Pepperdine University
Pepperdine Digital Commons

Education Division Scholarship | Graduate School of Education and Psychology

12-14-2023

Clausewitzian Theory Of War in The Age of Cognitive Warfare

Amber Brittain-Hale

Amber Brittain-Hale

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.pepperdine.edu/gsepedu

Part of the Applied Ethics Commons, Cognitive Psychology Commons, Cognitive Science Commons, Defense and Security Studies Commons, Eastern European Studies Commons, Ethics and Political Philosophy Commons, International Relations Commons, Military and Veterans Studies Commons, Other Philosophy Commons, Other Public Affairs, Public Policy and Public Administration Commons, Peace and Conflict Studies Commons, Philosophy of Mind Commons, Political History Commons, Political Theory Commons, Public Affairs Commons, Social History Commons, and the Theory and Philosophy Commons

Recommended Citation

Brittain-Hale, Amber and Brittain-Hale, Amber, "Clausewitzian Theory Of War in The Age of Cognitive Warfare" (2023). Pepperdine University, *Education Division Scholarship.* Paper 315.
https://digitalcommons.pepperdine.edu/gsepedu/315

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Graduate School of Education and Psychology at Pepperdine Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Education Division Scholarship by an authorized administrator of Pepperdine Digital Commons. For more information, please contact bailey.berry@pepperdine.edu.

CLAUSEWITZIAN THEORY OF WAR IN THE AGE OF COGNITIVE WARFARE

Dr. Tim Prior, Senior Lecturer, Cyber Security, Deakin University

Published on 1 December 2022 | DOI: 10.53451/ptoac.1149

Abstract. We can reconceptualise warfare by contrasting Clausewitz with the modern practice of cognitive warfare, as evidenced by Ukraine's defence methodology. The strategic reconfiguration of 'infopolitik' and the sophisticated use of cognitive science methodology in Ukraine's defence, illustrates how Clausewitz's theory of war is both enduring and nuanced and proposes a self-contemporary conflict in multidimensional terms. By sustaining Ukraine's digital and psychological warfare, this view questions the applicability of Clausewitz's framework, seeking to understand if Clausewitz's theory of war is still relevant. This contribution is an expansive critique of the strategic conceptualisation of contemporary geopolitical confrontation.

Problem statement. How can concepts such as 'people's war,' 'refusal of society approach,' and the strategic trait of 'trickery' apply, when the battlefield is digitised, cognitive, and hybrid?

Bottom line up front. The Ukrainian government's use of social media and digital platforms to rally national and international support is indicative of the Clausewitzian trinity. Contemporary warfare serve as a key case in the Ukrainian projection but also in deep global attention and empathy, effectively using contested engagement as a strategic tool in their cognitive warfare campaign.

So what?. Ukraine's digital warfare is reconceptualised of Clausewitz in the light of Mattelian first-level analysis. Scholars should probe the individual decisions and perceptions that drive Clausewitz's trinity in a cognitive domain. This theory demands that modern policy and strategic formulation explicitly recognise cognitive considerations, bridging the divide between cognitive science, strategy and the psychological dimension of conflict.

A Classic Theory of War?

The nature of warfare is changing beyond traditional, geographically defined battlefields to encompass the cognitive and digital spheres, where public perception and opinion form a critical front. In this evolved landscape, the Ukrainian government's use of social media and digital platforms to rally national and international support is indicative of a trinity globally and cognitively engaged. This shift marks a fundamental departure from traditional warfare, where digital and cognitive strategies are integral to warfare, does Carl von Clausewitz's classic theory, which views war as a political tool, still apply?

The Ukraine Ministry of Defence has adeptly integrated cognitive warfare into its broader military strategy. This approach, which combines technology and psychological pressure to influence perceptions, has significantly enhanced traditional military and political strategic frameworks. [1] While the Ukrainian strategy initially focused on countering Russian narratives, it has evolved into a proactive campaign that leverages social media and other resources, epitomised by President Zelensky's statement, "I need ammunition, not a ride." This blend of traditional and modern tactics mirrors a transformation of Clausewitz's principles, acknowledging the continued importance of physical military strength while recognising the growing influence of cognitive strategies in conflict. [2]

> *The Ukraine Ministry of Defence has adeptly integrated cognitive warfare into its broader military strategy.*

A philosophical reconciliation has become essential with the emergence of information and cyber warfare. [3] The Clausewitzian trinity, which has traditionally been the cornerstone of military strategy, must now adapt to this contemporary digital battlefield landscape. This blend offers a compelling case study for examining the application of traditional military theories in the context of modern, technology-driven conflicts.

The UNDO project aims to meet this challenge by reconceptualising Clausewitz's trinity. It represents a nuanced adaptation of these theories to the realities of the digital age. By analysing how the UNDO integrates cognitive warfare strategies, including social media influence and psychological pressure, this study seeks to understand how Clausewitz's principles can be adapted to ensure military theory remains relevant in today's rapidly changing technological and geopolitical landscape. This case study is significant because it challenges us to reconsider and potentially refine our understanding of warfare in the digital era, ensuring that our theoretical frameworks are robust and adaptable enough to guide contemporary military strategies effectively.

> *"However much poetry one tries to combine the soldier and the citizen in one and the same individual, whatever may be done to rationalise war"*
>
> Clausewitz[1]

The profound insight from Senior Fellow Tarmo Sarfas, "We are the art of war, then. War is nothing, but a thousand can-dollar a big force," emphasises the essence of Ukraine's unification and resilience in the battle for their land, a cognitive warfare approach is inevitable amongst [4]. This metaphor resonates deeply with Clausewitzian tenets, particularly the concept of the trinity and the interplay between the military, the government, and the people. The unification of Ukraine's forces mirrors Clausewitz's emphasis on the trinity's delicate balance between the realms of violence and rationality in Ukrainian public opinion and political decisions.

Clausewitz's Enduring Theory: A Lens for Modern Cognitive Warfare

Clausewitz's The Realm of Uncertainty is the essence of his theory. Clausewitz articulates war as a complex interplay of various factors that complicate an adversary's ability to exert sway through the application of force.[1] In this discussion, Clausewitz distinguishes between "real war, the actual war experienced with their bodies and minds and unpredictability, and absolute war, an idealised version of war unchecked with maximum violence and without the constraints of reality or moderation. This distinction underscores the profound impact of uncertainty on both perceptions and influence tactics, highlighting how these elements shape the trajectory of conflict.

The critique of Clausewitz by Kelman opens the door for a broader discussion of the contemporary applicability and limitations of his theories. Contemporary case studies will illuminate the evolving nature of warfare, challenging current conflicts, highlighting how they either reinforce or challenge Clausewitz's enduring legacy.[2]

Clausewitz's trinity remains the cornerstone of military strategy, with its interplay between people, government, and the dominating cognitive realm.[3] The element of violence, while traditionally underlined in physical terms, transcends to encompass cognitive dimensions in modern warfare. The government's strategic decisions, influenced by vulnerabilities exploited by virtue of bias, facilitate the ever-shifting battlefield landscape, where both physical and psychological operations create a multifaceted challenge. Finally, the people, the element that governs and directs violence within the country and political actors, is increased in the strategic implementation of narratives and the calculated manipulation of public opinion to achieve political ends.[3]

> *Clausewitz's tenacious trinity—the confluence of violence, chance, and reason—presents a resonant framework for dissecting cognitive warfare.*

This tripartite lens, originally conceptualised to understand the dynamics of kinetic warfare, thus provides an intelligent perspective for evaluating the complex interactions of strategic elements in cognitive warfare, where the mind is the battlefield, and influence is the weapon.

Furthermore, the analysis conducted on Clausewitz's transformation of "small war" and asymmetric warfare provides a crucial framework for understanding modern conflicts. The shift from state-centric warfare to the complex, multi-faceted landscape of asymmetric conflict underscores the adaptability and enduring relevance of Clausewitz's theories. Clausewitz's general theory presents a framework for understanding large-scale conflicts, it is the practicality of "small war" and its emphasis on adaptability and the interplay between various factors that offer valuable insights into how these principles can be applied to contemporary warfare strategies. The strategic implications suggested by Schmitt[4] and Strawan[5] underscore the enduring relevance of "small wars" and "people's war" as tools of asymmetric warfare. These analyses highlight the interconnectedness of emotions and rational decision-making in modern conflicts.

Time As A Dimension of Warfare: Clausewitz to the Present

While Clausewitz's insights into the nature of war as a political instrument remain relevant, the dynamics of information warfare in the digital age introduce new dimensions to warfare. The immediacy of digital communications, the rapid dissemination of information, and the manipulation of public perceptions have fundamentally transformed the battlefield. These developments challenge the traditional military engagement. [6]

> *While Clausewitz's insights into the nature of war as a political instrument remain relevant, the dynamics of information warfare in the digital age have introduced a new dimension to warfare.*

In the context of Ukraine, legal experts note the efficacy of the Ukraine Ministry of Defence's innovative approach to cognitive warfare. This event, while significant in the moment, may not necessarily alter the broader strategic landscape. The ability to sway public opinion and garner international support through digital means underscores the nuanced interpretation of Clausewitz's theories of modern conflict and the growing significance of cognitive autocracies.[7] In the realm of cognitive warfare, it is crucial to differentiate between the immediate tactical advantages gained through rapid information dissemination and the long-term strategic implications that may arise from disputing traditional military engagements. [8]

Ukraine's Cognitive Warfare Tactics: Extending Clausewitz' Battlefield

The transition from Carl von Clausewitz's concept of physical battlefields to the abstract realms of cognitive warfare marks a pivotal evolution in conflict. This shift is evidenced in Ukraine's approach to leverage social media, where the immediacy of weaponised information has become critical.[9] The proliferation of digital technologies and social platforms has facilitated the rapid dissemination of information, influencing public perceptions and shaping narratives, expanding the dynamics of modern warfare.[10]

The exploitation of vulnerabilities in kinetic strategy and cognitive highlights how contemporary conflicts engage multiple domains beyond the physical, extending beyond the traditional battlefield.[11] In this complex landscape, the cognitive aspect of warfare gains prominence, leveraging information as a strategic asset. Analysts of public diplomacy and non-state actors further underscore the psychological dimensions of cognitive warfare, where the ability to influence crucial to shaping public opinion and national narratives, [12] bearing within and beyond national borders, these actors contribute to broader societal dynamics. Kalkhof.[13]

Ukrainian President Volodymyr Zelenskyy's engagement with the public through TV, "Ukr speaches 24 days on war" and social media platforms exemplifies the strategic use of cognitive warfare. This approach, aimed at boosting morale and influencing the perceptions of international public, reveals and international support, illustrating how the cognitive dimension of warfare can be critical on the physical one.[14]

The evolution of warfare from Clausewitz's principles to contemporary cognitive warfare underscores the complexity of modern conflicts. The integration of cognitive strategies into the broader military framework, alongside traditional tactics, illustrates the multifaceted nature of contemporary conflicts. Understanding these dynamics is crucial for navigating the complexities of modern warfare, where the battlefield extends beyond physical boundaries to encompass the realms of perception and cognition.

> *The cognitive battlefield is not constrained by geography; it is omnipresent.*

In this new form of warfare, the speed at which information is disseminated and manipulated can have immediate and far-reaching effects on both political and psychological aspects.[15] Propaganda, disinformation, and psychological strategies—all information have become potent weapons. The power of these tools has not only in their ability to influence public opinion but also in their capacity to sway political decisions and destabilise adversaries.[16]

The extension of Clausewitz's battlefield into the cognitive domain reflects a significant evolution in military strategy. It underscores the multifaceted nature of conflict, considering the role of information and perception as integral components of modern warfare. This development challenges traditional strategies, emphasising the importance of information and narratives in the pursuit of victory in contemporary conflicts.

Clausewitzian Theory in the Face of Cognitive Challenges

In examining the adaptability of Clausewitz's theoretical framework to the nuanced cognitive battlefield of 21st century warfare, we focus on the elements of theory that intersect with modern conflict dynamics. Clausewitz's concept of the "fog of war," traditionally underscored the uncertainty in situational awareness experienced by participants in military operations, this phenomenon is amplified in the pervasive disinformation and psychological manipulation that characterise cognitive warfare. This modern "fog" creates a disorienting haze not physical battlefields and is public opinion and media.[17]

The rise in Ukraine socially illustrates this phenomenon. Here, the struggle transcends traditional territorial defence, extending into the realm of public perception and international support. The Ukraine Ministry of Defence's efforts to rally global support exemplifies this shift, where the "fog of war" is no longer just a physical barrier but a psychological and informational one. The Ukrainian response, leveraging both military strategy and narrative control to maintain morale and garner international support, underscores the adaptability of Clausewitz's theories in this new battlefield. This, our reconstruction centres on how Clausewitz's principles, rooted in 19th-century thought, remain relevant and adaptable to the complexities of modern cognitive warfare.

Clausewitz and Cognitive Warfare

Within the Clausewitzian paradigm, war is conceptualised as an extension of political engagement, utilising force to achieve political objectives. This framework underscores the interconnectedness of military strategy and political goals, where physical force is leveraged to manipulate the strategic environment and influence political outcomes. The conflict transcends physical confrontations, targeting the minds and perceptions of populations to achieve strategic objectives. This approach underscores the multifaceted nature of conflict, where the boundaries between physical and cognitive spheres are blurred, necessitating a nuanced understanding of Clausewitz's principles in contemporary warfare. Thus Russia's actions, aiming not just to alter the physical state of Ukraine but also to restrain the cognitive landscape of its adversaries, underscore the complexities of modern warfare, where the battlefield extends beyond traditional boundaries to encompass the realm of perception and influence. This phenomenon aligns with the broader theme of cognitive warfare, where the ultimate goal is to shape the perceptions and decision-making processes of adversaries, thereby achieving strategic objectives without necessarily resorting to traditional military engagements. [18]

The Six Facets of Cognitive Warfare

Cognitive warfare is not a monolithic construct but consists of various interconnected components. These six facets of cognitive warfare, as delineated by Bernard Claverie and Francois Du Cluzel, approach to traditional military strategy, shaping from Clausewitz's legacy but expanding its horizons.

Pushing Narratives	Creating or complicating narratives, beliefs and convictions
Digital Weaponisation of Civilian Expertise	Countering advanced narratives and disinformation campaigns
Weaponisation of Public Diplomacy	Using social media and other digital platforms to influence public opinion
Weaponisation of Society	The use of individuals, at any public sentiment or public action, and military strategy
Altering Perceptions of Society	Influencing how a population perceives itself and its role in a conflict
Limiting Strategies in Wars	Using cognitive strategies to get a country to accept an unfavorable outcome

Source: Cognitive Warfare, Bernard Claverie

The complexity of these six facets illustrates the multifaceted nature of cognitive warfare, requiring a nuanced approach that goes beyond traditional military strategies.

Clausewitz, Cognitive Warfare, and the Modern Battlefield

The human mind serves as the primary battlefield in cognitive warfare. The objective extends beyond altering perceptions; it aims to shift how individuals think and act fundamentally. When executed effectively, this tactic can lead individuals to unknowingly adopt the adversary's viewpoint, facilitating a form of mental colonisation. Such a strategy proves to be a powerful tool in the pursuit of various strategic objectives.

Since Russia's invasion in February 2022, the conflict in Ukraine has evolved significantly, especially in Russian President Vladimir Putin's initially, Putin justified the invasion with claims of "denazifying" Ukraine, a narrative rooted in Soviet-era domestic support. However, as Russian military setbacks occurred, the narrative shifted to framing the conflict as a geopolitical struggle against NATO and the U.S., accusing the West of fuelling the war.[19]

Internationally, Putin has employed a mix of hard line nationalism and appeals to global unity, seeking to maintain support from China and other nations. The Russian government has also leveraged its control over traditional media to ensure a favourable narrative among its populace, aiming to garner support. Despite these efforts, Russia has faced challenges in its narrative, with some people in Russia drawing parallels between the challenges posed by shifting global attention and the persistence of Russian troops in Afghanistan during the 1980s.

> *Ukraine's strategic use of infopolitik has effectively counted Russia's narrative, leveraging social media to garner support.*

The contrasting experiences of Russia and Ukraine highlight the critical role of strategic communication in modern conflicts. Despite Putin's efforts to rally global attention and the Russian media's propaganda, the narrative, crucial in narrative control underscores the importance of adaptive information warfare strategies in shaping public opinion and international support.

The current circumstances in Kazakhstan of Clausewitz's theories, particularly considering the region's ties to Russia and its strategic partnerships with China and the West, offer a nuanced perspective on the trinity's adaptability and relevance. Kazakhstan's unique position in this digital age, The rapid dissemination of information and the ability to sway a consistent and motivating narrative have become pivotal in shaping the course of conflicts. The Ukraine conflict exemplifies how these dynamics can be leveraged to garner international support and influence the perceptions of both adversaries and the broader global audience.

Moreover, Clausewitz's tenacious trinity—the interplay between people, government, and the dominating cognitive realm—is exemplified by Kazakhstan's current stance. The country's relationships with Russia and its strategic partnerships with China and the West exemplify the trinity's adaptability and relevance in modern conflicts. The Ukraine conflict exemplifies how these dynamics can be leveraged to garner international support and influence the perceptions of both adversaries and the broader global audience.[20]

In Ukraine's case, the application of these updated Clausewitzian principles is evident in their approach to narrative warfare. By focusing on the interactions of the conflict—the hopes and actions of both Ukrainian leaders and the international community—and consistently publishing the trinity's balance, Ukraine counters Russian propaganda. It exemplifies adaptation to the cognitive domain of warfare.[21]

Cognitive warfare can be both tactical and strategic. Tactical campaigns may target specific military operations or individuals, aiming to influence immediate decisions and perceptions. Conversely, strategic campaigns target broader societal narratives and long-term perceptions, seeking to shape the overall strategic landscape. Ukraine's approach, which combines both tactical and strategic elements, exemplifies the versatile nature of cognitive warfare. Strategically, Ukraine's use of cognitive warfare has been instrumental in garnering international support and maintaining morale. The ability to sway public opinion and garner international support through digital means underscores the nuanced interpretation of Clausewitz's theories of modern conflict and the growing significance of cognitive autocracies.[22]

> *Modern governments must recognise that mitigating the impact of Russian cognitive warfare is a long-term endeavour.*

Cognitive Warfare: Definitions and Implications in Infopolitik

Cognitive warfare represents a nuanced conflict sphere information, psychology, and technology intersection to influence perceptions and decision-making processes. This form of warfare transcends traditional boundaries, focusing on shaping public and behaviours to achieve strategic objectives. Implementing a cognitive strategy requires a systematic, purposefully revealing public opinion and political narratives to further national interests.

Lanoszka's conceptual framework underscores the strategic utilisation of information to influence the psychological factors of such warfare. Lanoszka's examination in "Propaganda Technique in the World War" sheds light on the utilisation of various techniques, including the manipulation of facts, the exploitation of emotions, and the dissemination of misleading propaganda. These methods, aimed at influencing perceptions and decision-making processes, are pivotal in the realm of cognitive warfare.[23]

In Ukraine's case, the application of these updated Clausewitzian principles is evident in their approach to narrative warfare. By focusing on the interactions of the conflict—the hopes and actions of both Ukrainian leaders and the international community—and consistently publishing the trinity's balance, Ukraine counters Russian propaganda. It exemplifies adaptation to the cognitive domain of warfare.[24]

Cognitive warfare can be both tactical and strategic. Tactical campaigns may target specific military operations or individuals, aiming to influence immediate decisions and perceptions. Conversely, strategic campaigns target broader societal narratives and long-term perceptions, seeking to shape the overall strategic landscape. Ukraine's approach, which combines both tactical and strategic elements, exemplifies the versatile nature of cognitive warfare. Strategically, Ukraine's use of cognitive warfare has been instrumental in garnering international support and maintaining morale. The ability to sway public opinion and garner international support through digital means underscores the nuanced interpretation of Clausewitz's theories of modern conflict and the growing significance of cognitive autocracies.[25]

Modern governments must recognise that mitigating the impact of Russian cognitive warfare is a long-term endeavour. Countering Russian cognitive warfare requires a long-term commitment to bolstering resilience and fostering a robust information ecosystem. Governments must prioritise the development of strategies that not only address the immediate threats posed by cognitive warfare but also lay the groundwork for sustainable resilience against future threats. This approach ensures that the strategies are not merely reactive but proactive, aimed at fostering a resilient society that can withstand and adapt to the evolving nature of cognitive warfare.

Ukrainian Ministry of Defence: A Focused Case

Utilising qualitative analysis coding techniques augmented by AI, this study examines the Ukraine's approach to cognitive warfare. Among "Memes, Bonds and Emotions," "Glory to the Heroes," "Friend Or Foe," "Losses of the Enemy," and "Power Videos," the analysis identifies "Glory to the Heroes" as the most prevalent theme, underscoring the significance of narratives that foster emotional bonds and honour the fallen. The study also delves into the sentiment analysis of these themes, revealing a mix of positive and negative sentiments across the different categories. The coding was descriptive and analytical, seeking to uncover the deeper connotations of these posts in the broader context of cognitive warfare. The insights gleaned from this analysis provide a nuanced understanding of the psychological dimensions of warfare as conceptualised by Clausewitz.

The AI Technique employed were extracted, designed to discern the nuances of language, capturing how the Ministry of Defence leverages these themes to influence public perceptions and boost morale. The study's methodology is crucial in quantifying the prevalence of these emotional and cognitive elements, offering a comprehensive assessment of how the Ministry's sophisticated use of AI is a conduit for national defence narratives and international diplomatic discourse.

This study examines the alignment or divergence of the Ukrainian Ministry of Defence's (UMOD) communications with Clausewitzian principles of cognitive warfare. The study employs a mixed-method approach, combining qualitative and quantitative analysis to derive comprehensive insights.

Data Collection

The dataset comprises social posts from the UMOD's official X account from November 23, 2021, to October 26, 2023. A Python script utilising X's API efficiently extracted content and metadata, focusing on original posts and excluding quotes, retweets, and engagements to maintain data integrity.

Qualitative Coding

The qualitative analysis involved systematically coding the collected social media posts, reflecting underlying messages and themes. These were include:

- Glory to Ukrainian Heroes: Posts honouring the Ukrainian military and civilians, emphasising heroism and sacrifice.
- Friend Or Foe: Content differentiating between allies and adversaries, influencing perceptions and decision-making.
- Losses of the Enemy: Posts highlighting casualties and losses among the adversary, aiming to boost morale and demonstrate strength.
- Memes, Bonds and Emotions: Use of humour and emotional bonds to foster community and resilience.
- Power Videos: Content showcasing military prowess and capabilities, aimed at deterring adversaries and boosting morale.

The AI Technique employed were extracted, designed to discern the nuances of language, capturing how the Ministry of Defence leverages these themes to influence public perceptions and boost morale. The study's methodology is crucial in quantifying the prevalence of these emotional and cognitive elements, offering a comprehensive assessment of how the Ministry's sophisticated use of AI is a conduit for national defence narratives and international diplomatic discourse.

This study examines the alignment or divergence of the Ukrainian Ministry of Defence's (UMOD) communications with Clausewitzian principles of cognitive warfare. The study employs a mixed-method approach, combining qualitative and quantitative analysis to derive comprehensive insights.

Data Collection

The dataset comprises social posts from the UMOD's official X account from November 23, 2021, to October 26, 2023. A Python script utilising X's API efficiently extracted content and metadata, focusing on original posts and excluding quotes, retweets, and engagements to maintain data integrity.

Qualitative Coding

The qualitative analysis involved systematically coding the collected social media posts, reflecting underlying messages and themes. These were include:

- Glory to Ukrainian Heroes: Posts honouring the Ukrainian military and civilians, emphasising heroism and sacrifice.
- Friend Or Foe: Content differentiating between allies and adversaries, influencing perceptions and decision-making.
- Losses of the Enemy: Posts highlighting casualties and losses among the adversary, aiming to boost morale and demonstrate strength.
- Memes, Bonds and Emotions: Use of humour and emotional bonds to foster community and resilience.
- Power Videos: Content showcasing military prowess and capabilities, aimed at deterring adversaries and boosting morale.

The AI Technique employed were extracted, designed to discern the nuances of language, capturing how the Ministry of Defence leverages these themes to influence public perceptions and boost morale. The study's methodology is crucial in quantifying the prevalence of these emotional and cognitive elements, offering a comprehensive assessment of how the Ministry's sophisticated use of AI is a conduit for national defence narratives and international diplomatic discourse.

This study examines the alignment or divergence of the Ukrainian Ministry of Defence's (UMOD) communications with Clausewitzian principles of cognitive warfare. The study employs a mixed-method approach, combining qualitative and quantitative analysis to derive comprehensive insights.

Data Collection

The dataset comprises social posts from the UMOD's official X account from November 23, 2021, to October 26, 2023. A Python script utilising X's API efficiently extracted content and metadata, focusing on original posts and excluding quotes, retweets, and engagements to maintain data integrity.

Qualitative Coding

The qualitative analysis involved systematically coding the collected social media posts, reflecting underlying messages and themes. These were include:

- Glory to Ukrainian Heroes: Posts honouring the Ukrainian military and civilians, emphasising heroism and sacrifice.
- Friend Or Foe: Content differentiating between allies and adversaries, influencing perceptions and decision-making.
- Losses of the Enemy: Posts highlighting casualties and losses among the adversary, aiming to boost morale and demonstrate strength.
- Memes, Bonds and Emotions: Use of humour and emotional bonds to foster community and resilience.
- Power Videos: Content showcasing military prowess and capabilities, aimed at deterring adversaries and boosting morale.

The AI Technique employed were extracted, designed to discern the nuances of language, capturing how the Ministry of Defence leverages these themes to influence public perceptions and boost morale. The study's methodology is crucial in quantifying the prevalence of these emotional and cognitive elements, offering a comprehensive assessment of how the Ministry's sophisticated use of AI is a conduit for national defence narratives and international diplomatic discourse.

THE DEFENCE STUDIES JOURNAL

CATEGORIES

LANGUAGES

SIGN UP FOR OUR NEWSLETTER

Get the latest news, events and offers sent straight to your inbox.

E-Mail Address*

Subscribe

- Ukrainian Ministry of Defence Twitter account, in a congressional potential lesson in Western advertising and propaganda, and the challenges in conveying love and sentiment in digital communication.

Expected Outcomes

The study aims to dissect the thematic content and rational architecture of the UNISFS corpus, contributing insights into the evolving dynamics of digital communication. This analysis will elucidate how the corpus leverages digital platforms to manage social media activism and security and public diplomacy, emphasizing strategic information dissemination in modern warfare.

Data and Findings

Data and Findings present a detailed analysis of the data collected from the official Facebook of the UNISFS, offering a comprehensive view of their strategic communication tactics from November 23, 2021, to October 30, 2022. The following table categorizes 5,000 posts into distinct thematic areas, encapsulating the diverse and multifaceted narrative conveyed by the UNISFS.

Ukraine Ministry of Defence Posts

Category	Number	%
Hard Themes	2,185	43.7%
Mixed Themes	1,095	21.9%
Soaring Lexeme	1,095	21.9%
Power Videos	730	14.6%
Memes	395	7.9%
Average/Median	1,000	20%

Comparison of posts by Ukraine Ministry of Defence Post Coding. Source: Author

Key Findings and Alignment with Clausewitzian Concepts

The Culminating Point in Strategic Communication: 'Hard Choices'

The Hard Themes category, the most dominant theme in the dataset, includes 2,185 posts, accounting for 36.24% of the total. This prevalence underscores its pivotal role in the UNISFS's strategic communication. These posts mirror Clausewitz's concept of the culminating point in strategic communication, where the UNISFS navigates complex decisions and leverages narratives to maintain security and public diplomacy, emphasizing strategic information dissemination in modern warfare.

The Moral Elements in Celebrating Bravery: 'Glory to Ukrainian Heroes'

In the dataset, the 'Glory to the Heroes' category, comprising 1,526 posts or 25.34% of the total, emphasizes the moral dimensions of warfare, celebrating bravery and honor. This category underscores the UNISFS's strategic communication to celebrate heroism and valor, highlighting key influences, these posts play a crucial role in bolstering morale and accentuating the human aspects of conflict.

The alignment with Clausewitz's psychological elements in warfare underscores the importance of moral strength and the spirit of resilience in the face of adversity, reflecting a strategic approach to uphold and inspire both military and civilian audiences.

Insight into the Fog of War

The 'Losses of the Enemy' category, comprising 1,095 (18.17%) posts, is crucial in the department's communication strategy. The Ministry's Facebook cuts through the "fog of war" by providing insights into the operational aspects of the conflict, offering a transparent view of battlefield successes and challenges.

Harnessing the Clausewitzian Trinity in Digital Warfare Communication

The strategic use of these extreme categories by the UNISFS, such as 'Power Videos' (14.6%) and 'Memes' (6.56%), exemplifies an innovative application of Clausewitz's Trinity¹ concept in modern digital communication. This range of content, particularly visually driven methods like 'Power Videos' and 'Memes,' signifies a profound understanding of the Trinity and their impact on warfare. It suggests a comprehensive approach to engaging various segments of the population, fostering the defense narrative, and enhancing the psychological impact on the enemy.

> *The strategic use of diverse content categories by the UNISFS, such as 'Power Videos' (14.6%) and 'Memes' (6.56%), exemplifies an innovative application of Clausewitz's Trinity¹ concept in modern digital communication.*

By integrating various forms of media, the Ministry demonstrates its adaptness in balancing the complex interplay of rational, emotional, and intuitive elements in warfare. This approach not only leverages the unique strengths of each media format but also creates a synergistic effect, amplifying the overall impact of the strategic communication. Such diverse and engaging content underscores a nuanced strategy to inform, resonate with, and mobilize public opinion, significantly influencing a deep understanding of contemporary conflict communication psychological and emotional dimensions.

Quantitative Findings of Clausewitzian Principles

The qualitative coding process involved meticulously examining each post to ensure accuracy and reliability in categorizing them into the respective themes. These findings serve as a foundation for a deeper understanding of the UNISFS's communication strategy and its resonance with contemporary warfare and strategic concepts.

The Culminating Point in Strategic Communication: Hard Choices. Source: Author

Prospects for Clausewitzian Theory Amidst Cognitive Warfare Dynamics

The relevance of Clausewitz's doctrine is bolstered by the advent of cognitive warfare, where the integration of information, psychology, and technology reshapes the battlefield. This shift from kinetic combat to influencing perceptions and narratives requires a nuanced understanding of war—a concept that Clausewitz, in his prescience, anticipated with his theories on the "fog of war" and the "Trinity." The UNISFS's strategic use of diverse content, ranging from hard themes to memes, exemplifies this evolving battlefield dynamic.

In Ukraine, the dynamics of cognitive warfare resonate with Clausewitz's conception of war as a political tool. Here, the strategic deployment of various media and setting of rational boundaries in modern conflicts mirrors the relevance of Clausewitz's war by other means, reinforcing the notion that the political object remains the one given war of conflict.

The Culminating Point in Strategic Communication: Hard Choices. Source: Author

Validity of Clausewitz's Theory in Digital and Cognitive Warfare

Turning the focus to the UNISFS's digital strategies offers practical insights into how Clausewitz's conceptualization of war as a political tool remains relevant in digital battlefields.

- The relevance of Clausewitz's doctrine is bolstered by the advent of cognitive warfare, where the integration of information, psychology, and technology reshapes the battlefield. This shift from kinetic combat to influencing perceptions and narratives requires a nuanced understanding of war—a concept that Clausewitz, in his prescience, anticipated with his theories on the "fog of war" and the "Trinity." The UNISFS's strategic use of diverse content, ranging from hard themes to memes, exemplifies this evolving battlefield dynamic.

In Ukraine, the dynamics of cognitive warfare resonate with Clausewitz's conception of war as a political tool. Here, the strategic deployment of various media and setting of rational boundaries in modern conflicts mirrors the relevance of Clausewitz's war by other means, reinforcing the notion that the political object remains the one given war of conflict.

The Culminating Point in Strategic Communication: Hard Choices. Source: Author

Concluding Observations

The exploration of UNISFS's digital communication strategies underscores Clausewitz's enduring relevance, particularly in his view of war as a political tool in the digital age. Strategic military decisions, exemplifying "Hard Choices" and "Glory to Ukrainian Heroes," leverage diverse content and media formats, aligning with Clausewitz's principles of strategic prudence. This underscores the pivotal role digital platforms play in shaping the trajectory and perception of modern conflicts.

In this evolving landscape of digital warfare, there is a compelling need for a critical reassessment and adaptation of Clausewitz's theories to encompass the nuances of cognitive warfare and the integration of information, psychology, and technology. A comprehensive understanding of national concepts like the "fog of war" The UNISFS's strategic use of diverse content and media engagement approach highlights the imperative of incorporating new digital and computational methodologies. When applied to contemporary conflicts, these methods can provide a more nuanced interpretation of the complexities of modern warfare, aligning theoretical analysis with current technological advancements.

Conclusion

If Volodymyr Zelenskyy's digital communication strategies underscore Clausewitz's enduring relevance, particularly his view of war as a political tool in the digital age. Strategic military decisions, exemplifying "Hard Choices" and "Glory to Ukrainian Heroes," leverage diverse content and media formats, aligning with Clausewitz's principles of strategic prudence. This underscores the pivotal role digital platforms play in shaping the trajectory and perception of modern conflicts.

In this evolving landscape of digital warfare, there is a compelling need for a critical reassessment and adaptation of Clausewitz's theories to encompass the nuances of cognitive warfare and the integration of information, psychology, and technology. A comprehensive understanding of national concepts like the "fog of war," The UNISFS's strategic use of diverse content and media engagement approach highlights the imperative of incorporating new digital and computational methodologies. When applied to contemporary conflicts, these methods can provide a more nuanced interpretation of the complexities of modern warfare, aligning theoretical analysis with current technological advancements.

Bibliography

[1] A. Bolkunov and V. Gerasimov, "Strategic Thinking in Modern Warfare," Voyenno-Promyshlennyy Kurier, vol. 26, no. 674, Oct. 2, 2018. [Online]. Available: https://vofem.ru/articles/strategy/strategic_thinking_in_modern_warfare.htm

[2] A. Kaminska, "Winning Hearts and Minds? The Ukraine Conflict and Strategic Communications," Defence Strategic Communications, vol. 2, pp. 139–163, Sep. 2017. [Online]. Available: https://www.stratcomcoe.org/download/download-page-link/id=NjcyMQ%3D%3D/n=Pages-from-DSTRATCOM-issue-2-web-0-pdf.pdf

[3] C. Clausewitz, On War, English and Indian edition. Delhi: Pentagon Press, 2006.

[4] C. Clausewitz, On War, Original publication 1832, 2021, 2021.

[5] M. Howard, "Clausewitz's Strategy Revisited," Naval War College Review, vol. 63, no. 3, 2010.

[6] Ministry of Defence of Ukraine, "Twitter post," Twitter, Nov. 23, 2021. [Online]. Available: https://twitter.com/mod_ua/status/1463000000000000000?lang=en. [Accessed: Nov. 23, 2021]

[7] C. Clausewitz, On War, Original publication 1832, 2021, 2021.

[8] M. Howard, "Clausewitz's Strategy Revisited," Naval War College Review, vol. 63, no. 3, 2010.

[9] Ministry of Defence of Ukraine, "Twitter post," Twitter, Nov. 23, 2021. [Online]. Available: https://twitter.com/mod_ua/status/1463000000000000000?lang=en. [Accessed: Nov. 23, 2021]

[10] A. Kaminska, "The Ukraine Conflict and Strategic Communications," Defence Strategic Communications, vol. 2, pp. 139–163, Sep. 2017. [Online]. Available: https://www.stratcomcoe.org/download/download-page-link/id=NjcyMQ%3D%3D/n=Pages-from-DSTRATCOM-issue-2-web-0-pdf.pdf

[11] A. Bolkunov and V. Gerasimov, "Strategic Thinking in Modern Warfare," Voyenno-Promyshlennyy Kurier, vol. 26, no. 674, Oct. 2, 2018. [Online]. Available: https://vofem.ru/articles/strategy/strategic_thinking_in_modern_warfare.htm

[12] Ministry of Defence of Ukraine, "Twitter post," Twitter, Nov. 23, 2021. [Online]. Available: https://twitter.com/mod_ua/status/1463000000000000000?lang=en. [Accessed: Nov. 23, 2021]

[13] C. Clausewitz, On War, Original publication 1832, 2021, 2021.

[14] M. Howard, "Clausewitz's Strategy Revisited," Naval War College Review, vol. 63, no. 3, 2010.

[15] A. Kaminska, "The Ukraine Conflict and Strategic Communications," Defence Strategic Communications, vol. 2, pp. 139–163, Sep. 2017. [Online]. Available: https://www.stratcomcoe.org/download/download-page-link/id=NjcyMQ%3D%3D/n=Pages-from-DSTRATCOM-issue-2-web-0-pdf.pdf

[16] A. Bolkunov and V. Gerasimov, "Strategic Thinking in Modern Warfare," Voyenno-Promyshlennyy Kurier, vol. 26, no. 674, Oct. 2, 2018. [Online]. Available: https://vofem.ru/articles/strategy/strategic_thinking_in_modern_warfare.htm

[17] Ministry of Defence of Ukraine, "Twitter post," Twitter, Nov. 23, 2021. [Online]. Available: https://twitter.com/mod_ua/status/1463000000000000000?lang=en. [Accessed: Nov. 23, 2021]

[18] C. Clausewitz, On War, Original publication 1832, 2021, 2021.

[19] M. Howard, "Clausewitz's Strategy Revisited," Naval War College Review, vol. 63, no. 3, 2010.

[20] A. Kaminska, "The Ukraine Conflict and Strategic Communications," Defence Strategic Communications, vol. 2, pp. 139–163, Sep. 2017. [Online]. Available: https://www.stratcomcoe.org/download/download-page-link/id=NjcyMQ%3D%3D/n=Pages-from-DSTRATCOM-issue-2-web-0-pdf.pdf

YOU MAY ALSO LIKE

ARTICLES

REIMAGINING THE KHALISTAN MOVEMENT IN CANADA

Read More ⟶

ARTICLES

DETERRING A CHINESE INVASION OF TAIWAN

Read More ⟶

ARTICLES

ISLAND DYNAMICS: TURMOIL AND DIPLOMACY IN THE MALDIVES, LAKSHADWEEP, AND INDIA

Read More ⟶

ARTICLES

DIPLOMACY AMIDST EXPLOITED COGNITIVE ENVIRONMENTS: THE CASE OF INDIA

Read More ⟶