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EDITORIAL

A primary goal of the NAALJ Journal is the publication of original, timely articles having practical or theoretical value for Administrative Law Judges. Because of the great geographical and subject matter diversity of our membership, articles of general interest will necessarily focus on areas such as due process and the conduct of hearings, evidence, decision writing, judicial review, and comparative administrative law. In order to provide substantive depth, more specialized articles will be included, provided they illustrate points of broad applicability, and may be read with profit by a wide audience.

Virtually every member is possessed of unique knowledge, and is accustomed to conveying that knowledge in writing. As this second issue of the Journal illustrates, however, extracting that expertise from busy judges, and academic friends of the Association, has been unexpectedly difficult.

The editors wish to thank Justice Arthur Markewich of the Appellate Division of the New York State Supreme Court for the capsulized wisdom especially prepared for this Journal, and to invite our members, once again, to submit case notes, commentaries, and other materials suitable for publication.