophysical distancing of Psychiatric by physical distancing of physical care to the pt or to ease the caregiver's physical exhaustion. Rarely directly ask how caregiver is coping.       (none)       (none)       (none)         1256-1267.       2) Some caregiver's id not get regular social activity. Relatives focus on socializing with the carer without providing backup sitting service for the pt. Commonly caregiver explains fhat relatives don't visit because it upset them to see the changes in the pt. 3) Caregiver field moderately to severely uncomfortable in social activity. Relatives focus on socializing with the carer without providing backup sitting service for the pt. Commonly caregiver field moderately to severely uncomfortable in social activity. Relatives focus on social active don't visit because it upset them to see the changes in the pt. 3) Caregiver field moderately to severely uncomfortable in social situations because of the dementia pt's difficulty severely uncomfortable in social situations because of the dementia pt's difficulty severely uncomfortable in social situations because of the dementia pt's difficulty severely uncomfortable in social situations because of the dementia pt's difficulty severely uncomfortable in social situations because of the dementia pt's difficulty severely uncomfortable in social situations because of the dementia pt's difficulty severely uncomfortable in social situations because of the dementia pt's difficulty severely uncomfortable in social situations because of the dementia pt's difficulty severely betwery helpful for feeling safety network and less.		Irom					
118.       Upton, N., & Red, V. (2006). The influence of social support on caregiver coping. International Journal of Psychiatric Nursing Research, 11(2), 1256–1267.       Phenomenological study of describe the first hand phenomenon of caregiver coping in dementia. I) All caregivers reported psycholphysical distancing of family and friends – they did not offer or give direct Research, 11(2), 1256–1267.       (none)       (none)       Recom- mendation s         8       Upton, N., & Ked, Journal of Psycholphysical distancing of family and friends – they directly ask how caregiver is coping. 2) Some caregivers did not get regular social contact. In those who continued in regular family/friend relationships, others tend to sideline the pt as if invisible during the social activity. Relatives focus on socializing with the carer without providing backup stifting service for the pt. Commonly caregiver explains that relatives don't visit because it upset them to see the changes in the pt. 3) Caregiver tried to protect their children by deliberately keeping them "in the dark" about how much burden the caregiving causes. Caregiver freels moderately to severely uncomfortable in social stuations because of the dementia pt's difficulty sustaining rational conversation. Caregiver feels both socially betrayed/disappointed by friends/relatives and simultaneously relieved when socializing is reduced. 4) Telephone socializing setty network and less isolation and take of stree		http://www.					
118.       Upton, N., & Reed, influence of social support on caregiver coping.       Phenomenological study of de caregiver of ppt with dementia in England. To describe the first hand phenomenon of caregiver coping.       (none)       (none)       (none)       Recom- medation s         International Journal of Psychiatric Nursing Research, 11(2), 1256–1267.       1) All caregivers reported psychophysical distancing of family and friends – they did not offer or give direct physical caregiver is oping.       ) Some caregiver is coping.       )         2) Some caregivers did not get regular social contact. In those who continued in regular family/friend relationships, others tend to sideline the pt as if invisible during the social activity. Relatives focus on socializing with the carer without providing backup sitting service for the pt. Commonly caregiver commonly caregiver commonly caregiver commonly caregiver caregiver field to protect their children by deliberately keeping them "in the dark" about how much burden the caregiving causes. Caregiver field to situations because of the dimental bi studius backup situations because of the dementia pt's difficulty sustaining rational conversation. Caregiver feels both socially betrayed/disappointed by friends/relatives and simultaneously relieved when socializing is reduced.		gao.gov					
V. (2006). The influence of social support on caregiver coping. International Journal of Psychiatric Research, 11(2), 1256–1267.	118.	Upton, N., & Reed,	Phenomenological study of	(none)	(none)	(none)	Recom-
influence of social support on caregiver coping.       dementia in England. To describe the first hand phenomenon of caregiver coping in dementia.       s         International Journal of Psychiatric       1) All caregivers reported psychophysical distancing of family and friends - they did not offer or give direct did not offer or give direct diverely ask how caregiver is coping.       1) Some caregivers is physical exhaustion. Rarely diverely ask how caregiver is coping.         2) Some caregivers did not get regular social contact. In those who continued in regular family/friend relationships, others tend to sideline the pt as i Invisible during the social activity. Relatives focus on socializing with the carer without providing backup sitting service for the pt. Commonly caregiver explains that relatives don't visit because it upset them to see the changes in the pt. 3) Caregiver fried to protect their children by deliberately keeping them "in the dark" about how much burden the caregiving causes. Caregiver feels moderately to severely uncomfortable in social situations because of the dementa pr's difficulty sustaining rational conversation. Caregiver feels both socially betrayed/disapointed by friends/relatives and simultaneously relieved when socializing is reduced.		V. (2006). The	46 caregivers of ppl with				mendation
social support on caregiver coping. <i>International</i> 1) All caregivers reported psychophysical distancing <i>Psychiatric</i> of funity and friends – they <i>Mursing</i> <i>Research</i> , 11(2), 1256–1267. is coping. 2) Some caregivers is physical exhaustion. Rarely directly ask how caregiver is coping. 2) Some caregivers id not get regular social contact. In those who continued in regular family/friend relationships, others tend to sideline the pt as if invisible during the social activity. Relatives focus on socializing with the carer without providing backup sitting service for the pt. Commonly caregiver explains that relatives don't visit because it upset them to see the changes in the pt. 3) Caregiver ride to protect their children by deliberately keeping them "in the dark" about how much burden the caregiving acues. Caregiver fields during the social activity. Relatives focus on socializing with the carer without providing backup sitting service for the pt. Commonly caregiver explains that relatives don't visit because ti upset them to see the changes in the pt. 3) Caregiver tried to protect their children by deliberately keeping them "in the dark" about how much burden the caregiving causes. Caregiver feels moderately to severely uncomfortable in social situations because of the dementa pt's difficulty sustaining rational conversation. Caregiver feels both socially betrayed/disapointed by friends/relatives and simultaneously relieved when socializing is reduced. 4) Telephone socializing		influence of	dementia in England. To				S
on caregiver coping. International Journal of Psychiatric Nursing Research, 11(2), 1256–1267.		social support	describe the first hand				
coping.       coping in dementia.         International       J. All caregivers reported         Journal of       psychophysical distancing         Psychiatric       of family and friends – they         Nursing       dia dot offer or give direct         Research, 1/(2),       physical care to the pt or to         ease the caregiver's       physical exhaustion. Rarely         directly ask how caregiver       is coping.         2) Some caregivers did not       get regular social contact.         In those who continued in       regular social contact.         In those who continued in       relationships, others tend to         sideline the pt as if invisible       during the social activity.         Relatives focus on       socializing with the carer         without providing backup       sitting service for the pt.         3) Caregiver tried to protect       their children by         deliberately keeping them       "in the dark" about how         much burden the caregiving       caregiver feels         moderately to severely       uncomfortable in social         uncoffortable in social       situations because of the pt.         3) Caregiver feels       moderately to severely         uncomfortable in social       situations because of the dementing 's difficulty		on caregiver	phenomenon of caregiver				
International Journal of Psycholysical distancing of family and friends – they did not offer or give direct physical care to the pt or to ease the caregiver's physical exhaustion. Rarely directly ask how caregiver is coping.         1256-1267.       2) Some caregivers did not get regular social contact. In those who continued in regular family/friend relationships, others tend to sideline the pt as if invisible during the social activity. Relatives focus on socializing with the carer without providing backup sitting service for the pt. Commonly caregiver explains that relatives don't visit because it upset them to see the changes in the pt. 3) Caregiver tried to protect their children by deliberately keeping them "in the dark" about how much burden the caregiving causes. Caregiver feels moderately to severely uncomfortable in social situations because of the dementing r's difficulty sustaining rational conversation. Caregiver feels both socially betrayed/disappointed by friends/relatives and simultaneously relieved when socializing is reduced.		coping.	coping in dementia.				
Journal of Psychiatric Narsing Research, 11(2), 1256–1267.		International	1) All caregivers reported				
Psychiatric Nursing Research, 11(2), 1256–1267.       of family and friends – they did not offer or give direct physical care to the pt or to ease the caregiver's physical exclusion. Rarely directly ask how caregiver is coping.         2) Some caregivers did not get regular social contact. In those who continued in regular family/friend relationships, others tend to sideline the pt as if invisible during the social activity. Relatives focus on socializing with the carer without providing backup sitting service for the pt. Commonly caregiver explains that relatives don't visi blecause it upset them to see the changes in the pt. 3) Caregiver tried to protect their children by deliberately keeping them "in the dark" about how much burden the caregiving causes. Caregiver feels moderately to severely uncomfortable in social situations because of the dementia pt's difficulty sustaining rational conversation. Caregiver feels both socially betrayed/disappointed by friends/relatives and simultaneously relieved when socializing is reduced.		Journal of	psychophysical distancing				
Nursing Research, 11(2).       id not offer or give direct physical care to the pt or to ease the caregiver's physical exhaustion. Rarely directly ask how caregiver is coping.         2) Some caregivers did not get regular social contact. In those who continued in regular family/friend relationships, others tend to sideline the pt as if invisible during the social activity. Relatives focus on socializing with the carer without providing backup sitting service for the pt. Commonly caregiver explains that relatives don't visit because it upset them to see the changes in the pt. 3) Caregiver tried to protect their children by deliberately keeping them "in the dark" about how much burden the caregiving causes. Caregiver Feels moderately to severely uncomfortable in social situations because of the dementia pt's difficulty sustaining rational conversation. Caregiver feels both socially betrayed/disappointed by friends/relatives and simultaneously relieved when socializing is reduced.		Psychiatric	of family and friends – they				
Research, 11(2).       physical care to the pt or to         1256-1267.       ease the caregiver's         physical exhaustion. Rarely       directly ask how caregiver         is coping.       2) Some caregivers did not         get regular social contact.       In those who continued in         regular family/friend       relationships, others tend to         sideline the pt as if invisible       during the social activity.         Relatives focus on       socializing with the carer         without providing backup       sitting service for the pt.         Commonly caregiver       explains that relatives don't         visit because it upset them       to see the changes in the pt.         3) Caregiver tried to protect       their children by         deliberately keeping them       "in the dark" about how         moderately to severely       uncomfortable in social         situations because of the       dementia pt's difficulty         sustaining rational       conversation. Caregiver         feels both socially       betrayed/disappointed by         triends/relatives and       simultaneously relieved         when socializing is       reduced.         4) Totlephone socializing       very helpful for feeling         safety network and less       isolation actrize of strare </td <td></td> <td>Nursing</td> <td>did not offer or give direct</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		Nursing	did not offer or give direct				
1256–1267.       ease the caregiver's physical exhaustion. Rarely directly ask how caregiver is coping.         2) Some caregivers did not get regular social contact. In those who continued in regular family/friend relationships, others tend to sideline the pt as if invisible during the social activity. Relatives focus on socializing with the carer without providing backup sitting service for the pt. Commonly caregiver explains that relatives don't visit because it upset them to see the changes in the pt. 3) Caregiver tried to protect their children by deliberately keeping them "in the dark" about how much burden the caregiving causes. Caregiver feels moderately to severely uncomfortable in social situations because of the dementia pt's difficulty sustaining rational conversation. Caregiver feels both socially betrayed/disappointed by friends/relatives and simultaneously relieved when socializing is reduced.         4) Telephone socializing safety network and less iobation and tyke of three.		Research, 11(2),	physical care to the pt or to				
physical exhaustion. Rarely directly ask how caregiver is coping. 2) Some caregivers did not get regular social contact. In those who continued in regular family/friend relationships, others tend to sideline the pt as if invisible during the social activity. Relatives focus on socializing with the carer without providing backup sitting service for the pt. Commonly caregiver explains that relatives don't visit because it upset them to see the changes in the pt. 3) Caregiver tried to protect their children by deliberately keeping them "in the dark" about how much burden the caregiving causes. Caregiver feels moderately to severely uncomfortable in social situations because of the dementia pt's difficulty sustaining rational conversation. Caregiver feels both socially betrayed/disappointed by friends/relatives and simultaneously relieved when socializing is reduced. 4) Telephone socializing very helpful for feeling safety network and less iophino and these of trare		1256–1267.	ease the caregiver's				
directly ask how caregiver is coping. 2) Some caregivers did not get regular social contact. In those who continued in regular family/friend relationships, others tend to sideline the pt as if invisible during the social activity. Relatives focus on socializing with the carer without providing backup sitting service for the pt. Commonly caregiver explains that relatives don't visit because it upset them to see the changes in the pt. 3) Caregiver tried to protect their children by deliberately keeping them "in the dark" about how much burden the caregiving causes. Caregiver feels moderately to severely uncomfortable in social situations because of the dementia pt's difficulty sustaining rational conversation. Caregiver feels both socially betrayed/disappointed by friends/relatives and simultaneously relieved when socializing is reduced. 4) Telephone socializing very helpful for feeling safety network and less isolation and thee of trare			physical exhaustion. Rarely				
<ul> <li>is coping.</li> <li>2) Some caregivers did not get regular social contact. In those who continued in regular family/friend relationships, others tend to sideline the pt as if invisible during the social activity. Relatives focus on socializing with the carer without providing backup sitting service for the pt. Commonly caregiver explains that relatives don't visit because it upset them to see the changes in the pt.</li> <li>3) Caregiver tried to protect their children by deliberately keeping them "in the dark" about how much burden the caregiving causes. Caregiver feels moderately to severely uncomfortable in social situations because of the dementia pt's difficulty sustaining rational conversation. Caregiver feels both socially betrayed/disappointed by friends/relatives and simultaneously relieved when socializing is reduced.</li> <li>4) Telephone socializing very helpful for feeling safety network and less isolation and take of store.</li> </ul>			directly ask how caregiver				
2) Some caregivers did not get regular social contact. In those who continued in regular family/friend relationships, others tend to sideline the pt as if invisible during the social activity. Relatives focus on socializing with the carer without providing backup sitting service for the pt. Commonly caregiver explains that relatives don't visit because it upset them to see the changes in the pt. 3) Caregiver tried to protect their children by deliberately keeping them "in the dark" about how much burden the caregiving causes. Caregiver feels moderately to severely uncomfortable in social situations because of the dementia pt's difficulty sustaining rational conversation. Caregiver feels both socially betrayed/disappointed by friends/relatives and simultaneously relieved when socializing very helpful for feeling safety network and less isolation and take of strare			is coping.				
get regular social contact. In those who continued in regular family/friend relationships, others tend to sideline the pt as if invisible during the social activity. Relatives focus on socializing with the carer without providing backup sitting service for the pt. Commonly caregiver explains that relatives don't visit because it upset them to see the changes in the pt. 3) Caregiver tried to protect their children by deliberately keeping them "in the dark" about how much burden the caregiving causes. Caregiver feels moderately to severely uncomfortable in social situations because of the dementin pt's difficulty sustaining rational conversation. Caregiver feels both socially betrayed/disappointed by friends/relatives and simultaneously relieved when socializing is reduced. 4) Telephone socializing very helpful for feeling safety network and less isolation and take of three			2) Some caregivers did not				
In those who continued in regular family/friend relationships, others tend to sideline the pt as if invisible during the social activity. Relatives focus on socializing with the carer without providing backup sitting service for the pt. Commonly caregiver explains that relatives don't visit because it upset them to see the changes in the pt. 3) Caregiver tried to protect their children by deliberately keeping them "in the dark" about how much burden the caregiving causes. Caregiver feels moderately to severely uncomfortable in social situations because of the dementia pt's difficulty sustaining rational conversation. Caregiver feels both socially betrayed/disappointed by friends/relatives and simultaneously relieved when socializing is reduced. 4) Telephone socializing very helpful for feeling safety network and less isolation and takes of strare			get regular social contact				
regular family/friend relationships, others tend to sideline the pt as if invisible during the social activity. Relatives focus on socializing with the carer without providing backup sitting service for the pt. Commonly caregiver explains that relatives don't visit because it upset them to see the changes in the pt. 3) Caregiver tried to protect their children by deliberately keeping them "in the dark" about how much burden the caregiving causes. Caregiver feels moderately to severely uncomfortable in social situations because of the dementia pt's difficulty sustaining rational conversation. Caregiver feels both socially betrayed/disappointed by friends/relatives and simultaneously relieved when socializing is reduced. 4) Telephone socializing very helpful for feeling safety network and less			In those who continued in				
relationships, others tend to sideline the pt as if invisible during the social activity. Relatives focus on socializing with the carer without providing backup sitting service for the pt. Commonly caregiver explains that relatives don't visit because it upset them to see the changes in the pt. 3) Caregiver tried to protect their children by deliberately keeping them "in the dark" about how much burden the caregiving causes. Caregiver feels moderately to severely uncomfortable in social situations because of the dementia pt's difficulty sustaining rational conversation. Caregiver feels both socially betrayed/disappointed by friends/relatives and simultaneously relieved when socializing is reduced. 4) Telephone socializing very helpful for feeling safety network and less isolation and thes of streer			regular family/friend				
sideline the pt as if invisible during the social activity. Relatives focus on socializing with the carer without providing backup sitting service for the pt. Commonly caregiver explains that relatives don't visit because it upset them to see the changes in the pt. 3) Caregiver tried to protect their children by deliberately keeping them "in the dark" about how much burden the caregiving causes. Caregiver feels moderately to severely uncomfortable in social situations because of the dementia pt's difficulty sustaining rational conversation. Caregiver feels both socially betrayed/disappointed by friends/relatives and simultaneously relieved when socializing is reduced. 4) Telephone socializing very helpful for feeling safety network and less isolation and these of streer			relationships others tend to				
during the social activity. Relatives focus on socializing with the carer without providing backup sitting service for the pt. Commonly caregiver explains that relatives don't visit because it upset them to see the changes in the pt. 3) Caregiver tried to protect their children by deliberately keeping them "in the dark" about how much burden the caregiving causes. Caregiver feels moderately to severely uncomfortable in social situations because of the dementia pt's difficulty sustaining rational conversation. Caregiver feels both socially betrayed/disappointed by friends/relatives and simultaneously relieved when socializing is reduced. 4) Telephone socializing very helpful for feeling safety network and less isolation and these of streare			sideline the pt as if invisible				
Relatives focus on socializing with the carer without providing backup sitting service for the pt. Commonly caregiver explains that relatives don't visit because it upset them to see the changes in the pt. 3) Caregiver tried to protect their children by deliberately keeping them "in the dark" about how much burden the caregiving causes. Caregiver feels moderately to severely uncomfortable in social situations because of the dementia pt's difficulty sustaining rational conversation. Caregiver feels both socially betrayed/disappointed by friends/relatives and simultaneously relieved when socializing is reduced. 4) Telephone socializing very helpful for feeling safety network and less isolation and tekes of streer			during the social activity				
socializing with the carer without providing backup sitting service for the pt. Commonly caregiver explains that relatives don't visit because it upset them to see the changes in the pt. 3) Caregiver tried to protect their children by deliberately keeping them "in the dark" about how much burden the caregiving causes. Caregiver feels moderately to severely uncomfortable in social situations because of the dementia pt's difficulty sustaining rational conversation. Caregiver feels both socially betrayed/disappointed by friends/relatives and simultaneously relieved when socializing is reduced. 4) Telephone socializing very helpful for feeling safety network and less isolation and these of streage			Relatives focus on				
without providing backup         sitting service for the pt.         Commonly caregiver         explains that relatives don't         visit because it upset them         to see the changes in the pt.         3) Caregiver tried to protect         their children by         deliberately keeping them         "in the dark" about how         much burden the caregiving         causes. Caregiver feels         moderately to severely         uncomfortable in social         situations because of the         dementia pt's difficulty         sustaining rational         conversation. Caregiver         feels both socially         betrayed/disappointed by         friends/relatives and         simultaneously relieved         when socializing is         reduced.         4) Telephone socializing         very helpful for feeling         safety network and less         isolation and takes of strarge			socializing with the carer				
sitting service for the pt. Commonly caregiver explains that relatives don't visit because it upset them to see the changes in the pt. 3) Caregiver tried to protect their children by deliberately keeping them "in the dark" about how much burden the caregiving causes. Caregiver feels moderately to severely uncomfortable in social situations because of the dementia pt's difficulty sustaining rational conversation. Caregiver feels both socially betrayed/disappointed by friends/relatives and simultaneously relieved when socializing is reduced. 4) Telephone socializing very helpful for feeling safety network and less isolation. and takes of streare			socializing with the cale				
Commonly caregiver explains that relatives don't visit because it upset them to see the changes in the pt. 3) Caregiver tried to protect their children by deliberately keeping them "in the dark" about how much burden the caregiving causes. Caregiver feels moderately to severely uncomfortable in social situations because of the dementia pt's difficulty sustaining rational conversation. Caregiver feels both socially betrayed/disappointed by friends/relatives and simultaneously relieved when socializing is reduced. 4) Telephone socializing very helpful for feeling safety network and less isolation and takes of streare			without providing backup				
commonly caregiver         explains that relatives don't         visit because it upset them         to see the changes in the pt.         3) Caregiver tried to protect         their children by         deliberately keeping them         "in the dark" about how         much burden the caregiving         causes. Caregiver feels         moderately to severely         uncomfortable in social         situations because of the         dementia pt's difficulty         sustaining rational         conversation. Caregiver         feels both socially         betrayed/disappointed by         friends/relatives and         simultaneously relieved         when socializing is         reduced.         4) Telephone socializing         very helpful for feeling         safety network and less         ipolation and takes of ctrace			Commonly comparison				
explains that relatives don't visit because it upset them to see the changes in the pt. 3) Caregiver tried to protect their children by deliberately keeping them "in the dark" about how much burden the caregiving causes. Caregiver feels moderately to severely uncomfortable in social situations because of the dementia pt's difficulty sustaining rational conversation. Caregiver feels both socially betrayed/disappointed by friends/relatives and simultaneously relieved when socializing is reduced. 4) Telephone socializing very helpful for feeling safety network and less ijolation and takes of ctrare			Commonly caregiver				
Visit because it upset them to see the changes in the pt. 3) Caregiver tried to protect their children by deliberately keeping them "in the dark" about how much burden the caregiving causes. Caregiver feels moderately to severely uncomfortable in social situations because of the dementia pt's difficulty sustaining rational conversation. Caregiver feels both socially betrayed/disappointed by friends/relatives and simultaneously relieved when socializing is reduced. 4) Telephone socializing very helpful for feeling safety network and less isolation and takes of stream			explains that relatives don t				
to see the changes in the pt. 3) Caregiver tried to protect their children by deliberately keeping them "in the dark" about how much burden the caregiving causes. Caregiver feels moderately to severely uncomfortable in social situations because of the dementia pt's difficulty sustaining rational conversation. Caregiver feels both socially betrayed/disappointed by friends/relatives and simultaneously relieved when socializing is reduced. 4) Telephone socializing very helpful for feeling safety network and less isolation and takes of strears			visit because it upset them				
<ul> <li>3) Caregiver tried to protect their children by deliberately keeping them "in the dark" about how much burden the caregiving causes. Caregiver feels moderately to severely uncomfortable in social situations because of the dementia pt's difficulty sustaining rational conversation. Caregiver feels both socially betrayed/disappointed by friends/relatives and simultaneously relieved when socializing is reduced.</li> <li>4) Telephone socializing very helpful for feeling safety network and less isolation and takes of strease</li> </ul>			to see the changes in the pt.				
their children by deliberately keeping them "in the dark" about how much burden the caregiving causes. Caregiver feels moderately to severely uncomfortable in social situations because of the dementia pt's difficulty sustaining rational conversation. Caregiver feels both socially betrayed/disappointed by friends/relatives and simultaneously relieved when socializing is reduced. 4) Telephone socializing very helpful for feeling safety network and less isolation, and takes of stress			3) Caregiver tried to protect				
deliberately keeping them "in the dark" about how much burden the caregiving causes. Caregiver feels moderately to severely uncomfortable in social situations because of the dementia pt's difficulty sustaining rational conversation. Caregiver feels both socially betrayed/disappointed by friends/relatives and simultaneously relieved when socializing is reduced. 4) Telephone socializing very helpful for feeling safety network and less isolation, and takes of stress			their children by				
"in the dark" about how much burden the caregiving causes. Caregiver feels moderately to severely uncomfortable in social situations because of the dementia pt's difficulty sustaining rational conversation. Caregiver feels both socially betrayed/disappointed by friends/relatives and simultaneously relieved when socializing is reduced. 4) Telephone socializing very helpful for feeling safety network and less isolation_and takes of stress			deliberately keeping them				
much burden the caregiving causes. Caregiver feels moderately to severely uncomfortable in social situations because of the dementia pt's difficulty sustaining rational conversation. Caregiver feels both socially betrayed/disappointed by friends/relatives and simultaneously relieved when socializing is reduced. 4) Telephone socializing very helpful for feeling safety network and less isolation and takes of stress			"in the dark" about how				
causes. Caregiver feels moderately to severely uncomfortable in social situations because of the dementia pt's difficulty sustaining rational conversation. Caregiver feels both socially betrayed/disappointed by friends/relatives and simultaneously relieved when socializing is reduced. 4) Telephone socializing very helpful for feeling safety network and less isolation, and takes of stress			much burden the caregiving				
moderately to severely         uncomfortable in social         situations because of the         dementia pt's difficulty         sustaining rational         conversation. Caregiver         feels both socially         betrayed/disappointed by         friends/relatives and         simultaneously relieved         when socializing is         reduced.         4) Telephone socializing         very helpful for feeling         safety network and less         isolation_ and takes of stress			causes. Caregiver feels				
uncomfortable in social situations because of the dementia pt's difficulty sustaining rational conversation. Caregiver feels both socially betrayed/disappointed by friends/relatives and simultaneously relieved when socializing is reduced. 4) Telephone socializing very helpful for feeling safety network and less isolation, and takes of stress			moderately to severely				
situations because of the dementia pt's difficulty sustaining rational conversation. Caregiver feels both socially betrayed/disappointed by friends/relatives and simultaneously relieved when socializing is reduced. 4) Telephone socializing very helpful for feeling safety network and less isolation, and takes of stress			uncomfortable in social				
dementia pt's difficulty sustaining rational conversation. Caregiver feels both socially betrayed/disappointed by friends/relatives and simultaneously relieved when socializing is reduced. 4) Telephone socializing very helpful for feeling safety network and less isolation_and takes of stress			situations because of the				
sustaining rational conversation. Caregiver feels both socially betrayed/disappointed by friends/relatives and simultaneously relieved when socializing is reduced. 4) Telephone socializing very helpful for feeling safety network and less isolation, and takes of stress			dementia pt's difficulty				
conversation. Caregiver         feels both socially         betrayed/disappointed by         friends/relatives and         simultaneously relieved         when socializing is         reduced.         4) Telephone socializing         very helpful for feeling         safety network and less         isolation_and takes of stress			sustaining rational				
feels both socially betrayed/disappointed by friends/relatives and simultaneously relieved when socializing is reduced. 4) Telephone socializing very helpful for feeling safety network and less isolation_and takes of stress			conversation. Caregiver				
betrayed/disappointed by friends/relatives and simultaneously relieved when socializing is reduced. 4) Telephone socializing very helpful for feeling safety network and less isolation, and takes of stress			feels both socially				
friends/relatives and simultaneously relieved when socializing is reduced. 4) Telephone socializing very helpful for feeling safety network and less isolation, and takes of stress			betrayed/disappointed by				
simultaneously relieved when socializing is reduced. 4) Telephone socializing very helpful for feeling safety network and less isolation, and takes of stress			friends/relatives and				
when socializing is reduced. 4) Telephone socializing very helpful for feeling safety network and less isolation and takes of stress			simultaneously relieved				
reduced. 4) Telephone socializing very helpful for feeling safety network and less isolation and takes of stress			when socializing is				
4) Telephone socializing very helpful for feeling safety network and less isolation and takes of stress	1		reduced.				
very helpful for feeling safety network and less isolation and takes of stress			4) Telephone socializing				
safety network and less isolation and takes of stress			very helpful for feeling				
isolation and takes of stress			safety network and less				
ISUIAUUII, AIIQ LANES UI SLIESS			isolation, and takes of stress				

-				1		
		of getting ready and going out and possibly arranging sitting service. 5) All participants regarded caregiving of spouse as their sole responsibility and they did not want to pass it off to another person. But they would appreciate visitors for the pt and occasional sitting service at home if a relative/friend would take responsibility for spending personal time				
110	Uwakwe R. Ibeh	with the pt.	Zarit	(none)	Geriatric	Dementia
	C. C., Modebe, A. I., Bo, E., Ezeama, N., Njelita, I., Ferri, C. P., & Prince, M. J. (2009). The epidemiology of dependence in older people in Nigeria: Prevalence, determinants, informal care, and health service utilization. A 10,66 dementia research group cross-sectional survey. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, 57, 1620–1627.	nation and 3% of population age 65+. Life expectancy 46 y.o male/47 y.o. female. Migration from rural areas means less kinship support systems for older adults. Dementia determined by Community Screening Instrument for Dementia memory items, 10 word list immediate and delayed recall (dx dementia for 1.5 sd below age and education norms) n = 872 79.2% memory not impaired 11.3% impairment on 1/3 memory tests 4.9% impairment on 2/3 memory tests 4.6% impairment on 3/3 memory tests 25.6% clinically elevated depression sx Caregivers: 68% were the child or child-in-law 13.7% was the spouse ZBI for overall group was 7.8 sd 6.3 ZBI for memory impaired			Mental State structured interview	
120	Uwakwe R &	group was 9.8 sd 8.1 Most care for elderly in	Zarit	(none)	GHO-12	Elderly
120.	Modebe, I. (2007). Disability and caregiving in old age in a Nigerian community.	Nigeria is by family members (58% spouse, 42% children, grandchildren, great grandchildren, children in law)			511Q-12	Liutiy

	Nigerian Journal of Clinical Practice, 10(1), 58–65.	Catholic church provides limited institutional care for destitute elderly who have no living or local family. No government or other community agencies provide help for care of disabled elderly. Authors call for joint responsibility of agencies to assist families. GHQ-12 results not reported				
		Zarit 22-item interview was collapsed into a general interview. 97.5% of caregivers indicated that "providing care for their older relatives was a very heavy burden to them" with impact on work, school, including missing work days or cutting back hours. n = 90 caregivers (84 female, 6 male)				
121.	Van Humbeeck, G., Van Audenhove, C. De Hert, M., Pieters, G., & Storms, G. (2002). Expressed emotion: A review of assessment instruments. <i>Clinical</i> <i>Psychology</i> <i>Review</i> , 22(3), 321–341.	Use of Expressed Emotion measures (CFI plus 11 others) reliably predicts relapse rate in psychiatric pts and evaluates emotional climate between caregiver and patient. CFI is found to be the best assessment instrument. Other instruments have significant correlations btwn relapse and EE but not found to be as powerful as CFI.	(none)	CFI FMSS PPI LEE IRQ PCS FEICS PRS QAEE AC FAS FES	(none)	Psychia- tric patients
122.	Vaughn, C. E. (1989). Annotation: Expressed emotion in family relationships. <i>Child</i> <i>Psychology and</i> <i>Psychiatry</i> , 30(1), 13–22.	Recaps 3 other studies and says it's 3 – 4 times more likely for schizophrenia patients to relapse within 9 months of hospital discharge if patient returns to home rated high EE upon interview at initial admission. Relatives' EE at admission is significantly highest predictor of symptom relapse over all clinical features. - Similarity between schizophrenia and dementia could be both are vulnerable cognitively so it is "difficult to process complex stimuli and deal with social relationships"	(none)	(none)	(none)	Schizo- phrenia

		Therefore high EE is				
123.	Vaughn, C. & Leff, J. (1976). The measurement of expressed emotion in the families of psychiatric patients. <i>British</i> <i>Journal of</i> <i>Social and</i> <i>Clinical</i> <i>Psychology</i> , 15, 157–165.	Original Camberwell interview by Brown 1966 took 4-5 hours and covered all aspects of family life. When significance was found for emotion scales, Brown & Rutter shortened the interview to 1-2 hours and focused on 3 topics that were found to be the topics in which 67% of critical remarks were made: psychiatric history, irritability & quarrelling, and clinical sx in past 3 months prior to hospital admission. Outcome: the majority of critical comments occurred in 1 <sup>st</sup> 60 minutes - 1 <sup>st</sup> 60 minutes of interview had same predictive validity as longer interview for EE & readmission.	(none)	Camberwel	(none)	Schizo- phrenia
124.	Vitaliano, P. P., Becker, J., Russo, J., Magana-Amato, A., & Maiuro, R. D. (1988-89). Expressed emotion in spouse caregivers of patients with Alzheimer's disease. Journal of Applied Social Sciences, 13, 215–250.	Seminal study of EE applied to dementia caregivers. Focus on spouses of people with Alzheimer's disease. Outcome: high EE related to more depression, more burden, more anger held-in and less anger control than low EE caregivers. - high EE used more avoidance in coping - high EE used less coping by counting one's blessings - high EE perceived more functional impairment in their spouses than low EE caregivers	(none)	FMSS	BDI	Dementia
125.	Vitaliano, P. P., Young, H. M., Russo, J., Romano, J., & Magana-Amato, A. (1993). Does expressed emotion in spouses predict subsequent problems among care recipients with Alzheimer's disease? Journal of Gerontology.	Outcome: disruptive behaviours by care recipient are more frequent and are susceptible to the influence of caregiver EE- critical. Cognitive and ADL decline in functioning are not predicted by EE- critical. Disruptive behaviours are defined as paranoia (hiding things), anger, abusiveness toward caregiver.	(none)	FMSS (use baseline data)	BDI (use baseline data)	Dementia

	48(4), P202– P209					
126.	Vitaliano, P. P., Zhang, J., & Scanlan, J. M. (2003). Is caregiving hazardous to one's physical health? A meta- analysis. <i>Psychological</i> <i>Bulletin</i> , 129(6), 946–972.	-chronic stressors of caregiving includes "prolonged anticipatory bereavement over lost aspects of their relationships with their care recipients" Metaanalysis compared 1594 caregivers of ppl w dementia with 1478 demographically similar noncaregivers. Outcome: 9% greater risk of health problems in caregiver group; 23% higher level of stress hormones than noncaregivers, which is related to elevated blood pressure and glucose levels and in turn related to hypertension and diabetes; 15% poorer antibody production in caregivers which is related to greater risk for flu and pneumonia.	(none)	(none)	(none)	Demo- graphics
127.	Wagner, A. W., Logsdon, R. G., Pearson, J. L., & Teri, L. (1997). Caregiver expressed emotion and depression in Alzheimer's disease. Aging & Mental Health, 1(2), 132–139.	Outcome: 40% of caregivers were classified as high EE and 60% low EE. High EE = -ve initial stmt, -ve relationship rating, or 1+ criticisms; or if excessive overprotective behaviour and/or respondent cried during interview. Low EE if not high EE. - High EE caregivers more likely to receive depression dx compared to low EE caregivers - High EE caregivers reported higher Zarit Burden scores and lower positive burden scores than low EE caregivers	Zarit	FMSS	CES-D	Dementia
128.	Weardon, A. J., Tarrier, N., Barrowclough, C., Zastowny, T. R., & Rahill, A. A. (2000). A review of expressed emotion research in health care. <i>Clinical</i> <i>Psychology</i>	High EE ratings by close relatives has shown to be predictive of sx relapse or severity in studies of patients diagnosed with schizophrenia, depression, bipolar d/o, cystic fibrosis, eating disorders, PTSD, alcohol abuse, personality d/o, agoraphobia, child/adolescent behavioural issues, AD, dementia, diabetes, asthma,	(none)	(none)	(none)	Dementia Arthritis Asthma Diabetes Epilepsy Heart Surgery Obesity

r						
	<i>Review, 20(5),</i>	epilepsy, arthritis, heart				
129	World Health	Worldwide an estimated	(none)	(none)	(none)	Dementia
127.	Organization &	35.6 million people are	(none)	(none)	(none)	Dementia
	Alzheimer's	currently living with				
	Disease	dementia				
	International.					
	(2012).	This number is projected to				
	Dementia: A	reach 115.4 million people				
	public health	by 2050				
	priority.					
	Retrieved from					
	http://www.who.					
	int/mental_healt					
	h/publications/d					
	ementia_report_					
120	2012/en/	0.1.1.1	(	(	(	D
130.	Wu, H., Wang, J.,	Outcome: compared with	(none)	(none)	(none)	Dementia
	Cacioppo, J. I.,	matched noncaregivers,				
	Viacolt Glaser	AD had significantly				
	LK &	reduced immune function				
	J. K., & Malarkev W B	while actively caregiving				
	(1999) Chronic	and for several years after				
	stress associated	their care recipient has died.				
	with spousal	Including decreased				
	caregiving of	response by B-cells –				
	patients with	propose that this is due to				
	Alzheimer's	social isolation and chronic				
	dementia is	stress associated with				
	associated with	providing care for patients				
	downregulation	with AD.				
	of B-					
	lymphocyte GH					
	mRNA.					
	Journals of					
	Gerontology					
	Series A:					
	Biological Sciences and					
	Sciences ana Medical					
	Sciences 54(4)					
	M212-215					
131	Yap. P., Luo, N	Singapore study of English-	Zarit	(none)	DMSS	Dementia
	Ng, W. Y	literate carers to amend the		(	2	_ on on the
	Chionh, H. L.,	DMSS so it will be more				
	& Goh, J.	relevant to an Asian				
	(2010). Gain in	population.				
	Alzheimer care					
	instrument: A					
	new scale to					
	measure					
	caregiving gains					
	in dementia.					
1	American					
	Journal of					
	Development					
	18(1) 68 76					
132	$\frac{10(1), 00-70}{\text{Zabala M I}}$	Used as template for study	(none)	(none)	(none)	Fating
132.	Macdonald P	design	(none)	(none)	(none)	Disorders
	& Treasure. J.	uvoigii.				Distructs

	(2009).	Metaanalysis included 20				
	Appraisal of	studies measuring caregiver				
	caregiving	burden psych distress and				
	burden	expressed emotion in				
	our reason	correctivers of nearly with				
	expressed	categivers of people with				
	emotion and	eating disorders.				
	psychological	ED caregivers have higher				
	distress in	EE than controls in studies				
	families of	that used controls. ED				
	people with	caregivers have comparable				
	eating disorders:	levels of burden as				
	A systematic	caregivers of people with				
	review	depression and in some				
	Europage	appression and in some				
	European	cases as high of higher				
	Eating	burden as caregivers of				
	Disorders	people with psychosis.				
	Review, 17,					
	338–349.					
133	. Zanetti, O., Geroldi,	Outcome – Caregiver's	CBI	(none)	BDI	Dementia
	C., Frisoni, G.	who score high on Time				
	B., Bianchetti,	Dependence burden (feel				
	A., &	restricted from other				
	Trabucchi, M.	activities due to caregiving				
	(1999).	responsibilities) tend to				
	Contrasting	report poorer performance-				
	results between	based abilities in patients				
	results between	then their physicians				
	report and direct	observe.				
	assessment of	- caregivers with greater #				
	activities of	of depressive sx tend to rate				
	daily living in	the pt with slower/poorer				
	patients affected	motor abilities than their				
	by mild and	physicians observes				
	very mild					
	dementia: The					
	contribution of					
	the caregiver's					
	personal					
	characteristics					
	Lowrnal of the					
	Journal of the					
	American					
	Geriatrics					
	<i>Society</i> , 47(2),					
	196–202.					
134	. Zarit, S. H. (2008).	Reason to assess burden is	(none)	(none)	(none)	Recom-
	Diagnosis and	because managing				mendation
	management of	caregiver's burden reduces				S
	caregiver burden	risk of adverse effects on				
	in dementia. In	caregiver's physical and				
	C. Duvckaerts &	psychological well-being				
	L Litvan (Eds.)	and thereby allows pt to				
	Handbook of	receive care for a longer				
	Clinical	time				
	Neurology Vol					
	80 (3 <sup>rd</sup> series).	Looking at caregiving				
	Demontion	strong from multiple				
	Dementias.	suess from multiple				
	Uxiord:	dimensions nelps clinician				
	Elsevier.	understanding primary				
		objective demands and				
		subjective meaning of				
		these stressors on				
	1	individual conservants Also	1	1	1	1

	looks at secondary stressors, which are things that interfere with caregiver's roles outside the immediate relationship with pt, such as work and extended family impacts.		
	Being active caretaker via planning and learning new strategies for responding to memory loss and being optimistic result in less caregiver burden. Emotional support and physical relief from paid or friend/family also reduces burden.		
	Clinician should look for signs pt neglect that may be due to caregiver's lack of understanding of pt's condition; caregiver inadequate sleep, eat too much or too little, alcohol/drug use for coping, fail to seek med attn for own health; husband caregiver may not be skilled at preparing balanced meals so impacts health; risk of depression and suicide in caregiver; rarely but some cases homicide by caregiver; caregiver need for respite and provide resource referral.		
	Caregiver support book The 36 Hour Day by Mace and Rabins 2006.		
	Caregiver support groups and Alzheimer's Assoc groups that help caregiver understand why pts engage in dementia related behs and increase sympathetic stance, legal issues like power of attny.		
	Behavioural management strategies can reduce depressive behaviours in the pt like regular bedtime, regular waking time, quiet routine, time outdoors in daylight, reduce caffeine and stimulants and naps – all these things improve		

		pt's sleep and thereby improve caregiver's sleep. Family support meeting regularly helps reduce burden, caregiver feels understood and reduces family conflict Area Agencies on Aging				
		provide referrals for financial assistance for paid help.				
135.	Zarit, S. H., Anthony, C. R., & Boutselis, M. (1987). Interventions with care givers of dementia patients: Comparison of two approaches. <i>Psychology and</i> <i>Aging, 2</i> (3),	Time-limited (8 sessions) intervention focused on teaching behavioural problem solving, providing psychoeducation abt dementia, and identifying informal and formal sources of caregiver support. Delivered via: a) support groups b) individual/family counselling	(none)	(none)	(none)	Recom- mendation s
	225–232.	Outcome: Both interventions produced lower reports of burden and distress but not signif diff from control groups (ppl on waiting list). Possibly the decision to seek help, the initial intake interview, and expectation of receiving help may have produced change in wait list group.				
136.	Zarit, S. H., Reever, K. E., & Bach- Peterson, J. (1980). Relatives of the impaired elderly: Correlates of feelings of burden. <i>Gerontologist</i> , 20(6), 649–655.	Article contains the actual questionnaire. Outcome: there is a strong relationship between lower caregiver burden and frequency of visits from family members suggesting that resources from the caregiver/patient's natural support system serve to reduce the caregiver's burden/distress.	Zarit	(none)	(none)	General Popula- tion
137.	Zigmond, A. S., & Snaith, R. P. (1983). The Hospital Anxiety and Depression scale. <i>Acta</i> <i>Psychiatrica</i> <i>Scandinavica</i> , 67(6), 361–370.	HADS 0-7 normal 8-10 borderline 11-21 abnormal	(none)	(none)	(none)	(none)
138.	Zucchella, C., Bartolo, M., Pasotti, C., Chiapella, L., &	Outcome – in early stages of AD, caregivers feel the most burden due to time	CBI	СОРЕ	(none)	Dementia

Sinforiani, E.	needed to supervise the		
(2012).	patient on ADLs		
Caregiver	- the second highest burden		
burden and	was feeling developmental		
coping in early-	burden, i.e. that they were		
stage Alzheimer	missing opportunities in life		
disease.	that their same-age peers		
Alzheimer	were able to take part in.		
Disease and	- The use of avoidance		
Associated	strategies significantly		
Disorders,	predicts high levels of		
<i>26</i> (1), 55–60.	caregiver distress. No other		
	coping factor is		
	significantly related to		
	burden.		

## APPENDIX B IRB Exemption Letter

## PEPPERDINE UNIVERSITY

Graduate & Professional Schools Institutional Review Board

November 10, 2015

Susan Sprokay

Project Title: Appraisal of Caregiving Burden, Expressed Emotion, and Psychological Distress in Families of People with Dementia: A Systematic Review **Re: Research Study Not Subject to IRB Review** 

Dear Ms. Sprokay:

Thank you for submitting your application, *Appraisal of Caregiving Burden, Expressed Emotion, and Psychological Distress in Families of People with Dementia: A Systematic Review*, to Pepperdine University's Graduate and Professional Schools Institutional Review Board (GPS IRB). After thorough review of your documents you have submitted, the GPS IRB has determined that your research is **not** subject to review because as you stated in your application your dissertation **research** study is a "critical review of the literature" and does not involve interaction with human subjects. If your dissertation research study is modified and thus involves interactions with human subjects it is at that time you will be required to submit an IRB application.

Should you have additional questions, please contact the Kevin Collins Manager of Institutional Review Board (IRB) at 310-568-2305 or via email at kevin.collins@pepperdine.edu or Dr. Judy Ho, Faculty Chair of GPS IRB at gpsirb@pepperdine.edu. On behalf of the GPS IRB, I wish you continued success in this scholarly pursuit.

Sincerely,

Judy Ho, Ph. D., ABPP, CFMHE Chair, Graduate and Professional Schools IRB

cc: Dr. Lee Kats, Vice Provost for Research and Strategic Initiatives Mr. Brett Leach, Compliance Attorney Dr. Shelly Harrell, Faculty Advisor