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SHOULD EMPLOYERS USE POLYGRAPHS TO SCREEN PROSPECTIVE EMPLOYEES? 1/

Joe Smith applies for the job of janitor at a day care center. At a preemployment polygraph examination he is asked the following question: "Have you ever molested a child?" Joe says, "no". The polygraph examiner claims he is lying.

Assume that 1% of applicants have molested children (a high estimate). Further assume that the polygraph is 80% "accurate" (a high estimate). Note: 80% accurate means that the polygraph can correctly identify as positive 80% of all individuals tested who have the tested-for trait (sensitivity) and can correctly identify as negative 80% of all individuals who do not have the tested-for trait (specificity).

If 1,000 applicants were given a polygraph, the results would be as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>No. with Positive Test</th>
<th>No. with Negative Test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 child molesters</td>
<td>8 (true positives)</td>
<td>2 (false negatives)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>990 not child molesters</td>
<td>198 (false positives)</td>
<td>792 (true negatives)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Of the 206 individuals with a positive test result, only 8 would actually be child molesters. The predictive value of any positive result is 8/206 or 3.88%. Therefore, even if Joe has a "positive" test result, the odds of him actually being a child molester are only 1 in 25.

1. You are an attorney who has been called by Joe. He really needs the job and feels terribly that people think that he might be a child molester. He knows that the administrator of the

day care center is considering whether to accept the polygraph findings. You schedule a meeting with the administrator to argue that the polygraph is unreliable. What arguments would you make?

2. Suppose you were counsel to the day care center. Using the polygraph is 4 times more accurate than not using anything. In addition, if you find another applicant who "passes" the polygraph, the predictive value (negative) is 792/794 or 99.7%. Thus, you would be 99.7% sure that the person you hired would not be a child molester. What responsibility does the day care center owe to the children and their parents? What do you recommend?
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