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The Relationship Between Leaf Mechanical Strength and Photosynthetic Rates

Aaron van Loon, Brianna Manes, Taylor Stucky, Thomas Addington
Pepperdine University Natural Science Division 24255 Pacific Coast Highway Malibu, CA 90263

Abstract
Photosynthesis is the process by which plants convert light energy to chemical energy, helping sustain life in the biosphere. There are many factors that affect the rate of photosynthesis such as atmospheric CO2 concentration, temperature, and sunlight. In this experiment, we attempt to determine what effect, if any, the mechanical strength of a plant has on its rate of photosynthesis. To perform this experiment we used both the LI-COR 6400 and the Instron Mechanical Testing Device. With the LI-COR we measured photosynthetic rate of our plant subjects in real time during the prime photosynthetic hours, 10-12am. The Intron allowed us to determine mechanical strength based on Young’s Modulus. We hypothesized that as mechanical strength increased the rate of photosynthesis. Our stress vs strain graphs and photosynthesis data actually showed no correlation. However, our results did corroborate previous research that proved that the order of mechanical strength of our species from strongest to weakest was: Rhamnus ilicifolia, Rhamnus californica, then Ceanothus Spinous.

Methods

Fig. 1. To determine the photosynthesis rate of each species the LI-COR 6400 machine was used. The flow rate was set to 300 µmol m-2 s-1, the CO2 levels to 400ppm, and the temperature in the cuvette to the ambient temperature. Measurements were taken between the hours of 10 am and 12 pm

Fig. 2. (A) An Instron Mechanical Testing Device was used to measure Young’s modulus and tensile stress at break in leaves [1]. (B) C. ilicifolia data for six leaves of initial stress versus strain curve (Young’s modulus) and tensile stress at break (black triangles). Leaves were tested in the horizontal orientation.

Results

Fig. 3. Mechanical strength across species showing that they have different strengths for a fair comparison when graphed with photosynthesis. Error shown is +/- one SD. Results calculated using a one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s post-hoc test, using a p-value of 0.05. (*p<0.05, **p<0.01, and ***p<0.001).

Fig. 4. Photosynthesis across species showing that values are not consistent. Error shown is +/- one SD. Results calculated using a one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s post-hoc test, using a p-value of 0.05. (*p<0.05, **p<0.01, and ***p<0.001).

Discussion

Our hypothesis predicted that plants with more mechanical strength, higher Young’s modulus of elasticity, would have higher photosynthetic rates. Our results show no strong correlation between photosynthetic rate and modulus of elasticity, with an R² value of only 0.33773 (Figure 5), however the very slight trend shown is opposite of the prediction we had made. The very slight correlation between Young’s modulus and photosynthetic rate is actually that lower Young’s modulus of elasticity will have a higher photosynthetic rate. If the correlation were stronger, interesting conclusions about a trade-off between photosynthetic rate and mechanical strength might have been able to be drawn. However, our data is only a miniscule sample of research that could be done on this subject. Perhaps other plants tested would show a significant correlation.

It is good to note that our results supported conclusions drawn by Pepperdine University student Taylor Stucky in her SCCUR 2012 presentation showing that Rhamnus ilicifolia had the highest mechanical strength of the three species tested, Rhamnus californica the lowest and Ceanothus spinous the middle with statistically significant differences between R. ilicifolia and R. californica and R. ilicifolia and C. spinosus. We would try to determine relative levels of photosynthetic rate across these three species, but the alarmingly high error in the results hampers any attempt to do so.
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Introduction

A crucial element of plant biology research is determining the key physiological trade-offs that occur in different species of plants that cohabit the same climate type. Identifying these trade-offs leads to new insights into plant evolutionary processes, adaptability, and survival.

Much research has been done attempting to find correlations and trade-offs between physiological aspects of plants. Examples of this include correlations between mechanical strength and adaptations to temperature stress [3], between photosynthetic rate and adaptations to water stress [2], and between mechanical stress and plant growth and development [4]. However, in recent literature, there seems to be no significant argument for a correlation or trade-off between mechanical strength and photosynthetic rate. Much is known about mechanical strength and photosynthetic rate alone, but they seem to have never been studied in relation to one another in much detail.

Research completed in the summer of 2012 by Pepperdine University student Taylor Stucky shows a strong difference in mechanical strengths according to Young’s Modulus of plants in the nearby Mediterranean ecosystem. These were Rhamnus ilicifolia, Rhamnus californica, and Ceanothus spinosus, of which R. ilicifolia had the highest mechanical strength, R. californica the lowest, and C. spinosus the middle [5].

In this research, we compared the photosynthetic rates and mechanical strengths of these three plants, and thereby have drawn tentative conclusions regarding the selective advantage of mechanical strength.

Results

Fig. 1. To determine the photosynthesis rate of each species the LI-COR 6400 machine was used. The flow rate was set to 300 µmol m-2 s-1, the CO2 levels to 400ppm, and the temperature in the cuvette to the ambient temperature. Measurements were taken between the hours of 10 am and 12 pm

Fig. 2. (A) An Instron Mechanical Testing Device was used to measure Young’s modulus and tensile stress at break in leaves [1]. (B) C. ilicifolia data for six leaves of initial stress versus strain curve (Young’s modulus) and tensile stress at break (black triangles). Leaves were tested in the horizontal orientation.
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Hypotheses

Species with higher mechanical strength have higher levels of photosynthesis.

Conclusions

• H: There is no strong correlation between Young’s Modulus and photosynthetic rate
• R. ilicifolia has the highest mechanical strength, R. californica the lowest, and C. spinosus the middle
• Photosynthetic rates vary greatly within and between species.