2010

Comparing Leaf Properties of Inland and Coastal Malosma laurina in the Santa Monica Mountains

Rosemary Busch Conn  
*Pepperdine University*

Lauren Parker  
*Pepperdine University*

Brittany Sawrey  
*Pepperdine University*

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.pepperdine.edu/sturesearch

Part of the *Plant Biology Commons*

**Recommended Citation**

Conn, Rosemary Busch; Parker, Lauren; and Sawrey, Brittany, "Comparing Leaf Properties of Inland and Coastal Malosma laurina in the Santa Monica Mountains" (2010). Pepperdine University, *Featured Research*. Paper 22.

https://digitalcommons.pepperdine.edu/sturesearch/22

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Undergraduate Student Research at Pepperdine Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Featured Research by an authorized administrator of Pepperdine Digital Commons. For more information, please contact Katrina.Gallardo@pepperdine.edu, anna.speth@pepperdine.edu.
Comparing Leaf Properties of Inland and Coastal Malosma laurina in the Santa Monica Mountains

Abstract
Our group chose Malosma laurina, commonly known as Laurel Sumac, to observe and test. We hypothesized that there would be differences in photosynthetic trade-offs and capabilities between coastal and inland populations, specifically with respect to leaves. This was based on the low freezing tolerance in Malosma laurina (Pratt et al. 2005), and hypothesized that based on this stress, resources would be allocated differently in inland leaves than in coastal leaves. This hypothesis was tested using the indices of Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI), the Photochemical Reflectance Index (PRI), the ratio of green to red reflectance, and Leaf Specific Area (LSA). The first three indices were tested using a Unispec Reflectance Spectral system, and the LSA was tested using a simple Leaf Area Meter and balance. We collected two leaves from the tip of the branchlet off of eight coastal individuals and eight inland individuals to test. We chose to test NDVI because it compares near infrared and red reflectance of a leaf surface, is particularly sensitive to the green vegetation and correlates to photosynthetic ability (Abdullah et al. 2002). PRI was used because it indicates photosynthetic efficiency by its sensitivity to measuring the xanthophyll cycling and de-epoxidation state (L. Suits et al. 2007). PRI is associated with increasing stress and ratios of carotenoid/chlorophyll ratios, indicating a decrease in photosynthetic efficiency (Sims and Gamon 2002). Green to Red ratios indicate the light stress that a plant is undergoing based on its reflectance. Our last chosen index was LSA, because LSA is measured in Mediterranean type climates. LSA is important in the context of nutrient availability, water availability and herbivory. Low LSA indicates low photosynthetic rates, low leaf nutrient concentrations, long leaf life span, and low growth rates (Ackerly 2004). Each leaf collected and tested was counted fifteen leaves down from the tip of the branchlet, and all leaves collected were placed in one of two plastic bags, depending on if they were coastal or inland. Once those leaves were collected and brought back to the student laboratory, they were measured for NDVI, PRI and green to red ratios using the Unispec Spectral Analysis System (for protocol for the Unispec, see Pepperdine Laboratory Manual). Placing the leaf face-down, the light reflectance was measured and our indices calculated and recorded by specifying the wavebands and calculation types. The leaves were then labeled “C” for coastal and “I” for inland, and given a corresponding number. The leaf areas were then measured with a Leaf Area Meter while still “fresh,” then placed in small, individually labeled manila envelopes. The envelopes were placed in a drying oven for 24-48 hours, and then the mass of the leaves was measured. With the mass and the area, we were able to calculate the LSA and record the last of our indices.

Introduction
An important aspect of plant ecology is the distribution of plants based on various environmental factors such as water resources, solar exposure, and freezing temperatures (Davis et al. 2007). Based on this focus of research, our project aimed to determine the difference of certain plant characteristics based on location. Common study sites for previous research have compared coastal and inland species. We built on previous studies and researched coastal and inland species of the charapal shrub Malosma laurina. Since these locations experience varying temperature highs and lows as well as different levels of sun exposure and resources we compared many traits that hint at the stress of the individuals. We hoped to gain insight to the trade offs present in each location along with the differing allocations of resources in response to stress. Because plants at each site experience stresses of their own, we hypothesized that there would be a difference in the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI), the Photochemical Reflectance Index (PRI), a green to red reflectance ratio and the leaf specific area (cm2/g) between the two locations but not in one specific direction. Each of these helps determine health and stress of the leaves. Leaves were collected, a Unispec spectrometer was used for data collection and many two-tailed Student’s t tests were performed in order to compare the species in the two different locations.

Materials And Methods
Malosma laurina, commonly known as Laurel Sumac, was our chosen species of charapal for study. Our site study for coastal collections was the southern Pepperdine University guard house, where all eight individuals tested were found. For our inland individuals, Piuma Road, the Forestry Services, and the David Gonzales Camp just off of Malibu Canyon Road were needed to locate eight individuals, due to their scarcity within the canyon. We chose to test the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI), the Photochemical Reflectance Index (PRI), the ratio of green to red reflectance, and Leaf Specific Area (LSA) of the leaves collected. In order to fully test our hypothesis, we needed multiple measurements to show if there was a difference between inland and coastal leaves. We decided on four indices that measured different aspects of the leaves, to give us the broadest possible perspective. We chose to test NDVI because it compares near infrared and red reflectance of a leaf surface, is particularly sensitive to the green vegetation and correlates to photosynthetic ability (Abdullah et al. 2002). PRI was used because it indicates photosynthetic efficiency by its sensitivity to measuring the xanthophyll cycling and de-epoxidation state (L. Suits et al. 2007). PRI is associated with increasing stress and ratios of carotenoid/chlorophyll ratios, indicating a decrease in photosynthetic efficiency (Sims and Gamon 2002). Green to Red ratios indicate the light stress that a plant is undergoing based on its reflectance. Our last chosen index was LSA, because LSA is measured in Mediterranean type climates. LSA is important in the context of nutrient availability, water availability and herbivory. Low LSA indicates low photosynthetic rates, low leaf nutrient concentrations, long leaf life span, and low growth rates (Ackerly 2004). Each leaf collected and tested was counted fifteen leaves down from the first leaf of a branchlet, and all leaves collected were placed in one of two plastic bags, depending on if they were coastal or inland. Once those leaves were collected and brought back to the student laboratory, they were measured for NDVI, PRI and green to red ratios using the Unispec Spectral Analysis System (for protocol for the Unispec, see Pepperdine Laboratory Manual). Placing the leaf face-down, the light reflectance was measured and our indices calculated and recorded by specifying the wavebands and calculation types. The leaves were then labeled “C” for coastal and “I” for inland, and given a corresponding number. The leaf areas were then measured with a Leaf Area Meter while still “fresh,” then placed in small, individually labeled manila envelopes. The envelopes were placed in a drying oven for 24-48 hours, and then the mass of the leaves was measured. With the mass and the area, we were able to calculate the LSA and record the last of our indices.

Discussion
The purpose of our experiment was simply to observe if there was a difference in various aspects of health and stress of leaves. To determine if the differences observed were significant, a p value from a two-tailed t test was obtained and compared to the alpha value of 0.05. If the p value was less than 0.05 then this indicated there was a difference in the data to say that the populations are different. If the p value was greater than 0.05 then the data had to be accepted as not significant and the null hypothesis, that there is no difference between two populations regarding what is being measured, was not rejected. Our results regarding the four factors we measured indicate significant differences for NDVI and the green to red reflectance ratio. Because NDVI confirmed significant difference, the hypothesis is that there is a difference between coastal and inland leaf health and stress can be applied regarding chlorophyll content and photosynthetic ability. The green to red reflectance ratio also showed a significant difference, again confirming the hypothesis. The larger number seen in the coastal populations represents less light stress. This is due to a higher amount of chlorophyll compared to the amount of anthocyanin, which is the pigment produced in response to light stress. The coastal plants in this case demonstrated higher affinity for photosynthetic ability less for the volume of light stress.

No previous literature was found regarding NDVI, PRI, green reflectance to red reflectance ratio, or LSA with consideration to minor climate difference in a specific species. However, differences in freeze and drought tolerance between inland and coastal species, including Malosma laurina, had been researched and published. In that circumstance, there was a significant difference in what was being measured for the inland and coastal species (Davis, SD et al. 2007).

Conclusion
*Our research shows that there is a difference in photosynthetic trade-offs and capabilities between coastal and inland populations of Malosma laurina.

*Significant difference in NDVI is evidence that there are greater amounts of chlorophyll and a greater ability to undergo photosynthesis in coastal populations compared to inland populations.

*Significant difference in the green reflectance to red reflectance ratio is evidence that light stress is lesser in coastal plants compared to inland plants.

*Because of the aforementioned, coastal Malosma laurina is more successful at undergoing photosynthesis when compared to inland populations of the same species.

*Further research could be done testing more samples of this species and others for differences in what was measured in this experiment, including PRI and LSA, in order to draw more informative conclusions about photosynthetic capability between populations. This research could aid in discovering what trade offs different climates require of plants of the same species.
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Table 1. Measurements relating inland and coastal Malosma laurina demonstrating the varying results of all variables measured. Coastal Malosma laurina are found to have greater chlorophyll content based on NDVI and green red data. (R = reflectance in the Ratio data)